Processing of Phaeodactylum tricornutum Biomass... By: Nadiia Khakimova

As of: May 29, 2020 3:16:57 PM 33,534 words - 258 matches - 109 sources Similarity Index

12%

Mode: Summary Report V

sources:

165 words / 1% - Crossref <u>Ece Yildiz, Dilan Karabulut, Ozlem Yesil-Celiktas.</u> "A bioactivity based comparison of Echinacea <u>purpurea extracts obtained by various processes</u>", The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 2014

155 words / 1% - Internet from 05-Nov-2017 12:00AM www.rombio.eu

152 words / 1% - Crossref <u>Bienvenida Gilbert-López, Almudena Barranco, Miguel Herrero, Alejandro Cifuentes, Elena Ibáñez.</u> <u>"Development of new green processes for the recovery of bioactives from Phaeodactylum</u> <u>tricornutum", Food Research International, 2017</u>

140 words / < 1% match - Crossref Ahmed S. Zidan. "Spectral and spatial characterization of protein loaded PLGA nanoparticles", Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2009

139 words / < 1% match - Internet from 16-Jul-2019 12:00AM www.jmbfs.org

123 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Ruijun Meng, Xiaojiao Yu. "Investigation of ultrasound assisted regeneration of Ni-bentonite with</u> response surface methodology (RSM)", Applied Clay Science, 2011

105 words / < 1% match - Crossref Yun-Zhu Xiao, Duan-Kai Wu, Si-Yang Zhao, Wei-Min Lin, Xiang-Yang Gao. "Statistical Optimization of Alkaline Protease Production from YL-1 Under Solid-State Fermentation ", Preparative Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 2014

96 words / < 1% match - Internet from 24-Aug-2018 12:00AM uvadoc.uva.es

82 words / < 1% match - Internet from 17-Dec-2018 12:00AM <u>d-nb.info</u>

75 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Hatice Imge Oktay Basegmez, Darius Povilaitis, Vaida Kitrytė, Vaida Kraujalienė et al. "Biorefining</u> <u>of blackcurrant pomace into high value functional ingredients using supercritical CO 2,</u> <u>pressurized liquid and enzyme assisted extractions", The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 2017</u> 72 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Päivi Mäki-Arvela, Imane Hachemi, Dmitry Yu. Murzin. "Comparative study of the extraction</u> <u>methods for recovery of carotenoids from algae: extraction kinetics and effect of different</u> <u>extraction parameters"</u>, Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology, 2014

66 words / < 1% match - Internet from 16-Apr-2020 12:00AM <u>pt.scribd.com</u>

64 words / < 1% match - Crossref Amal Maadane, Nawal Merghoub, Najib El Mernissi, Tarik Ainane, Saaïd Amzazi. "ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF MARINE MICROALGAE ISOLATED FROM MOROCCAN COASTLINES", Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences, 2017

61 words / < 1% match - Internet hdl.handle.net

59 words / < 1% match - Internet from 16-Nov-2018 12:00AM <u>es.scribd.com</u>

55 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-May-2018 12:00AM tsukuba.repo.nii.ac.jp

54 words / < 1% match - Internet from 07-Apr-2018 12:00AM <u>ccj.springeropen.com</u>

54 words / < 1% match - Internet from 06-Sep-2017 12:00AM repositorio.ufsc.br

54 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Darius Povilaitis, Petras Rimantas Venskutonis. "Optimization of supercritical carbon dioxide</u> <u>extraction of rye bran using response surface methodology and evaluation of extract properties",</u> <u>The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 2015</u>

47 words / < 1% match - Internet from 03-May-2020 12:00AM link.springer.com

44 words / < 1% match - Internet from 22-Aug-2019 12:00AM www.fins.uns.ac.rs

42 words / < 1% match - Internet from 17-Nov-2019 12:00AM www.svuolomouc.cz

42 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Paulius Kraujalis, Vaida Kraujalienė, Rita Kazernavičiūtė, Petras Rimantas Venskutonis.</u> <u>"Supercritical carbon dioxide and pressurized liquid extraction of valuable ingredients from</u> <u>Viburnum opulus pomace and berries and evaluation of product characteristics", The Journal of</u> <u>Supercritical Fluids, 2017</u>

,	Summary Report
	42 words / < 1% match - Crossref
	Hamdi Bendit, Mohamed Djamel Miara, Zahar Kalboussi, Dovile Grauzdyte et al. "Supercritical CO2 extraction of Rosmarinus eriocalyx growing in Algeria: Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of extracts and their solid plant materials", Industrial Crops and Products, 2018
	39 words / < 1% match - Internet <u>dx.doi.org</u>
	39 words / < 1% match - Crossref T. Brazdauskas, L. Montero, P.R. Venskutonis, E. Ibañez, M. Herrero. "Downstream valorization and comprehensive two-dimensional liquid chromatography-based chemical characterization of bioactives from black chokeberries (Aronia melanocarpa) pomace", Journal of Chromatography A, 2016
	38 words / < 1% match - Crossref Laura Tamkutė, Rūta Liepuoniūtė, Milda Pukalskienė, Petras Rimantas Venskutonis. "Recovery of valuable lipophilic and polyphenolic fractions from cranberry pomace by consecutive supercritical CO2 and pressurized liquid extraction", The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 2020
	37 words / < 1% match - Internet from 01-Jul-2019 12:00AM link.springer.com
	36 words / < 1% match - Internet from 24-Nov-2019 12:00AM academic.oup.com
	36 words / < 1% match - Crossref Vaida Kraujalienė, Audrius Pukalskas, Petras Rimantas Venskutonis. "Multi-stage recovery of phytochemicals from buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench) flowers by supercritical fluid and pressurized liquid extraction methods", Industrial Crops and Products, 2017
	34 words / < 1% match - Internet from 15-Oct-2018 12:00AM <u>www.omicsonline.org</u>
	34 words / < 1% match - Crossref Rodríguez-Meizoso, I., L. Jaime, S. Santoyo, A. Cifuentes, G. García-Blairsy Reina, F. J. Señoráns, and E. Ibáñez. "Pressurized Fluid Extraction of Bioactive Compounds from Phormidium Species", Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2008.
	33 words / < 1% match - Crossref Ya Fang Shang, Sang Min Kim, Won Jong Lee, Byung-Hun Um. "Pressurized liquid method for fucoxanthin extraction from Eisenia bicyclis (Kjellman) Setchell", Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering, 2011
	32 words / < 1% match - Internet from 25-Jun-2019 12:00AM academicjournals.org

32 words / < 1% match - Internet from 10-Nov-2018 12:00AM res.mdpi.com 30 words / < 1% match - Internet from 13-Mar-2016 12:00AM etheses.bham.ac.uk

30 words / < 1% match - Internet from 16-Oct-2019 12:00AM link.springer.com

30 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-Jul-2018 12:00AM www.jmbfs.org

30 words / < 1% match - Crossref Ignas Mackėla, Paulius Kraujalis, Renata Baranauskienė, Petras Rimantas Venskutonis. "Biorefining of blackcurrant (Ribes nigrum L.) buds into high value aroma and antioxidant fractions by supercritical carbon dioxide and pressurized liquid extraction", The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 2015

29 words / < 1% match - Internet from 22-Jun-2017 12:00AM article.sciencepublishinggroup.com

29 words / < 1% match - Internet from 06-Apr-2010 12:00AM www.lib.umd.edu

29 words / < 1% match - Internet from 19-May-2020 12:00AM en.wikipedia.org

29 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Majid Montazer, Esfandiar Pakdel, Mohammad Bameni Moghadam. "Nano titanium dioxide on</u> <u>wool keratin as UV absorber stabilized by butane tetra carboxylic acid (BTCA): A statistical</u> <u>prospect", Fibers and Polymers, 2010</u>

28 words / < 1% match - Internet from 30-Jan-2020 12:00AM www.tandfonline.com

28 words / < 1% match - Internet from 10-Aug-2017 12:00AM eprints.ucm.es

28 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Vaida Kitrytė, Andrius Šaduikis, Petras Rimantas Venskutonis. "Assessment of antioxidant</u> <u>capacity of brewer's spent grain and its supercritical carbon dioxide extract as sources of valuable</u> <u>dietary ingredients", Journal of Food Engineering, 2015</u>

27 words / < 1% match - Internet from 26-Feb-2020 12:00AM journals.plos.org

27 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Nora Kryževičiūtė, Paulius Kraujalis, Petras Rimantas Venskutonis. "Optimization of high pressure</u> <u>extraction processes for the separation of raspberry pomace into lipophilic and hydrophilic</u> <u>fractions</u>", The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 2016 26 words / < 1% match - Internet from 10-Nov-2018 12:00AM onlinelibrary.wiley.com

25 words / < 1% match - Internet from 12-May-2020 12:00AM worldwidescience.org

25 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Gabriella Di Lena, Irene Casini, Massimo Lucarini, Ginevra Lombardi-Boccia. "Carotenoid profiling</u> of five microalgae species from large-scale production", Food Research International, 2018

24 words / < 1% match - Internet from 25-Apr-2020 12:00AM <u>www.tandfonline.com</u>

24 words / < 1% match - Internet from 16-Apr-2020 12:00AM www.tandfonline.com

24 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Bienvenida Gilbert-López, José A. Mendiola, Lambertus A.M. van den Broek, Bwee Houweling-Tan</u> <u>et al. "Green compressed fluid technologies for downstream processing of Scenedesmus obliquus</u> <u>in a biorefinery approach", Algal Research, 2017</u>

23 words / < 1% match - Crossref

<u>Ulrike Neumann, Felix Derwenskus, Verena Flaiz Flister, Ulrike Schmid-Staiger, Thomas Hirth,</u> <u>Stephan C. Bischoff. "Fucoxanthin, A Carotenoid Derived from Phaeodactylum tricornutum Exerts</u> <u>Antiproliferative and Antioxidant Activities In Vitro", Antioxidants, 2019</u>

22 words / < 1% match - Crossref Posted Content <u>Margarita Hussam Ahmad-Qasem Mateo.</u> "ASSESSMENT OF THE INFLUENCE OF PROCESSING <u>CONDITIONS ON THE ANTIOXIDANT POTENTIAL OF EXTRACTS OBTAINED FROM OLIVE OIL</u> <u>INDUSTRY BYPRODUCTS</u>", <u>Universitat Politecnica de Valencia</u>, 2015

21 words / < 1% match - Crossref

Ramunė Bobinaitė, Paulius Kraujalis, Laura Tamkutė, Dalia Urbonavičienė, Pranas Viškelis, Petras Rimantas Venskutonis. "Recovery of bioactive substances from rowanberry pomace by consecutive extraction with supercritical carbon dioxide and pressurized solvents", Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 2020

21 words / < 1% match - Crossref

Darius Povilaitis, Vaida Šulniūtė, Petras Rimantas Venskutonis, Vaida Kraujalienė. "Antioxidant properties of wheat and rye bran extracts obtained by pressurized liquid extraction with different solvents", Journal of Cereal Science, 2015

20 words / < 1% match - Internet from 28-Dec-2017 12:00AM scholarbank.nus.edu.sg

20 words / < 1% match - Internet from 24-Aug-2019 12:00AM res.mdpi.com

<u>Y.-F. Shang, J.-L. Xu, W.-J. Lee, B.-H. Um. "Antioxidative polyphenolics obtained from spent coffee</u> grounds by pressurized liquid extraction", South African Journal of Botany, 2017

20 words / < 1% match - Crossref

<u>Cai, Y. "Antioxidant activity and phenolic compounds of 112 traditional Chinese medicinal plants</u> associated with anticancer", Life Sciences, 20040312

20 words / < 1% match - Crossref Safafar, Hamed, Jonathan van Wagenen, Per Møller, and Charlotte Jacobsen. "Carotenoids, Phenolic Compounds and Tocopherols Contribute to the Antioxidative Properties of Some Microalgae Species Grown on Industrial Wastewater", Marine Drugs, 2015.

19 words / < 1% match - Crossref Shekhar U. Kadam, Carlos Álvarez, Brijesh K. Tiwari, Colm P. O'Donnell. "Extraction of biomolecules from seaweeds", Elsevier BV, 2015

18 words / < 1% match - Internet from 04-Dec-2019 12:00AM link.springer.com

18 words / < 1% match - Internet from 31-Dec-2019 12:00AM www.satisfactory-project.eu

18 words / < 1% match - Publications <u>Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal, Volume 24, Issue 4</u>

18 words / < 1% match - Crossref Sang Min Kim, Yu-Jin Jung, Oh-Nam Kwon, Kwang Hyun Cha, Byung-Hun Um, Donghwa Chung, Cheol-Ho Pan. "A Potential Commercial Source of Fucoxanthin Extracted from the Microalga Phaeodactylum tricornutum", Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 2012

17 words / < 1% match - Internet from 24-Apr-2009 12:00AM www.jlr.org

17 words / < 1% match - Internet from 27-May-2020 12:00AM www.intechopen.com

17 words / < 1% match - Crossref Jelena Krulj, Tea Brlek, Lato Pezo, Jovana Brkljača, Sanja Popović, Zoran Zeković, Marija Bodroža Solarov. "Extraction methods of sp. grain oil isolation ", Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 2016

17 words / < 1% match - Crossref J.H. Lee, B.S. Kang, K.H. Hwang, G.H. Kim. " Evaluation for anti-inflammatory effects of extract ", Food and Agricultural Immunology, 2011

16 words / < 1% match - Internet from 03-Apr-2016 12:00AM www.brainromania.ro

6/1/2020	Summary Report 16 words / < 1% match - Crossref Ignas Mackéla, Tomas Andriekus, Petras Rimantas Venskutonis. "Biorefining of buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum) hulls by using supercritical fluid, Soxhlet, pressurized liquid and enzyme- presided extraction matheds". Journal of Food Engineering, 2017			
	assisted extraction methods , Journal of Food Engineering, 2017			
	15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 29-Dec-2017 12:00AM www.ijpsonline.com			
	15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 03-Jan-2020 12:00AM www.tandfonline.com			
	15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 25-Dec-2019 12:00AM <u>res.mdpi.com</u>			
	14 words / < 1% match - Internet from 11-Nov-2019 12:00AM www.asianjournalofchemistry.co.in			
	14 words / < 1% match - Internet from 01-May-2020 12:00AM pesquisa.bvsalud.org			
	14 words / < 1% match - Internet from 29-Jun-2019 12:00AM www.tandfonline.com			
	14 words / < 1% match - Internet from 06-Apr-2020 12:00AM <u>virologyj.biomedcentral.com</u>			
	13 words / < 1% match - Internet from 17-May-2016 12:00AM <u>lib.ugent.be</u>			
	13 words / < 1% match - Crossref MAYAKO FUJIHARA, SANDEEP GOEL, NAOJIRO MINAMI, MASAYASU YAMADA, HIROSHI IMAI. "Cryopreservation in liquid nitrogen of gonocytes from neonatal porcine testes stored at 4°C", Reproductive Medicine and Biology, 2008			
	13 words / < 1% match - Crossref Ramunė Bobinaitė, Paulius Kraujalis, Laura Tamkutė, Dalia Urbonavičienė, Pranas Viškelis, Petras Rimantas Venskutonis. "Recovery of bioactive substances from rowanberry pomace by consecutive extraction with supercritical carbon dioxide and pressurized solvents", Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 2020			
	12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 29-Feb-2020 12:00AM www.cris.uns.ac.rs			

12 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Povilaitis, Darius, and Petras Rimantas Venskutonis. "Optimization of supercritical carbon dioxide</u> <u>extraction of rye bran using response surface methodology and evaluation of extract properties"</u>, <u>The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 2015.</u> 11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 01-Jul-2016 12:00AM mdpi.com

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-Sep-2019 12:00AM repositorio.ufsm.br

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 20-Feb-2017 12:00AM espace.curtin.edu.au

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 20-Aug-2019 12:00AM docplayer.net

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 30-Jan-2020 12:00AM res.mdpi.com

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 13-Mar-2020 12:00AM worldwidescience.org

11 words / < 1% match - Crossref Vaida Kraujalienė, Audrius Pukalskas, Paulius Kraujalis, Petras Rimantas Venskutonis. "Biorefining of Bergenia crassifolia L. roots and leaves by high pressure extraction methods and evaluation of antioxidant properties and main phytochemicals in extracts and plant material", Industrial Crops and Products, 2016

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 13-Aug-2019 12:00AM www.scribd.com

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 09-Nov-2018 12:00AM mafiadoc.com

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 04-Apr-2020 12:00AM ijmme.springeropen.com

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 06-Apr-2020 12:00AM www.tandfonline.com

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 06-Sep-2014 12:00AM run.unl.pt

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 17-Mar-2020 12:00AM worldwidescience.org

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 19-Apr-2020 12:00AM lipidworld.biomedcentral.com

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 22-Jan-2019 12:00AM

d-nb.info

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 26-May-2020 12:00AM www.degruyter.com

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 19-Dec-2019 12:00AM journals.plos.org

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 06-Apr-2018 12:00AM tel.archives-ouvertes.fr

10 words / < 1% match - Crossref

Su Chern Foo, Fatimah Md. Yusoff, Maznah Ismail, Mahiran Basri, Sook Kun Yau, Nicholas M.H. Khong, Kim Wei Chan, Mahdi Ebrahimi. "Antioxidant capacities of fucoxanthin-producing algae as influenced by their carotenoid and phenolic contents", Journal of Biotechnology, 2017

10 words / < 1% match - Crossref "Bioactive Molecules in Food", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019

10 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Vaida Kitrytė, Asta Kavaliauskaitė, Laura Tamkutė, Milda Pukalskienė, Michail Syrpas, Petras</u> <u>Rimantas Venskutonis. "Zero waste biorefining of lingonberry (Vaccinium vitis-idaea L.) pomace</u> <u>into functional ingredients by consecutive high pressure and enzyme assisted extractions with</u> <u>green solvents", Food Chemistry, 2020</u>

10 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Paola Imbimbo, Monica Bueno, Luigi D'Elia, Antonino Pollio, Elena Ibañez, Giuseppe Olivieri, Daria</u> <u>Maria Monti. " Green Compressed Fluid Technologies To Extract Antioxidants and Lipids from in a</u> Biorefinery Approach ", ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2020

10 words / < 1% match - Crossref <u>Xiao-Dan Fan, Yan Hou, Xing-Xin Huang, Tai-Qiu Qiu, Jian-Guo Jiang. " Ultrasound-Enhanced</u> <u>Subcritical CO Extraction of Lutein from ", Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2015</u>

paper text:

Kaunas University of Technology Faculty of Chemical Technology Processing of Phaeodactylum tricornutum Biomass into Valuable Functional Ingredients by High-Pressure Extraction Techniques Master's Final Degree Project Nadiia Khakimova Project author assoc. prof. Michail Syrpas Supervisor Kaunas, 2020 Kaunas University of Technology Faculty of Chemical Technology Processing of Phaeodactylum tricornutum Biomass into Valuable Functional Ingredients by High-Pressure Extraction Techniques Master's Final Degree Project Food Science and Safety (6211FX011) Nadiia Khakimova Project author assoc. prof. Michail Syrpas Supervisor assoc. prof. Paulius Kraujalis Reviewer Kaunas, 2020 Kaunas University of Technology Faculty of Chemical Technology Nadiia Khakimova Processing of

Phaeodactylum tricornutum Biomass into Valuable Functional Ingredients by High-Pressure Extraction Techniques Declaration of Academic Integrity I confirm that the final project of mine, Nadiia Khakimova, on the topic "Processing of Phaeodactylum tricornutum Biomass into Valuable Functional Ingredients by High-Pressure Extraction Techniques" is written completely by myself; all the provided data and research results are correct and have been obtained honestly. None of the parts of this thesis have been plagiarised from any printed, Internet-based or otherwise recorded sources. All direct and indirect quotations from external resources are indicated in the list of references. No monetary funds (unless required by Law) have been paid to anyone for any contribution to this project. I fully and completely understand that any discovery of any manifestations/case/facts of dishonesty inevitably results in me incurring a penalty according to the procedure(s) effective at Kaunas University of Technology. Nadiia Khakimova (name and surname filled in by hand) (signature) Nadiia Khakimova. Processing of Phaeodactylum tricornutum Biomass into Valuable Functional Ingredients by High-Pressure Extraction Techniques. Master's Final Degree Project / supervisor assoc. prof. Michail Syrpas; Faculty of Chemical Technology, Kaunas University of Technology. Study field and area (study field group): Technological Sciences, Food Technologies. Keywords: microalgae, Phaeodactylum tricornutum,

supercritical carbon dioxide extraction, pressurized liquid extraction, response surface methodology,

carotenoids. Kaunas, 2020. 88 p. Summary Phaeodactylum tricornutum, the only species in the genus Phaeodactylum, belongs to a significant group of microalgae called diatoms. P. tricornutum is considered a promising feedstock of high-value products. Mainly, due to its ability to synthesize polyunsaturated fatty acids, like eicosapentaenoic acid, and carotenoids, especially fucoxanthin – a valuable pigment with multiple biological activities. This work aimed to develop multi-step P. tricornutum processing schemes for isolation of high-added value fractions using conventional and high-pressure extraction techniques. Various standard methods achieved the determination of chemical composition. Conventional and highpressure

extraction techniques like solid-liquid extraction (SLE), supercritical fluid extraction (SFE), and pressurized liquid extraction (PLE)

were applied using various solvents in order to obtain extracts of various polarity. The high-pressure extraction techniques, SFE and PLE, were optimized using response surface methodology (RSM) in order to maximize total yield, carotenoid, and fucoxanthin content. In vitro antioxidant capacity of initial material, as well as all extracts were determined using the total phenolic content (by the Folin-Ciocalteu method), DPPH• and ABTS•+

assays. The activity of solid residues was tested with the same in vitro antioxidant capacity assays following the

QUENCHER approach. The qualitative determination of pigments and quantitative determination of fucoxanthin content was performed using HPLC-DAD. The fatty acid profile was determined using GC-FID. Moreover, for all extracts, the antimicrobial activity was using the disc diffusion assay, determining the minimal inhibitory concentration for the active ones. This work demonstrates the feasibility of high-

pressure techniques for the isolation of high-added value products from this rather underutilized feedstock. Nadiia Khakimova. Phaeodactylum tricornutum biomasės perdirbimas į vertingus funkcionaliuosius komponentus taikant aukšto slėgio ekstrakcijos metodus. Magistro baigiamasis projektas / vadovas doc. dr. Michail Syrpas; Kauno technologijos universitetas, Cheminės technologijos fakultetas. Studijų kryptis ir sritis (studijų krypčių grupė): Technologijų mokslai, Maisto technologijos. Reikšminiai žodžiai: mikrodumbliai, Phaeodactylum tricornutum, superkritinis anglies dioksido ekstrahavimas, slėgio skysčio ekstrahavimas, atsako paviršiaus metodika, karotinoidai. Kaunas, 2020. 88 p. Santrauka Phaeodactylum tricornutum yra vienintelė Phaeodactylum genties rūšis, priklausanti mikrodumblių, vadinamų diatomomis, grupei. Dėl savo unikalios sudėties P. tricornutum yra laikoma puikiu šaltiniu, siekiant gauti aukštos kokybės produktus. Šie mikrodumbliai geba sintetinti polinesočiąsias riebalų rūgštis, tokias kaip eikozapentaeno rūgštis, ir karotenoidus, ypač fukoksantiną - pigmentą, kuris pasižymi įvairiapusiu biologiniu aktyvumu. Šio darbo tikslas buvo sukurti daugiapakopes P. tricornutum perdirbimo schemas, skirtas išgauti aukštos prodėtinės vertės frakcijas, naudojant tradicinius ir didelio slėgio ekstrakcijos metodus. Cheminė sudėtis nustatyta naudojant įvairius standartinius metodus. Norint gauti skirtingo poliškumo ekstraktus panaudoti tradiciniai ir didelio slėgio ekstrakcijos metodai, tokie kaip maceravimas (SLE), superkritinė ekstrakcija anglies dvidegieniu (SFE) ir ekstrakcija padidinto slėgio aplinkoje (PLE), naudojant įvairius tirpiklius. Didelio slėgio ekstrakcijos metodai (SFE ir PLE) optimizuoti taikant paviršiaus atsako metodologija (RSM), siekiant gauti didžiausia išeiga, karotenoidų ir fukoksantino kiekį. Antioksidacinis aktyvumas (in vitro) pradinėje žaliavoje bei visuose ekstraktuose įvertintas nustatant bendrą fenolinių junginių kiekį Folin-Ciocalteu's metodu bei naudojant DPPH• radikalo ir ABTS•+ katijono radikalo blukinimo metodus. Antioksidacinis pajėgumas liekanose po atliktų ekstrakcijų nustatytas naudojant tuos pačius metodus, tačiau pritaikant QUENCHER procedūra. Pigmentų kokybinis bei fukoksantino kiekybinis įvertinimas atliktas naudojant HPLC-DAD. Riebalų rūgščių sudėtis nustatyta naudojant GC-FID. Visų ekstraktų antimikrobinis aktyvumas įvertintas naudojant difuzijos į agarą metodą bei nustatant minimalią slopinančią koncentraciją. Šis darbas parodo didelio slėgio ekstrakcijos metodų, galinčių išskirti aukštos pridėtinės vertės produktus iš šios nepakankamai išnaudotos žaliavos, galimybes.

TABLE OF CONTENTS LIST OF FIGURES

	8 LIST OF TABLES
AND TERMS	12 INTRODUCTION
	13 AIM
	14
1. LITERATURE REVIEW	
Phaeodactylum tricornutum	
characteristics	
composition and bioactivity	
bioactive compounds recovery from microalgae	
techniques 21	

1.3.1. Conventional extraction technic	Jues
1.3.2. Supercritical fluid extraction	(SFE) 21 1.
3.3. Pressurized liquid extraction	
and technology of P. tricornutum	
concept	
METHODS	
reagents	
composition	
content	
Soxhlet-HEX extraction	
Kjeldahl method	29 2.3.4. Determination of water
content	
Solid-liquid extraction (SLE)	
tricornutum by high-pressure extraction tee	chniques30 2.5.1. Lab-scale supercritical co2 extraction (SFE-
CO2)	30 2.5.2. Pressurized liquid extraction (PLE)
	30 2.5.3. Experimental
design	
capacity	
phenolics content (Folin-Ciocalteu assay)	
assay	
tricornutum	
carotenoids by HPLC-DAD	
means of GC-FID	
using disc diffusive assay and minimal inh	ibitory concentration (MIC)
2.9. Statistical analysis	25 2
	27 21 Chamica
	27 22
Conventional extraction techniques. Solid-	iquid extraction (SLE)
pressure extraction techniques. Optimizati	on of SFE-CO2 38 3.1.1. Validation
of the predictive model of multiple response	e optimization for SFE-CO2 49 3.4. High-pressure
extraction techniques Optimization of pre-	ssurized liquid extraction (PLF) 50.3.4.1. PLF-acetone

Conventional extraction techniques. Solid-liquid extraction (SLE).	37 3.3. High-			
pressure extraction techniques. Optimization of SFE-CO2				
of the predictive model of multiple response optimization for SFE-CO2	49 3.4. High-pressure			
extraction techniques. Optimization of pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) 5	0 3.4.1. PLE-acetone			
optimization of P. tricornutum SFE-CO2 residue 50 3.	4.2. PLE-ethanol			
optimization of P. tricornutum PLE-acetone residue	3.4.3. Verification of the			
predictive model of multiple response optimization for PLE-acetone and PLE-ethanol from P. tricornutum				
SFE-CO2 residue	oxidant			

capacity	68 3.5.1. Total phenolic content and
antioxidant capacity for initial biomass and S	SLE
antioxidant capacity of SFE-CO2 extracts an	d SFE-CO2 residues70 3.6. Chemical and microbiological
characterization of P. tricornutum extracts	
the extracts by HPLC-DAD	
tricornutum extracts after different extractio	n techniques
Microphotograph of P. tricornutum. Adapted	from Diner R. E. et al.[3] 15 Figure 1.2. Structures
of DHA and EPA. Adapted from Links R. et a	. [23] 17 Figure 1.3. The structure of β -
carotene	
xanthophyll cycle conversion of diadinoxant	nin to diatoxanthin when exposed to the light[36]
structure	19 Figure 1.6. Metabolic pathway of
fucoxanthin during digestion and intestinal a	bsorption in mice. Adapted from Asai et al.
[46]	19 Figure 1.7. Phase diagram for
carbon dioxide[80]	
supercritical CO2 extraction process. Adapte	ed from Martins et al.[82]
Schematic diagram of pressurized liquid ext	raction. Adapted from Kadam et al.[72] 25 Figure 1.10.
Possible algae biorefinery pathways [117]	
microdilution plate	
dilution	
for P. tricornutum	
tricornutum (values	

3. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of independent variables (temperature, pressure, and co-solvent) on

SFE-CO2 extract yields (g/100 g DW) from P. tricornutum biomass (A: effect of temperature and pressure at a constant time; B:

effect of co-solvent and pressure at constant temperature: C: effect of co-solvent and temperature at constant pressure......

.5. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of independent variables (temperature, pressure, and co-solvent) on

SFE-CO2 total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum biomass (A: effect of temperature and pressure at a constant time; B:

effect of co-solvent and pressure at constant temperature: C: effect of co-solvent and temperature at constant pressure

Figure 3. 7. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

independent variables (temperature, pressure, and co-solvent) on SFE-CO2 fucoxanthin content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum biomass (A: effect of temperature and pressure at a constant time; B:

effect of co-solvent and pressure at constant temperature: C: effect of co-solvent and temperature at constant

Figure 3. 9. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

independent variables (temperature and extraction time) on PLE extract yield (g/100 g DW) from P. tricornutum biomass

Figure 3. 11. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

Figure 3. 13. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

Figure 3. 15. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

independent variables (temperature and extraction time) on PLE-ethanol yield (g/100g DW) from P. tricornutum PLE- acetone residual biomass

Figure 3. 17. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

independent variables (temperature and extraction time) on PLE-ethanol total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum PLE-acetone residual biomass

Figure 3. 19. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

(g/100 g DW), total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) and fucoxanthin content (mg/g extract).....

39 Table 3.4.

Analysis of variance of the regression parameters for response surface quadratic model for

Analysis of variance of the regression parameters for response surface quadratic model for

total phenolic content (mg GAE/g DW)..... 50 Table 3.9. Analysis of variance of

Analysis of variance of the regression parameters for response surface quadratic model for

Analysis of variance of the regression parameters for response surface quadratic model for

total phenolic content (mg GAE/g DW)...... 59 Table 3.13. Analysis of variance of

the regression parameters for response surface quadratic model for PLE-ethanol optimization for P. tricornutum residual biomass after PLE-acetone (Response factor: Total yield g/100g DW)

Analysis of variance of the regression parameters for response surface quadratic model for

Analysis of variance of the regression parameters for response surface quadratic model for

Fatty acids composition of the algae biomass extracts using the four extraction methods

2,2'-azinobis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid radical cation

Analysis of variance Central composite experimental design

2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical Dry weight Gallic acid equivalents

Gas chromatography with flame ionization detector Generally recognized as safe High performance liquid chromatography with diode-array detection Methanol Minimal inhibitory concentration Phosphate buffered saline Pressurized liquid extraction Determination coefficient Reactive Oxygen Species Response surface methodology Supercritical fluid extraction Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction Solid liquid extraction Solid liquid extraction using hexane Solid liquid extraction using acetone Solid liquid extraction using ethanol Solid liquid extraction using water Soxhlet extraction

Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity Trolox equivalent Total phenolic content 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid INTRODUCTION

Food production will have to increase fivefold to keep up with the world population, projected to be at around 10 billion by the twenty-first century. Most of today's farmland is being rapidly diverted for other

uses, further limiting the area that can be used to increase food production[1]. Recently, microalgae have drawn

considerable interest worldwide, due to their substantial potential for use in renewable energy and they are

considered as alternative feedstocks for production of valuable bioproducts, with potential applications in pharmaceuticals, nutraceuticals and cosmetics[2]. Microalgae are small unicellular microscopic organisms which abound in different ecosystems

around the globe. They can be grown in open ponds or photobioreactors without arable land use.

Phaeodactylum tricornutum is a unicellular pennate diatom. It can exist in three possible morphotypes (fusiform, triradiate and oval) that are defined by the growing conditions. Due to its high polyunsaturated fatty acids and carotenoid content, especially fucoxanthin, the P. tricornutum is a promising natural source for these high-added value products. Commercial production of fucoxanthin from P. tricornutum biomass was already investigated in the literature[3,4]. Nevertheless, recovery of these compounds requires the previous recovery from the

biomass and further downstream processing. As most metabolites are located intracellularly, cell disruption is

important to boost extraction with a suitable solvent as a pretreatment. Supercritical

carbon dioxide extraction (SFE- CO2) and pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) have a great potential

to extract valuable metabolites from microalgae. Nowadays, besides the economic cost biorefinery approaches focus on the utilization of sustainable extraction techniques with small environmental impact. Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction and pressurized liquid extraction are considered as green sustainable extraction techniques, for isolation of functional products from various sources including microalgae. The

use of high pressure allows solvents to change their liquid state,

above their boiling point at very high temperatures. These conditions speed up

extraction by increasing the analytes' mass transfer rate from the material to the solvent, allowing to

achieve high yields in shorter processing periods and a lower solvent usage compared with traditional extraction techniques. Extraction methods based on compressed fluids have already been shown to be effective solutions. Supercritical fluid and the pressurized liquid extraction, carried out with different green and safe solvents as ethanol or CO2, can be sufficient for extracting a variety of compounds according to their polarity[5]. In current research,

supercritical carbon dioxide extraction and pressurized liquid extraction were evaluated for the

recovery of

high-value compounds from P. tricornutum biomass, including fatty acids and carotenoids. Moreover, conventional and novel extraction techniques were compared, high-pressure techniques were optimized, obtained extracts were examined for their in vitro antioxidant capacity, fatty acid profile, carotenoid content and composition, together with determination of antimicrobial activity. AIM The research is aimed to perform chemical characterization of initial Phaeodactylum tricornutum biomass with further development and optimization of biorefining approaches, using high-pressure extraction techniques for the isolation of valuable compunds from P. tricornutum freeze-dried biomass. Objectives 1. To determine the chemical composition of P. tricornutum freeze-dried biomass. 2. To isolate polar and non-polar fractions from P. tricornutum biomass using SLE. 3. To optimize SFE-CO2, in order to obtain the maximum yield, total carotenoid content and fucoxanthin in the extracts, evaluating the effect of three selected factors by response surface methodology (RSM). 4. To perform acetone-assisted PLE of SFE-CO2 dry residue in order to extract remaining carotenoids and optimize the process, evaluating the effect of temperature and extraction time by RSM. 5. To evaluate PLE-ethanol for recovery of polar fractions from the residues after SFE-CO2 and PLE- acetone, and optimize the process using RSM to optimize total yield, carotenoid content and TPC. 6. To measure total phenolic content (TPC) and in vitro radical scavenging capacity (ABTS+ and DPPH- assays) of the initial material, solid residues and extracts after solid-liquid extraction (SLE) and SFE-CO2 (solid residues and extracts). 7. To perform of qualitative determination of pigments and quantitative determination of fucoxanthin in SFE-CO2 and PLE extracts using highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC-DAD). 8. To characterize the fatty acids profile, as their corresponding fatty acid methyl-esters, in non-polar extracts by means of

gas chromatography with flame ionization detector (GC-FID). 9. To evaluate the antimicrobial activity of

all obtained extracts using disc diffusive assay and to measure the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of active extracts. 1. LITERATURE REVIEW 1.1 Phaeodactylum tricornutum 1.1.1. General characteristics Phaeodactylum tricornutum belongs to a widespread, diverse group of eukaryotic microalgae called diatoms. Diatoms can be found primarily in saltwater, including alkaline salt lakes but also freshwater terrestrial environments. P. tricornutum is the only species from the genus Phaeodactylum. Diatoms are photosynthetic autotrophs; thus, they can fixate CO2 and produce O2 in the water; that is why they contribute significantly to the primary productivity of aquatic environments. Although they represent only 1% of photosynthetic biomass on earth, diatoms are responsible for almost half (around 45%) of global primary production, thus contributing significantly to the primary productivity of aquatic environments[6]. P. tricornutum is the only diatom that can be pleiomorphic, can exist in different morphotypes (oval, triradiate, and fusiform), which can be determined by growing conditions. This property is linked to the unusual nature of the cell wall, which does not contain a large amount of silica, similar to other diatoms, so the diatom does not require silicon for growth and can survive without developing silicified frustules. Nevertheless, typically, all three morphotypes have silicified structures which are located on the epitheca,

cell walls consist of organic compounds of three layers: outer and inner organic layers and intermediate silicified layer with a thickness of approximately 7, 5 and 3 nm throughout the walls[7]. The microphotograph of P. tricornutum is presented in Figure 1.1. Figure 1.1. Microphotograph of P. tricornutum. Adapted from Diner R. E. et al. [8] Despite the atypical structure, P. tricornutum is one of the diatom model species for research, as it was the second diatom which genome has been sequenced. Moreover, RNAi vectors are available, and a transformation protocol was developed[9]. Compared to other microalgae species that cannot grow at relatively high pH, P. tricornutum has an upper pH limit of 10.3 and can grow in salinity of 5-65 psu. The potential capacity to grow at alkaline pH environments is probably due to its carbon absorption profile since the alkalization of water causes an increase in the amount of bicarbonates in dissolved inorganic carbon. This may cause the ability to switch the specialization of its enzymatic systems depending on environmental conditions[10]. P. tricornutum is widely used in studying the biology of marine phytoplankton in areas of ecology, physiology, biochemistry, and molecular biology[11]. Also, the diatom has been used as a feed in aquaculture. Both biolistic and electroporationmediated genetic engineering methods have been reported for P. tricornutum[12]. It has been proven to be a biofuel precursor[13], a potential source of protein and essential pharmaceuticals. 1.1.2. Chemical composition and bioactivity The potential of P. tricornutum as a source of valuable compounds has recently gained broad interest. As it is known, microalgae can collect solar energy and consume nutrients while growing, with further nutrients' transformation into biomass, much of which are proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates[14]. With increasing interest in biorefinery approaches, the number of studies focusing on potential products and utilization of P. tricornutum biomass is continually growing. At the moment, P. tricornutum is considered to be an excellent natural source of polyunsaturated

fatty acids, such as EPA eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, C20:5n-3) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, C22:6n-3),

as well as carotenoids, especially fucoxanthin[15]. It has also been recognized as a potential lipid source for biofuel production[16]. Previous research has shown that protein content in this microalga has varied depending on the cultivation conditions. The average values have been reported to be from 30% up to 50% [17,18]. Brown et al. have investigated the amino acid profile of 15 different microalgae species and concluded that P. tricornutum contains high levels of essential amino acids[17]. The primary essential amino acids found in P. tricornutum are leucine, lysine, arginine, valine, threonine, and phenylalanine. The main non-essential amino acids present in this diatom are glutamic acid and aspartic acid. Moreover, evaluated essential amino acid index of P. tricornutum (0.9) was almost equal to that of an ideally balanced protein (egg albumin protein, 1.0)[19]. The carbohydrate content is also different depending on cultivation media. The diatom has shown to exhibit relatively high carbohydrate content, which was 47% [20], although some other studies have reported lower content of 26%[21]. According to different studies, the ash content constitutes up to 15% of the biomass[20,22]. Whereas, the moisture content in dried biomass is relatively low, with maximum reported values up to 4%[22]. On the other hand, the lipid content of P. tricornutum can fluctuate from 10 to 60% of the dry weight, depending on growing conditions, metabolic status, the extraction method, and solvent used. The high oil content makes this diatom suitable for biodiesel production besides the production of bioactive compounds[22-24]. Fatty acids. Lipids

derived from microalgae are multifarious in their biochemical structure and composition, they can be presented as

neutral lipids (i.e. triacylglycerol) and polar lipids (i.e., phospholipids and

glycolipids), forming fatty acid chains ranging from medium (C10-C14) to long (C16- 18) or very-long (>C20) and can be present in both – saturated or unsaturated forms[25]. Apart from the variety of compounds found in microalgae, omega-3, and other fatty acids are of significant interest in the field of nutrition, considering their potential health benefits[26]. The diatom

is rich in omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (omega-3 PUFAs).

Omega-3 PUFAs is a particular

group of polyunsaturated fatty acids, where the first double bond is located between the third and fourth carbon atoms, counting from the methyl end of the fatty acid.

Moreover, due to high PUFA content, P. tricornutum is extensively used as a feed in the aquaculture sector[27]. In 2018 the global value for omega-3 fatty acids was around 2.29 billion USD[28]. Dietary supplements represent 61% of global market segmentation of EPA/DHA fatty acids, followed pet foods 19%, food and beverage 14%, infant formula 3%. Fatty acids derived from microalgae make up 3% of the EPA/DHA market volume and approximately 18% of the value[29]. The amount of commercial production of DHA has increased with involving microalgae feedstocks in industrial production. Moreover, saltwater species have shown higher DHA content compared to freshwater microalgae. Although fish is the commercial source of PUFAs, it cannot supply the global demand. Moreover, during recent years global fish feedstock is decreasing and certain concerns have been raised due to the poor oxidative stability of fish oil which makes it unpleasant, as well as potential danger of contaminants such as mercury[30]. Considering this fact, microalgae become the second alternative as a feedstock of commercial production of PUFAs. Although the importance of PUFAs on human health is widely accepted, according to recent research, developed countries consume five times less PUFAs than the recommended dose[31]. During clinical studies, EPA has shown a positive effect in the treatment of various diseases, from migraine and psoriasis to inflammatory and heart diseases[32]. DHA has shown anticarcinogenic activity, the ability to lower blood cholesterol levels, immuno-enhancing, and detox effects[30]. In addition, several studies

have shown positive metabolic effects of PUFAs on obesity, as the reduction of inflammation markers

in plasma of obese women[30], the reduction of accumulation of fat in mice fatty tissues[33] or the in vitro suppression of differentiation

of adipocytes[34]. The structures of DHA and EPA are shown in Figure 1.2. Figure 1.2. Structures of DHA and EPA. Adapted from Links R. et al. [35] Studies that evaluated P. tricornutum fatty acid profile have concluded that diatom mostly contains EPA, DHA, palmitic acid, and some short-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids[22,24,36].

from P. tricornutum. Among monounsaturated fatty acids,

palmitoleic acid (C16:1) and oleic acid (C18:1) were

reported in high amounts (more than 100 mg/g-1) in P. tricornutum[23,37]. Carotenoids. Carotenoids are a large class of organic pigments that are nowadays highly demanded by industry because of several proven human health benefits. They exhibit a wide array of bioactivities from antioxidant capacity to neuroprotective and anti-obesity effects[38]. Carotenoids can be classified into two main groups, the carotenes, which are hydrocarbons (i.e. β -carotene, lycopene), and the xanthophylls, which contain oxygen atoms (i.e. zeaxanthin, fucoxanthin)[39]. The main natural carotenoid sources are plants and algae, as well as several bacteria and fungi. The carotenoids global market has reached \$1.5 billion in 2017 and is predicted to increase to \$2.0 billion by 2022, with 5.7% of annual growth rate in the period of 2017-2022[40]. A large group of photosynthetic pigments that are involved in photoprotection is localized in the chloroplasts of P. tricornutum, including β -carotene, diatoxanthin, diadinoxanthin and fucoxanthin. β carotene is known for its efficiency as a natural quencher, which can scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS), peroxyl radicals, and singlet oxygen. Together with α -tocopherol, it is involved in the inhibition of lipid peroxidation [41]. Figure 1.3. The structure of β -carotene Also, β -carotene stimulates gap junctions, which provide intercellular communication, responsible for cell growth, apoptosis, and differentiation[42]. The structure of β -carotene is presented in Figure 1.3. Figure 1.4. Molecular structures of the xanthophyll cycle conversion of diadinoxanthin to diatoxanthin when exposed to the light[43] Diatoxanthin and diadinoxanthin are the other main xanthophylls in the diatom, apart from fucoxanthin. Their main role is the protection from the harmful effects of light saturation. Diadinoxanthin is the precursor of diatoxanthin, which is usually stored in an inactive form and can be transformed into the active form within a couple of minutes when exposed to light stress conditions (this process is shown on Figure 1.4). Diatoxanthin also can scatter energy by using non-photochemical quenching[44]. Despite the photoprotective importance for the cells, no further biological activity, nutritional importance, or health benefits of diatoxanthin and diadinoxanthin were proven[45]. 1.1.3. Fucoxanthin P. tricornutum contains up to 30 mg/g total carotenoids of dry biomass, mainly fucoxanthin, which ranges from 13 to 26.1 mg/g of dry biomass[46,47]. Fucoxanthin, the primary marine carotenoid of P. tricornutum, is widespread in macro- and microalgae and, in several cases, provides more than 10% of the total natural carotenoid production[48]. Fucoxanthin is located in the thylakoids of chloroplasts and is able to generate a light-harvesting complex with chlorophyll a/c[49]. It is a xanthophyll, that

absorbs light in the blue-green to the yellow range of the visible spectrum

(450 to 540 nm with a peak approximately at 510-525 nm)[50]. When fucoxanthin is

bound to proteins, the absorption spectrum of fucoxanthin changes from 450-540 nm to 390-580 nm, that is

required for successful photosynthesis in the oceans, thus making it more useful for aquatic environments[51]. The structure of fucoxanthin is shown on Figure 1.5. Figure 1.5. Fucoxanthin structure Fucoxanthin's lipophilicity and its interaction with the intestinal cells' phospholipid membrane determine the cellular uptake after ingestion[52]. Therefore, the bioactivity of this carotenoid depends on its digestibility, which starts in the gastrointestinal tract by enzyme lipase that hydrolyzes it, or cholesterol esterase that deacetylates it to fucoxanthinol. Figure 1.6 shows how fucoxanthin is being dehydrogenated into amarouciaxanthin A in the liver[53–55]. Figure 1.6. Metabolic pathway of fucoxanthin during digestion and intestinal absorption in mice. Adapted from Asai et al.[53]

The fucoxanthin has been found to have several therapeutic activities, including anticancer, antihypertensive, anti-inflammatory, and anti-obesity effects[

56–58]. In the study conducted by Neumann et al., the antioxidative, antiproliferative, and antiinflammatory effects of fucoxanthin derived from P. tricornutum were investigated. At concentrations up to 50 μg/mL, fucoxanthin showed no cytotoxic effect, although, at higher concentrations, it showed

a cytotoxic effect on the mouse macrophage cell line RAW

264.7. It also has exhibited stronger antioxidant capacity during the FRAP analysis as compared to β carotene. No anti-inflammatory effects of fucoxanthin derived from P. tricornutum were proven; however, anti-proliferative and antioxidant effects were reported[3]. These results allow concluding that fucoxanthin derived from diatom biomass helps to inhibit the effects of oxidative stress associated diseases and might potentially facilitate traditional cancer treatment[3]. For now, the majority of studies that have elucidated the beneficial health effects of fucoxanthin were using macroalgae-derived fucoxanthin, while the diatom P. tricornutum contains several times more fucoxanthin than macroalgae, it could become a new promising source of this marine carotenoid. Additionally, the diatoms can be cultivated in artificial photobioreactors; thus their production could not be linked to fixed season and environmental conditions as than macroalgae[59]. P. tricornutum is already produced in different types of closed and open photobioreactors. Hence, it could be a suitable feedstock for scaling-up microalgal fucoxanthin production[60-62]. 1.2. Extraction techniques for bioactive compounds recovery from microalgae In many companies producing algae-based products, biomass is harvested, dried, ground, or formulated into pellets, or microalgae are the final product itself[63]. In order to isolate various bioactive algal compounds such as omega-3 fatty acids, minerals, vitamins, and carotenoids, different polarity solvents would be required. Carbohydrates for example, are polar, and they are soluble in polar solvents like acetone, ethanol and water. PUFAs and carotenoids are mainly non-polar substances, so they are better extracted with nonpolar hexane, supercritical CO2 and semi-polar acetone[64]. Majority of bioactive compounds are susceptible to high temperatures. That is why choosing proper extraction techniques, solvent and extraction conditions depending on obtaining desirable compounds is a crucial part during the recovery process. The most common extraction techniques applied for algae are

Soxhlet extraction, microwave-assisted extraction (MAE), ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE), solidliquid extraction (SLE), pressurized liquid extraction (PLE), supercritical fluid extraction (SFE),

enzyme-assisted extraction

(EAE)[65]. A comparison of total oil yield in different microalgal species using SFE, PLE, and MAE is represented in Table 1.1. Table 1.1. Comparison of total oil yield of high-value metabolites extracted from different microalgae through SFE, PLE and MAE Algae species SFE, oil yield (%) PLE, oil yield MAE, oil yield (%) (%) References Arthrospira platensis 4.07 10.95 4.27 [66,67] Chlorella vulgaris 4.9 39.31 31.9 [67,68] Scenedesmus sp. 6.2 – 28.33 [67,69] Nannochloropsis gaditana 12.9 36 40 [24] Algae species SFE, oil yield (%) PLE, oil yield MAE, oil yield (%) (%) References Monoraphidium sp. 29.46 20 - [70] Pavlova sp. 12.9 - - [71] Phaeodactylum tricornutum 14.7 34.3 28.5 [23,72] Schizochytrium limacinum 33.9 - 24 [67,73] Haematococcus pluvialis 28.2 15.81 14.26 [67,74] Microalgal biomass typically undergoes a pretreatment step before extraction. After gathering from natural ecosystems or photobioreactors, biomass is washed, and all possible impurities and residues are removed. Then, biomass is dried and crushed in order to increase the surface-to-volume ratio. These procedures also allow better matrix penetration by a solvent that results in a more efficient extraction process[75,76]. 1.3. Conventional and novel extraction techniques 1.3.1. Conventional extraction techniques Several conventional extraction techniques based on mechanical and chemical processes have been suggested for the recovery of bioactive compounds from different sources, including microalgae. The most widely utilized in the literature include maceration, infusion, decoction, Soxhlet extraction, and hydrodistillation[77,78]. In order to maximize yield and get pure and high-guality substances, the

choice of the extraction method always depends on the nature and polarity of the

target compound. Typically, conventional extraction methods have low processing cost, and they are easy in operation. However, due to several phases in the system, a mass transfer resistance might occur, which limits some conventional extraction techniques as Soxhlet[79]. Moreover, conventional techniques require longer extraction time, do not require high purity solvents, they show lower extraction yield, have decreased selectivity, and may cause thermal decomposition of bioactive compounds. Above all, most of the organic solvents used in conventional extraction techniques are flammable and volatile, energy-intensive, cause environmental pollution, contribute to the greenhouse effect, climate change, and can harm people[80]. That is why, due to these limitations and the growing need for producing bioactive compounds novel, cost-effective, fast, eco-friendly, and safe methods are needed to be implemented. 1.3.2. Supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) The first characterization of supercritical fluid behavior was reported by Hannay and Hogarth in 1879[81]. A substance behaves as a supercritical fluid when its pressure and temperature increase above its critical point. Under these conditions, the supercritical fluid has a similar viscosity to a gas, the density becomes

similar to a liquid, and its diffusivity is an average between gas and liquid.

Because of high diffusivity and low viscosity, supercritical fluids can extract more compounds and exhibit wider transport properties compared to conventional solvents[78]. Supercritical fluid extraction is now widely applied to recover a variety of bioactive compounds from food and plant sources. Carbon dioxide has a Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS) status in the food industry. Supercritical carbon dioxide

extraction (SFE-CO2) has also been suggested for the recovery of high- value compounds from micro- and macro algae (Rhodophyceae, Phaeophyceae, Chlorophyceae)[82]. SFE-CO2 typically results in high extraction yields and fast extraction rate, also with minimal or no consumption of toxic organic solvents, which makes it a green and sustainable extraction method with no harmful effect on people and the environment[83]. Other solvents as propane, butane, dimethyl ester were also introduced for usage in SFE. Despite their recognized toxicity, the quantities used during SFE extractions are too low compared to other extraction techniques[84,85]. Figure 1.7. Phase diagram for carbon dioxide[86] At room temperature CO2 turns back to gas, so obtained extract is entirely solvent-free. Moreover, supercritical conditions for carbon dioxide are relatively low, with a critical temperature of 31.2°C and pressure 7.38 MPa[87]. Properties of gas, liquid, and supercritical fluid are presented in Table 1.2. Table 1.2. Comparison of total oil yield of high-value metabolites extracted from different microalgae through SFE, PLE and MAE Gas Liquid Supercritical Fluid Density (g/mL) 10-3 1.0 3*10-1 Diffusivity (cm2/s) 10-1 5*10-6 10-3 Dynamic Viscosity (g/cm s) 10-2 10-4 10-2 The schematic diagram of the supercritical CO2 extraction process is shown in Figure 1.8. The system consists of a CO2 pump, supply pump, extraction column, modifier pump, and cosolvent pump (if necessary), pre-heat and mixture vessels, and pressure release valves. Also, the system contains pressure and temperature controllers and, if needed, one or several fractionation cells. Due to the high cost of CO2, a recycling system is usually added[88]. Figure 1.8. Schematic diagram of supercritical CO2 extraction process. Adapted from Martins et al.[88] Because supercritical carbon dioxide is a highly non-polar solvent, in certain cases, there is a requirement to introduce some modifiers, also called cosolvents, to the system to increase solubility and allow the extraction of more polar compounds such as xanthophylls, phenols, and flavonoids. The most common co-solvents are methanol and ethanol. In microalgal extraction, the addition of small amounts of ethanol helped to swell the algal matrix[82]. Moreover, carotenoids containing hydroxyl groups are extracted more efficiently because of forming hydrogen bonds with ethanol[89-91]. As a result, decreased CO2 density by ethanol leads to higher carotenoid yield compared to pure CO2[92]. On the other hand, pure CO2 exhibits higher selectivity compared to combination with co-solvents, which can lead to extraction of not only non-polar fat components and carotenoids but also polar compounds that sometimes can be undesired. Particle size also contributes to the mass transfer and extraction yield, so in order to increase extraction efficiency, deflocculating agents, and crushing of material can be used[93,94]. Temperature and pressure have a significant effect on SFE extraction kinetics. By applying different conditions, the solvent's characteristics such as density, viscosity, and diffusivity can be tuned. As a result, dissolving properties of the fluid can be controlled depending on the nature of the target compounds. With pressure increasing to its critical point, the solubility of extracting substances increases as well, and the temperature increases. When the extraction process runs under high pressure, the temperature decrease leads to increasing the solubility of target compounds[78]. Overall, according to studies on SFE extraction of carotenoids from microalgae, raising the temperature while pressure was low has led to lower extraction yield due to decreased density of CO2 and carotenoids' solubility. However, if the carotenoid is sufficiently thermostable, further raising of pressure and temperature results in higher extraction yields[69,89,90,92,95,96] Extraction efficiency is also determined by polarity, solubility, chemical and thermal stability of the extracting material. Generally, for carotenoid and lipid compounds extraction from microalgae, pressure ranges from 10 to 30 MPa, and

temperature ranges of 40 to 50 °C are being used[97]. Advantages of SFE: Compared to other extraction techniques, the main advantage of SFE is high extraction efficiency, which results in higher yields within a relatively short time. Because the fluid is continuously moving through the material, complete or quantitative extraction of compounds is ensured. SFE extraction is easily controlled by changing temperature, pressure, or modifiers used. Dissolved solutes can be depressurized, and the concentration process can be avoided if pure CO2 is used. Because of the possibility of extraction under low temperatures, thermolabile compounds can also be extracted. CO2 used as a solvent can be recycled, it is recognized as GRAS, and extracts are free from residuals solvents. SFE can be applied from a laboratory scale to pilot plant and industrial- scale production[87]. Disadvantages of SFE: Because of CO2's non nonpolar nature, the quantitative extraction of polar substances from solid matrices can be difficult. Meanwhile, the addition of modifiers decreases selectivity[98,99]. Deficient interaction between material and supercritical CO2 can occur. SFE requires high investments and operation costs because high pressure is used during the extraction process. Moreover, safety measures should be considered while working with high-pressure techniques to avoid damage to people and the environment[100,101]. 1.3.3. Pressurized liquid extraction The first use of pressurized liquid extraction was mentioned

in 1996 by Richter et al. [96]. PLE is also known as accelerated solvent extraction, enhanced solvent extraction, high-pressure solvent extraction, and pressurized fluid extraction.

The higher extraction efficiency is achieved when high pressure brings solvents above the temperature of its boiling point, as the high temperature increases mass transfer rate and solubility [97,98]. Also, raising the temperature helps to obtain more effective matrix penetration and, as a result, better extraction rate due to reduced surface tension and viscosity of solvents. Because the amount of solvents used in PLE is much lower as compared to other extraction techniques, it is generally recognized as a green technique [99]. A typical PLE

system is presented in Figure 1. 9. It consists of solvent reservoirs, solvent pump,

nitrogen tank, heating oven and pumps, pressure relief valve, extraction cell, collection and waste vessels, temperature, and pressure controllers. The prepared material is introduced to the extraction cell. The cell is filled with the heated solvent, and pressure and temperature are set to enhance the extraction. Extraction can be static, dynamic, or combined. Static mode allows extracting more analytes, while dynamic mode has increased mass transfer. When the extraction is finished, the excess solvent is removed by purging nitrogen into the system. Afterward, the extract is collected into the vial. In order to prevent over-pressurization of the cell, a pressure valve is installed[102]. Time of extraction, number of cycles, type of solvent, temperature, and pressure can be changed depending on the material and desired to extract compounds and yield. The rate of extraction depends on the nature of the material and location of bioactive compounds within the matrix[103]. The selection of solvent strictly controls the nature of the recovered analytes. Unlike SFE, PLE can easily extract both polar and non-polar substances. The most common solvents used in PLE are acetone, ethanol, water, or mixture of them. Hexane can also be used to extract lipophilic compounds. Also, methane, propane, ethyl acetate, dichloromethane and ionic liquids

can be used[104–106]. In cases when hot water is used as the only solvent to replace other organic solvents, the process

is called pressurized hot water extraction or subcritical water extraction[

107]. Figure 1.9. Schematic diagram of pressurized liquid extraction. Adapted from Kadam et al. [78] PLE has been used for the recovery of lipids and a wide range of bioactive substances from algae and microalgae. Generally, the temperature in PLE varies from 50 to 200°C, and the pressure range is 3.5-20 MPa. PLE extraction of zeaxanthin from Chlorella ellipsoidea was performed using ethanol, hexane and 2propanol as solvents[108]. The same method was used for zeaxanthin recovery from Chlorella vulgaris with ethanol. Hexane and 2-propanol, required higher temperatures to obtain the same result[68]. Rodriguez-Meizoso et al. studying carotenoid recovery from Phormidium sp. with PLE using ethanol, also observed that under the temperature range of 50-100°C and the pressure of 10.3MPa extraction yields increased[109]. P. tricornutum was also studied for fucoxanthin recovery using PLE with optimum conditions of 50°C, 100% EtOH and 20 min extraction time. PLE showed a higher fucoxanthin extraction yield as compared to MAE[110]. Advantages of PLE. Compared to other extraction techniques, PLE requires much less time to perform one extraction. Moreover, it drastically reduces the amount of solvent used for extraction. It also allows us to use a wide range of solvents and have a fully automated process with the possibility to control extraction parameters[111]. Disadvantages of PLE. Because of the high temperatures used in extraction, PLE is not the best option to recover thermolabile compounds. It also does not have high selectivity. As well as SFE, PLE should be carefully monitored upon using for safety reasons because of the high pressure used throughout the process[112]. 1.4. Processing and technology of P. tricornutum P. tricornutum has been mostly targeted as a feedstock of bioactive compounds such as PUFAs and fucoxanthin. The most common extraction techniques applied were SLE and PLE using nhexane, acetone, ethanol and water, and SFE-CO2. Kim et al. has conducted research using MAE, UAE, PLE, and Soxhlet extraction with a range of solvents as hexane, acetone, ethanol, water, and mixes in different proportions. Moreover, carotenoid content was evaluated using HPLC (high performance liquid chromatography), and EPA content was compared with several micro- and macroalgae species. The major carotenoid in P. tricornutum was found to be fucoxanthin, followed by several chlorophyll-type a, c1, c2 carotenoids and diatoxanthin The highest fucoxanthin content was obtained by using acetone and ethanol as solvent. There was no statistically significant difference between fucoxanthin yield in the different extraction methods, although the highest yield (16.51 mg/g DW) was under PLE extraction. Also, fucoxanthin yield increased with increasing the pressure and gradually decreased with increasing the temperature[4,110]. Gilbert-López et al. have optimized PLE and MAE using ethanol, water alone or in different ratios for the recovery of bioactive compounds from P. tricornutum. In general, the extracts' composition was similar in both extraction techniques. However, extraction yield, carotenoids, and PUFAs recovery were shown to be higher in PLE[110]. Only one recent research has reported data on the extraction of P. tricornutum and several other microalgal biomass using supercritical CO2 and subcritical n-butane. Extraction conditions of SFE-CO2 for P. tricornutum were 30 MPa, 40°C, extraction time was 2 hours and CO2 flow rate was 9mL min-1. The maximum lipid extraction yield was 14.7 mg g-1 DW with further evaluation of extracts on fatty acids profile and total carotenoid content. As it was summarized,

SFE-C02 has the potential to be commercially applied for fucoxanthin production from P. tricornutum[113,114]. Currently, there is lack of data in the literature on PLE extraction potential and influence of different conditions on P. tricornutum biomass, with additional evaluation of extracts for antioxidant capacity, total phenolic content, fatty acids and carotenoids, as well as other possible bioactivities. Moreover, there is no data on the optimization of SFE-C02 for the diatom with further integration of the biorefinery concept. Thus, the optimization of SFE-C02 and further biorefinery of P. tricornutum biomass applying PLE to the SFE-C02 residues was performed in the current research. 1.5. Biorefinery concept Microalgae biomass consists of a wide range of valuable compounds, which makes it a suitable feedstock for producing bio-products such as nutraceuticals, pharmaceuticals, as well as a potential biodiesel resource[115]. Nowadays, the main challenge is high production costs because of the expenses linked with microalgae cultivation. The key issue is in downstream autotrophic cultures and the impossibility of simultaneously optimizing process recovery which accounts for 40% of the total cost. This happens mainly due to the diluted nature of the multiple microalgal components and the challenges associated with their separation from the biomass[116]. The main bottlenecks in microalgae production

are enhancing the growth rate and product synthesis of microalgae, dewatering the cultivation of algae for the production of biomass and

biomass pretreating[2]. Thus, integration of the biorefinery concept could provide an opportunity to significantly decrease production costs by producing multiple components from microalgae biomass[117]. The idea of microalgae biorefinery is not recent and has been discussed for several years now. The concept implies the conversion of biomass into several high-cost products by sustainable production with minimal waste and maximum utilization of raw materials, which makes the whole process costeffective[118]. Figure 1.10 illustrates possible algae biorefinery pathways. Pressurized fluids can be coupled with the production, so yield is expected to be much higher compared to conventional production, and by using green techniques, no damage to people and the environment will be caused. Moreover, as it was reported the current microalgal biomass production cost which is about \$4.92 kg-1, is expected to be reduced down to \$0.50 kg-1 within a biorefinery approach [119]. Solar energy Wastewater Power Treated wastewater Bioremediation of wastewater Algal cultivation Algal biomass recovery Extraction and purification Whole algae biomass Fractionation Pigments Proteins Lipids Carbohydrates Torrefaction Hydrothermal treatment Pyrolysis Gasification Carbohydrates Lipids Biopharmaceuticals Bionutrients Biofertilizers Biomaterials Biochemicals Power generation Bio-crude oil Hydrochar Biosyngas Bioethanol Biogases Biodiesel CO2 generation from industrial activities Figure 1.10. Possible algae biorefinery pathways. Adapted from [115] For now, there are only a few studies on the biorefinery concept for P. tricornutum[120,121]. Considering this fact, current research was conducted to investigate bioactivity of high-value compounds derived from P. tricornutum, optimize the SFE-CO2 extraction with further application of PLE on solid residues to maximize full recovery of compounds. 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS 2.1. Algal material P. tricornutum freeze-dried biomass (Phytobloom Prof Phaeodactylum ®) was obtained from Necton SA, Portugal. The material was stored in the freezer (-18 °C) until further analysis. 2.2. Chemicals and reagents Acetone, Analytical/HPLC grade hexane,

2,2'-azinobis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid radical cation (ABTS+,

99 %), catalytic tablet (K2SO4, CuSO4), Conc. H2SO4, NaOH, H3BO4, Na2CO3, 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl hydrate (DPPH•, 99%), 3,4,5-trihydroxybenzoic acid

(gallic acid, 99 %), 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox, 97

%), analytical/HPLC grade methanol (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK), hexane (PENTA Chemikalien, Mainaschaff, Germany), Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent 2M, nitrogen liquid(AGA SIA, Riga, Latvia), carbon dioxide, nitrogen gases (99.9%, Gaschema, Jonava region, Lithuania), cotton-wool (Bella-cotton, Poland), microcrystalline cellulose 20 µm (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), NaCl, KCl, KH2PO4, K2S2O8 (Lach-Ner, Brno, Czech Republic), Na2HPO4 (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), sodium carbonate (99.5 %, AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany),

ultrapure water obtained by Millipore purification system (Billerica, MA, USA), ethanol (99.5%) (VWR Chemicals, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France), commercial β-carotene and

fucoxanthin standards (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), ASE filters (Glass Fiber (X) Cellulose and diatomaceous earth (100% SiO2) from Dionex Corporation (Sunnyvale, CA,

USA), ethanol (99.5%) (VWR Chemicals, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France).

2.3. Determination of chemical composition 2.3.1. Determination of Ash Content P. tricornutum freezedried biomass 1 ± 0.1 g was placed in a dry, constant weight crucible. Experiments were performed in triplicates. Crucibles with ground samples were placed on the electric hotplate and heated until the smoke stopped to form. After this, crucibles with samples were transferred and kept in the muffle under 600-650 °C temperature. Ash (mineral) content (%) was calculated using Equation 2.1 below and expressed in a g/100 g of dry weight (DW): (k1-k2)/(k1-k) * 100, g/100g of DW; (Eq. 2.1) where: k – the weight of the crucible, g; k1 – the weight of the crucible with the sample before drying, g; k2 – the weight of the crucible with the sample after drying, g. 2.3.2. Determination of oil content by Soxhlet-Hex extraction P. tricornutum freeze-dried biomass was previously manually crushed in a mortar for 5 min. Afterward, 2±0.01 g were placed in cellulose extraction thimbles.

Soxhlet extraction was performed in automated Soxhlet extractor EZ100H (Behr Labor-Technik, Düsseldorf, Germany).

Cellulose extraction thimbles were

inserted into an inner tube of the Soxhlet extraction apparatus for the extraction.

All extractions were performed in triplicates, and the non-polar fraction was isolated using hexane solvent. Total extraction time was 360 min, extraction rate one cycle was 3 min, and the temperature was 80° C. Hexane

was evaporated in a Büchi V-850 Rotavapor R-210 (Flawil, Switzerland).

After the organic solvent evaporation, extracts were kept under nitrogen flow for 10 to 15 min to evaporate residues of hexane. Extract yields were determined gravimetrically (±0.001 g) and expressed as a % of dry weight. 2.3.3. Determination of nitrogen content by Kjeldahl method P. tricornutum freeze-dried biomass was weighed (of 1±0.01 g) to the special Kjeldahl flask. 20 ml of concentrated H2SO4 was added, as well as the tablet of catalyst (K2SO3 3.4 g, CuSO4 0,4 g), and the mixture was heated for 2 hours until it became transparent. The heating intensity was 60%. Then the solution was distilled using an automatic steam distillation system under the following conditions – 3 s NaOH, 3 s H3BO4, the time of distillation was 300 min, the intensity of the steam was 80 %. After distillation, the solution was collected into the flask, followed by the addition of the Toshiro indicator and titration with a 0,1 N HCl solution until the color changes from light green to violet. 20 ml concentrated H2SO4 was used as a control sample and analyzed in the same manner as described above. The nitrogen content was calculated using the following Equation 2.2 (with expression in a percentage): %N=(VA-VB) * NHCl * 1,4007/W; (Eq. 2.2) where: VA - volume of standard HCl required for the sample, ml; VB - volume of standard HCl required for the blank, ml; NHCl normality of acid standard; 1,4007 - miliequivalent weight of N*100; W - weight of the sample, g. 2.3.4. Determination of water content The weight of 2±0.05 g of P. tricornutum freeze-dried biomass was placed in a dry, constant weight glasses with a cap and rod. Experiments were performed in duplicates. Glasses with a cap, rod, and samples were weighted gravimetrically (±0.001 g), periodically stirred, and dried in the oven at the 100-105 °C temperature. After every 24 h, samples were cooled in the desiccator for 30 minutes and then weighted gravimetrically (±0.001 g) until the constant weight. After 48h of drying, the water content (%) was calculated using Equation 2.3 below and expressed in a g/100 g of dry weight (DW). X=(m1-m2)/(m1-m) * 100; g/100 g of DW; (Eq. 2.3) where: m - weight of the glass with a cap and rod, g;m1 - weight of the glass with a cap, rod and the sample before drying, g; m2 - weight of the glass with a cap, rod and the sample after drying, g. 2.4. Conventional extraction techniques. Solid-liquid extraction (SLE) Solid-liquid stepwise extractions with different solvents (hexane, acetone, ethanol, and water) were performed in a thermostatically controlled shaker. All extractions were performed in triplicates. P. tricornutum freeze-dried biomass with a weight of 10g was loaded into dry plastic bottles. 10 ml of hexane was poured on the biomass. After each step fresh solvent was added at the ratio of 1:1 (g biomass: ml of solvent). Bottles with mixture were shaken at 900 rpm. Every extraction took 360 min and was performed at 40°C. After each extraction step, the extraction bottles were cooled down, centrifuged (9000 rpm, 10 min), and the mixture was filtered (Whatman filter paper 1). All the organic solvents

were evaporated in a Büchi V-850 Rotavapor R-210 (Flawil, Switzerland), and water extracts were freeze-dried.

After organic solvent evaporation, extracts were kept under the nitrogen flow for 15 min to evaporate residues of organic solvents. All extracts were kept at -20 °C protected from light until the next analysis was performed. SLE-HEX, SLE-AC, SLE-EtOH, SLE-H2O extracts yields were determined gravimetrically (±0.001 g) and expressed in a % of dry weight DW. 2.5. Isolation of bioactive compounds from P. tricornutum by high-pressure extraction techniques 2.5.1. Lab-scale supercritical CO2

extraction (SFE-CO2) Supercritical CO2 extraction (SFE-CO2) was performed in a Helix extraction system (Applied Separation, Allentown, PA, USA)

using 2.500 ± 0.001 g of P. tricornutum freeze-dried biomass (previously manually crushed in a mortar for 5 min), which was

placed in a 50 mL cylindrical extractor (14mm inner diameter and 320mm length) between two layers of the cotton wool to avoid

particle carry-over to the system. The surrounding heating cover controlled cylindrical extractor temperature. The biomass was extracted at the following conditions: pressure 150–450 bars, temperature 30–70 °C, the flow of EtOH as a co-solvent 5–10%, dynamic extraction (continuous flow of supercritical CO2) was constant – 90 min. The static extraction was conducted with pressurizing supercritical CO2 for 10 min in a sealed extraction vessel prior to each dynamic extraction.

2.5. 2. Pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) Pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) was performed in ASE-350 extraction system (Thermo Scientific Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA,

USA) 3.000 ± 0.001 g of P. tricornutum residual biomass after SFE-CO2 optimal conditions, which were mixed with 7.500 g quartz sand (1/2.5, w/w) and placed to 66 mL stainless-steel extraction cells with cellulose filters in each end, before to the extraction experiments[110]. The biomass was stepwise extracted with acetone and ethanol at the following conditions: temperature 40–100°C pressure 103 bars (constant for ASE-350), dynamic extraction time 15–45 min (5, 10 and 15 min×3 cycles),

pre-heating time 5 min, cell flush volume 50% and purge time

120 s with nitrogen to collect the extracts in the vials. Selection of temperature higher than 100°C was avoided, as it may damage sensitive bioactive compounds such as carotenoids and induce oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids, while extraction time was based on previously performed studies demonstrating that it is sufficient for the recovery of carotenoids and polyunsaturated fatty acids from microalgae[110]. All the organic solvents

were evaporated in a Büchi V-850 Rotavapor R-210 (Flawil, Switzerland), and water extracts were freeze-dried.

After organic solvent evaporation, extracts were kept under the nitrogen flow for 15 min to evaporate residues of organic solvents. All extracts were kept at -20 °C protected from light until the next analysis was performed. SLE-HEX, SLE-AC, SLE-EtOH, SLE-H2O extracts yields were determined gravimetrically (±0.001 g) and expressed in a % of dry weight DW. 2.5.3. Experimental design

Central composite design (CCD) and response surface methodology (RSM) were used for the experimental design setup of

SFE-CO2. For

SFE-CO2, three independent variables and their variation levels were chosen,

namely pressure (150–450 bars), temperature (30–70 °C), and the amount of ethanol as co-solvent (5–10%). The response factors (RF) were the total yield of SFE–CO2 extract, total carotenoid content, and amount of fucoxanthin.

The complete design consisted of 18 experimental runs (8 factorial, 6 axial, and 4 center points)

and

was established using the Design- Expert 12 software (Stat-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, MN). The multiple regression equation was

applied in order to fit the second-order polynomial equation, expressing the yield of SFE-CO2 extract as a function of independent variables. Values were evaluated using version 8.0.7.1 of the Design-Expert trial program. Model statistical significance and each variable were calculated using the Student test (p-value) at a likelihood level of 5%

(p<0.05). The suitability of the model was determined by comparing the coefficient of 'lack of fit' and the obtained Fisher test value (F-value).

PLE was applied to SFE-CO2 optimal conditions residues.

Central composite design (CCD) and response surface methodology (RSM) were used to design the experiment. For PLE, two independent variables and

their variation levels were chosen – time per cycle (5–15min) and temperature (40– 100°C). Total yield, total carotenoid content and total phenolic content were chosen to be response factors. The complete design consisted of 12 experimental runs (in duplicates) and

was established using the Design-Expert trial version 8.0.7.1 software (Stat-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, MN).

All models were evaluated considering the percent variation explained by the

residual standard deviation (RSD), determination coefficient (R2) and lack-of-fit test for the model from the analysis of variance table, as the significance criteria.

Response surfaces were obtained by accepting significances at $p \le 0.05$, by the combination of two experimental factors, looking to maximize the yield and total carotenoid content. Biorefining scheme for P. tricornutum is shows on the Figure 2.3.

2.6. In vitro antioxidant capacity 2.6.1. Measurement of total phenolics content (Folin-Ciocalteu assay) Total phenolics content (TPC) of the SLE and

SFE-CO2 residual biomass and extracts of P. tricornutum

were determined by using the Folin -Ciocalteu' s assay with some modifications[

122]. The working solution was prepared by diluting commercial

Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent in distilled water (1:9, v/v). 750 µL

diluted Folin-Ciocalteu's solution was mixed with 150 μ L of the sample. MeOH was used for the blank. After 3 minutes, 600 μ L of Na2CO3 (75g/L) was added into the solution, left in the dark for 120 min at 25 °C. For QUENCHER approach TPC of the initial residual biomass after SLE and SFE-CO2 was measured by its direct application to the solid particles[123]. Microcrystalline cellulose was used as blank. 750 μ L diluted Folin-Ciocalteu's solution was mixed with 10 mg of sample and 150 μ L distilled water in a test tube. After 3 minutes, 600 μ L of Na2CO3 (75g/L) was added to neutralize the mixture, shake in the dark for 120 min at 25 °C, centrifuged at 4500 rpm 5 min.

Absorbance was measured at 760 nm with Spectronic Genesys 8 spectrophotometer (Thermo Spectronic, Rochester, NY, USA). Gallic acid solutions were

used to obtain the calibration curve in the 20µg/ml to 80µg/mL concentration range. Extracts (Equation 2.4) and QUENCHER (Equation 2.5) calibration curve equations: f(x)=0.0115x+0.034; R2=0.9991; (Eq. 2.4) f(x)=0.012x+0.0147; R2=0.9999; (Eq. 2.5) TPC

was expressed in an mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per g of extract

or DW of the material. All analysis was performed in six replicates. 2.6.2. ABTS+ cation radical assay The ABTS+ cation radical assay was adapted from Re et al. with some modifications[124]. The

phosphate-buffer saline (PBS; 75 mmol/L, pH 7.4) was prepared by dissolving 8.18 g NaCl, 1.42 g Na2HPO4, 0.27 g

KH2P04,

0.15 g KCL in 1 L distilled water. The ABTS++ radical solution was prepared by reacting 50 mL of ABTS++ (2 mmol /L PBS) and 200 L K2S208 (70 mmol /L) and left for 15 -16

hours

in the dark at 25 °C before use. Afterward, the working solution was prepared by diluting ABTS++ radical solution with PBS to obtain

0.700 (±0. 01) AU at 734 nm. 1500 L of ABTS++ solution was mixed with 25µ L of

P. tricornutum SLE and SFE-CO2 extracts or MeOH (blank) in an Eppendorf vial and left for 2 hours in the dark at 25 °C. QUENCHER. For SLE and SFE-CO2 solid residues quencher analysis, 10 mg of sample or cellulose (blank) was mixed with 1500µL of ABTS+ solution and 25 µL MeOH,

vortexed for 15 s, shaken at 250 rpm for 2 hours in the dark at 25 °C, centrifuged

at 4500 rpm 5 min.

Absorbance was measured at 734 nm with Spectronic Genesys 8 spectrophotometer (Thermo Spectronic, Rochester, NY). Trolox solutions (25µL) at various concentrations

(0-1500 mmol/L) were used to obtain the calibration curve. The following extracts (Equation 2.6) and QUENCHER (Equation 2.7) calibration curve equations were obtained: f(x)=0.058x-0.4155; R2=0.9978; (Eq. 2.6) f(x)=0.0545x+3.6261; R2=0.9945; (Eq. 2.7) TEAC of samples was calculated through dose-response curves for Trolox. Results were expressed as TEAC values

are expressed in mg of Trolox per g of extract or DW of plant

material. All analysis was performed in six replicates.

2.6.3. DPPH· radical scavenging assay DPPH· radical scavenging assay method was

adapted from Brand-Williams

et al. with some modifications [125]. The working solution was prepared by mixing

1000 L DPPH• methanolic solution (~ 89.7 mol/L, final absorption 0.800 ± 0.1 AU at 517 nm) and 500μ L of SLE extracts or MeOH (blank). The mixtures were kept for 2 hours in the dark at 25 °C. QUENCHER. For initial biomass material, SLE residues and SFE-CO2 quencher analysis 10 mg of sample or cellulose (blank) was mixed with 500 L MeOH and 1000 L 89.7 mol/L DPPH• methanolic solution,

vortexed for 15 s, shaken at 250 rpm for 2 hours in the dark at 25 °C, centrifuged

at 4500 rpm 5 min. The absorbance of all the samples

was measured at 517 nm with Spectronic Genesys 8 spectrophotometer (Thermo Spectronic, Rochester, NY, USA). Trolox solutions (500 L) in various concentrations

(0-50 mol/L MeOH) were used to obtain the calibration curve. Extracts (Equation 2.8) and QUENCHER (Equation 2.9) calibration curves equitation: f(x)=1.5798x+0.0986; R2=0.986; (Eq. 2.8) f(x)=1.4312x-1.6651; R2=0.9979; (Eq. 2.9) TEAC of samples was calculated using dose-response curves for Trolox.

Results were expressed as TEAC values in mg of Trolox per g of extract

or DW plant material. All analysis was performed in six replicates. 2.7. Chemical characterization of P. tricornutum 2.7.1. Chemical characterization of carotenoids

by HPLC- DAD The carotenoid profile of P. tricornutum extracts was determined by HPLC-DAD

(high-performance liquid chromatography with diode-array detector)

according to a method previously described[126]. HPLC analyses of the extracts were conducted using an Agilent 1200 series liquid chromatograph (Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with

a diode-array detector. Reversed-phase column

was

Agilent, Eclipse Plus C18 (5.0 µm; 3.0 x 250 mm),

the detection was performed at 440 and 460 nm, spectra recorded in the range of 350 to 600 nm.

Mobile phase: A - acetone: water (75: 25, v/v) and B - acetone: methanol (75: 25, v/v):

0-25% B to 10 min, then up to 100% B to 35 min, 100% B to 45 min, 0% B to 65 min, post-time 15 min. The flow

was 1. 5 ml/min, and the column temperature was 30 °C, with an injection volume of 10 µl.

For the calibration curve, six different concentrations of fucoxanthin in ethanol, ranging from 0. 005 to 0. 05 mg/mL, were analyzed using the HPLC –DAD instrument. 2. 7.2. Analysis of fatty acid composition by

means of GC-FID Fatty acid methyl esters were prepared from three non-polar extracts (Soxhlet, SFE-CO2, and PLE- acetone)

using a method based on 14% boron trifluoride/methanol solution, as recommended method for this type of

substrates[127].

Based on the Park and Goins method[128], the lipid extraction was omitted and in situ transesterification (ISTE) was performed by heating lipid-containing samples at 90 °C for 10 min after adding 0.5 N NaOH in methanol for methanolysis and continued heating another 10 min for further methylation after adding 14% BF3 in methanol.

Nitrogen gas was used for drying and removing solvents from fatty acid methyl esters.

Obtained samples were analyzed by a GC Agilent 7890A system with FID, auto-injection module for liquid, equipped with fused silica capillary column (SP-2560, 100 m x 0.25mm, I.D., 0. 20 μm). Helium was used as a carrier gas (purity > 99.9997 vol %, flow rate = 1.26 ml/min). The fatty acids peaks were identified by comparison of retention times with retention times of standards from Supelco 37 component FAMEs mix and with data from an internal data library, based on previous experiments. Results were expressed as a percentage of

the total GC-FID peak area. 2.7.3. Evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of extracts using the discdiffusion assay and determination of minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) P. tricornutum extracts after SFE-CO2, two-step PLE (acetone, ethanol) and SLE (hexane, acetone, ethanol, water) in duplicates were evaluated for their antimicrobial effects against eight pathogenic bacteria

(B. cereus, S. aureus, L. monocytogenes, E. coli, Salmonella spp,

E. faecalis, P. aeruginosa and fungus Aspergillus niger). Bacteria were

streaked onto Lysogeny broth (LB) agar plates, which were subsequently incubated at 28 °C for 24 h.

The

bacterial solution was uniformly spread onto the LB agar plates using sterile glass beads. 7mm GF/F filters (Whatman, Maidstone, United Kingdom) were put onto the LB agar plates seeded with the bacteria. 30 μL of each

extract, with a concentration of 1mg/mL (MeOH),

were pipetted onto the filters with an equivalent volume of

methanol (and water for SLE-water extract)

as a negative control. After 24 h of incubation at 28 °C, the diameters of inhibition zone were evaluated, and

extracts that showed antimicrobial activity were selected for MIC analysis. Control Broth Extract 1 Antibiotic Inoculated broth Extract 2 Methanol + inoculated broth Extract 3 Extract 4 Figure 2.1. Scheme ofFmigiucrroed2i.l1u.tion plate Figure 2.2. Order of dilution Microdilution method. In the first column (A) 200 μ L of each bacterium were added, and to all other columns (B-J) 100 μ L of bacteria were added. After, 20 μ L of each extract was added to column 1 (A). A series of double dilutions by mixing 100 μ L from row A to row B, from row B to row C, etc. were made. Finally, excessive100 μ L from the last row E was removed. For the control, 100 μ L of non-inoculated broth was added to row 1 of fields K and L. In row 2 100 μ L of antibiotic + 100 μ L of inoculated broth was added. 100 μ L of agar broth was added to row 3 (to evaluate growth control without extract). To the row 1, 100 μ L of methanol were added for solvent control. 2.8. Statistical analysis GraphPad Prism 8 software was used for a

one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by the Tukey's test in order to

compare mean values and their significance (p-value < 0.05). Microsoft Excel 2016 was used for calculating mean values and standard deviations. extracts SLE Hexane residue Acetone residue P. tricornutum freeze-dried Che mical comp osition biomass dete rminat ion resid ue Optimization of PLE-AC (61°C, 39 min) Optimization of SFE-CO2 (395 bars, 30°C, 9.2% EtOH) extr act extr act Analysis of fatty acid profile by GC-FID Etha nol resid ue Wat er resid ue Optimization of PLE-ET (66°C, 17 min) In vitro antioxidant capacity assays resid ue In vitro antioxidant capacity assays extr act Determination of pigments by HPLC-DAD, evaluation of the antimicrobial activity Figure 2.3. Biorefining scheme for P. tricornutum 36

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 3.1. Chemical composition During the first step of the

research chemical composition of microalgae, P. tricornutum was determined. The results are presented in Table 3.1. The protein content was 43.54%. Obtained protein content was in the range with results
reported by German-Baez et al., where protein content was determined to be 37%[20]. Oil content in the biomass was 10.1% using Soxhlet extraction with hexane, which was in range with literature data. Ryckebosch et al. have reported the oil content in P. tricornutum to be 17.8%[24], while there are additional studies that defined total oil content in freeze- dried biomass to be up to 44.8%, depending on the cultivation conditions and extraction method[22]. Biomass ash content was 8.16%, which is almost half lower than reported average data of 14-15% in the researches available[20,22,62]. Residual moisture was found to be 3.97%, which is in the range with the only recent research, where the water content in P. tricornutum Compounds Values (%) Protein content 43.54 ± 0.34 Oil content 10.1 ± 0.13 Ash content 8.16 ± 0.042 Water content 3.97 ± 0.16 *values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 3) 3.2. Conventional extraction techniques. Solid-liquid extraction (SLE). Conventional stepwise solid-liquid extraction was performed

in order to evaluate the influence of four different polarity solvents on the

extraction yield under the same conditions (temperature and extraction time). The results are presented in Figure 3.1. Obtained results allow concluding that type of solvent has a crucial impact on the extraction yield of P. tricornutum biomass. The highest extraction yield was obtained using water as a solvent, followed by ethanol, while acetone showed the lowest yield. SLE extraction yields of P. tricornutum. SLE-H20 18.05±0.89c SLE-ET SLE-AC SLE-HEX 0 5 6.7±0.25a 8.18±0.32a 10 Yield (%) 13.3±1.06b 15 20 Figure 3.1. SLE extraction yields of P. tricornutum (values

are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation n = 3). Different lowercase superscript letters indicate significant differences within the same row at p < 0.05

(ANOVA, p < 0.05). In the study of Ryckebosch et al. influence of different solvents on the SLE extraction yield of P. tricornutum free-dried biomass was investigated [24]. Hexane has shown the lowest yield compared to hexane/isopropanol or more polar chloroform/methanol mixtures; hexane yield was 6%, which is lower compared to the results obtained in the present study. During Soxhlet extraction, the total lipophilic yield was 10.1%; however, in this case, the temperature should be considered as a factor that enhances extraction. During Soxhlet extraction, the temperature was ~60°C, while during SLE, it was 40°C. Overall, non-polar lipid compounds and lipophilic, insoluble in water carotenoids are definitely extracted better using non-polar solvents such as hexane or semi-polar acetone. At the same time, P. tricornutum shows a significant amount of polar compounds probably polysaccharides, proteins and carotenoids. These types of compounds were extracted better with polar solvents such as ethanol and water. 3.3. Highpressure extraction techniques: Optimization of SFE-CO2 Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction was applied to P. tricornutum freeze-dried biomass in order to obtain fucoxanthin-rich fractions. As raw biomass contains about 10.1% of oil (Table 3.1) and SFE-CO2 have demonstrated high selectivity in extracting non-polar compounds such as fatty acids and carotenoids[95,129], current extraction method has been chosen for optimization of non-polar compounds recovery from P. tricornutum. Ethanol as cosolvent was applied, as during several extractions without ethanol amount of yield was not higher than 3.6%, which is 3-4 times lower compared to co-solvent assisted extraction (data is shown in Table 3.2). P.

tricornutum contains mostly xanthophyll carotenoid fucoxanthin, which has low solubility in very non-polar carbon dioxide. Ethanol helps to increase carotenoid solubility by swelling microalgae cell-matrix and forming hydrogen bonds with carotenoids that contain hydroxyl as their functional group. The same tendency was reported several times in the literature, where co-solvent assisted SFE-CO2 was applied to microalgae extraction, such as Scenedesmus sp., Synechococcus sp., Haematococcus pluvialis, and Chlorella vulgaris[90,96,129]. Table 3.2. Total oil yield during SFE-CO2 extraction of P. tricornutum biomass without applying the co- solvent Conditions (temperature/pressure) Total oil yield (g/100 g DW) 30°C/150 bars 2.76±0.30a 50°C/450 bars 3.24±0.23a 70°C/500 bars 3.63±0.17a *values are expressed

as mean ± standard deviation (n = 2). Different lowercase superscript letters indicate significant differences within the same column at p < 0.05

(ANOVA, p < 0.05) For optimization of extraction parameters,

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) and Central Composite Design (CCD) were used. The three independent optimization **parameters** were **the**

temperature (30–70°C), pressure (150–450 bars), and the amount of ethanol as a co-solvent (5–10%). Yield, total carotenoid content and fucoxanthin content were three independent response factors. During the optimization of the process and maximizing extraction yield, time remained constant (90 min), as during several trial extractions runs it has been proven that during 180 min extraction time amount of yield stops to change significantly after 60 min (Figure 3.2). The extraction initially goes faster, as the extract moves from solid particles to the surface before being dissolved in the supercritical fluid. When the extract concentration at the surface of the material drops to zero, the thermodynamic equilibrium is reached and the total yield achieves its maximum[130]. Dependence of yield on extraction time 10 8 Yield (%) 6 4 2 0 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 Extraction time (min) Figure 3.2. Kinetics of change in yield as a function of extraction time (300 bars, 50°C, 7.5% EtOH) Table 3.3. presents SFE-CO2 extract yields

(g/100 g DW), total carotenoid content (mg/g extract), and fucoxanthin content (mg/g extract)

of extracted P. tricornutum biomass. Overall, the yield obtained ranged from 4.8 to 11.7% with the maximum value (11.7%) under 450 bars, 70°C and 10% of ethanol as co-solvent. Total carotenoid content ranged from 14 to 40 mg/g extract with the maximum amount obtained under 450 bars, 30°C and 10% of co-solvent. In order to determine the model adequacy for both yield and total carotenoid content, predicted and actual values were compared (Figure 3.4, 3.6). Table 3.3. Central composite design matrix for SFE-CO2 optimization for P. tricornutum biomass and values of observed responses: SFE-CO2 extract yields

(g/100 g DW), total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) and fucoxanthin content (mg/g extract)

Pressure Run (bar) 1 300 2 450 3 450 4 150 5 450 6 150 7 150 8 300 Temperature Co-solvent (°C) (%) 50 7.5 70 5 30 10 50 7.5 50 7.5 70 5 30 10 50 7.5 Yield** Total carotenoid content** Fucoxanthin content (g/ 100 g DW) 9.02 \pm 0.12 (mg β -carotene/g extract) 33.31 \pm 0.08 (mg/g extract) 32.36 7.70 \pm 0.07 28.91 \pm 0 19.84 10.64 \pm 0.56 40.60 \pm 0.25 48.00 6.64 \pm 0.56 16.62 \pm 0.13 10.11 9.81 \pm 0.19 38.56 \pm 0.13 20.98 5.62 \pm 0.03 15.48 \pm 0.04 9.00 6.89 \pm 0.12 17.34 \pm 0.08 25.72 9.11 \pm 0.53 34.25 \pm 0.16 32.36 Pressure Temperature Co-

solvent Yield** 9 450 70 10 300 30 11 150 70 12 450 30 13 300 70 14 150 30 15 300 50 16 300 50 17 300 50 18 300 50 Optimal 395 30 conditions 10 7.5 10 5 7.5 5 7.5 5 10 7.5 9.2 11.73 \pm 0.75 9.19 \pm 0.34 8.41 \pm 0.52 8.61 \pm 0.33 9.84 \pm 0.28 4.88 \pm 0.29 9.47 \pm 0.31 7.42 \pm 0.07 10.9 \pm 0.57 9.22 \pm 0.70 9.80 \pm 0.22 *optimal conditions were suggested by Design-Expert 7.0 software **data is given as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 2) Total carotenoid Fucoxanthin content** content 34.73 \pm 0.25 22.54 36.88 \pm 0.17 49.77 14.64 \pm 0 9.13 32.81 \pm 0.04 28.66 32.51 \pm 0.18 39.15 16.60 \pm 0.04 16.03 34.73 \pm 0.09 38.02 26.21 \pm 0.17 25.76 31.49 \pm 0.17 41.30 35.25 \pm 0.21 34.20 35.14 \pm 2.45 47.70 A. Total oil yield Figure 3.3 shows the effects of independent variables (temperature, pressure, and co-solvent) on SFE-CO2 extract yields (g/100 g DW) from P. tricornutum biomass. In general, the greatest impact on both yield and total carotenoid content had pressure, followed by the amount of co-solvent used. The obtained yield was in a range with that reported previously in the literature (14.7%), where SFE- CO2 extraction of P. tricornutum was run under 40°C, and 300 bar, flow rate of CO2 was 9mL/min-1 and extraction time was 2 hours[23]. Overall, actual values of yield (9.80%) were in good relation with predicted ones (9.69%) as well as obtained values were close to 45° line, which indicates good points distribution and confirms that the model fits well. The regression equation obtained using CCD for yield (Equation 3.1). Yield, % = 9.29 + 1.61 *

A + 0. 32 * B + 1. 44 * C - 0. 27 * A * B + 0. 14 * A * C + 0. 34 * B * C - 1. 15 * A2 + 0. 14 * B2 - 0. 22 * C2,

(Eq. 3.1), where A - pressure; B - temperature; C - co-solvent. A: Effect of

temperature and pressure at constant co-solvent, %. B: Effect of co-solvent and pressure at a constant temperature

Yield 70.00 Yield 10.00 Yield 11.2 10.2 9.15 8.1 B: Temperature 60.00 50.00 7.437 Yield 8.11179 9.46137 8.78658 6.76222 9.65 8.1 6.55 5 7.05 6 70.00 60.00 50.00 B: Temperature 450.00 375.00 300.00 40.00 225.00 A: Pressure 30.00 150.00 40.00 10.00 8.75 450.00 375.00 7.50 300.00 30.00 150.00 225.00 300.00 375.00 450.00 C: Co-Solvent 6.25 225.00 5.00 150.00 A: Pressure A: Pressure 8.75 10.1456 C: Co-Solvent 7.50 9.11929 8.09295 7.06661 6.25 6.04027 8.09295 5.00 150.00 225.00 300.00 375.00 450.00 A: Pressure C: Effect of co-solvent and temperature at constant pressure 10.00 Yield 10.6945 11.4 10.0826 8.75 10.45 9.5 Yield 8.55 C: Co-Solvent 9.47066 7.50 8.85873 7.6 6.25 10.00 8.24681 8.75 70.00 60.00 7.50 50.00 5.00 C: Co-Solvent 6.25 30.00 40.00 50.00 60.00 70.00 40.00 5.00 30.00 B: Temperature B: Temperature Figure 3

3. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of independent variables (temperature, pressure, and co-solvent) on

SFE-CO2 extract yields (g/100 g DW) from P. tricornutum biomass (A: effect of temperature and pressure at a constant time; B:

effect of co-solvent and pressure at constant temperature: C: effect of co-solvent and temperature at constant pressure

41

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the regression parameters for the response surface quadratic model for

SFE-CO2 for extract yield (g/100 g) is presented in Table 3.4. The

model was evaluated on the basis of the statistical significance of each effect on the

response by comparing an estimate of the experimental error with the mean square. In the current report, five effects have

statistically significant values (p < 0.05). It can be concluded from the data that

pressure and co-solvent had the most significant effect on the extraction yield, while temperature had the least significant effect. The R-squared of 98.8% shows that the model explains 98.8% of the extraction yield variability, and the

predicted R-Squared of 87.3% is in reasonable agreement with the adjusted R-Squared of 97.6%. The

Model F-value of 79 .03 implies the model is significant, as there is only a 0.01% chance that a "Model F-value" this large could occur due to noise. An adequate precision

ratio of 32.252

indicates an adequate signal, so the model can be used to navigate the design space. Table 3.4. Analysis of variance of the regression

parameters for response surface quadratic model for SFE- CO2 optimization for P. tricornutum biomass (Response factor: SFE-CO2 extract yield, g/100 g)

Source Sum of squares df Mean square F value p-value Model 55 .65

Pressure (P, bars) 25.82 Temperature (T, °C) 1 Co-Solvent (C, %) 20.68 PT 0.56 PC 0.15 TC 0.92 P2 3.6 T2 0.051 C2 0.13 Residual 0.63 Lack of Fit 0.51 Pure Error 0.11 Total 56.27 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 8 5 3 17 6.18 25.82 1 20.68 0.56 0.15 0.92 3.6 0.051 0.13 0.078 0.1 0.038 79.03 330.09 12.84 264.31 7.18 1.93 11.82 45.99 0.66 1.64 2.7 < 0.0001* < 0.0001* 0.0071 < 0.0001* 0.0279 0.2019 0.0088* 0.0001* 0.4414 0.2366 0.2213** *: significant; **: not significant; df: degree of freedom; F: Fisher value.; MS: mean square; RF: response factor; SS: sum of square. Figure 3.4. Comparison between predicted and observed SFE-CO2 extract yields (g/100 g DW) from P. tricornutum biomass B. Total carotenoid content Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of independent variables (temperature, pressure, and co-solvent) on SFE-CO2 total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum biomass are shown on Figure 3.5. The greatest impact on the total carotenoid content had pressure, while co-solvent and temperature had almost the same effect. While increasing the pressure and the amount of co-solvent helped to increase total carotenoid contend in extracts, the temperature had the opposite effect. The highest amount of carotenoids was obtained under the minimum extraction temperature of 30°, which most likely happens because carotenoids are thermolabile compounds, as they can degrade easily in response to heat. The

regression equation obtained using CCD for total carotenoid content (Equation 3.2). Total carotenoid content, mg/g extract = 33.58 + 9.40 * A - 1.80 *

B+1. 94* **C-0.** 75* **A*****B** +1.75* **A*****C-0.** 50* **B*****C** -5.97* **A**2 +1.03* **B**2 -4.27* **C**2,

(Eq. 3.2) where A – pressure; B – temperature; C – co-solvent. In the only reported study with supercritical extraction used by Feller et al., total carotenoid content after SFE-CO2 extraction of P. tricornutum biomass was much lower – 2.6 mg/g extract weight. However, only one extraction condition was tested (40°C, 300 bar, CO2 flows rate was 9mL/min-1 and extraction time 2 hours), and no co-solvent was used. A: Effect of

temperature and pressure at constant co-solvent, % B: Effect of co-solvent and pressure at a constant temperature

70.00 Total carotenoid content 10.00 Total carotenoid content 17.7683 60.00 8.75 41 Total carotenoid content B: Temperature 35 29 50.00 25.5244 21.7278 29.3209 33.1175 Total carotenoid content 38 31.75 C: Co-Solvent 7.50 21.8017 23 36.914 25.5 25.835 33.9017 19.25 17 13 450.00 40.00 450.00 29.8684 6.25 70.00 10.00 375.00 375.00 17.7683 60.00 8.75 50.00 30.00 7.50 300.00 300.00 150.00 225.00 300.00 375.00 450.00 225.00 A: Pressure 6.25 225.00 A: Pressure C: Co-Solvent 150.00 225.00 300.00 375.00 450.00 B: Temperature 30.00 150.00 A: Pressure 5.00 150.00 A: Pressure C: Effect of co-solvent and temperature at constant pressure 10.00 Total carotenoid content 31.8477 Total carotenoid content 36.8 34.325 31.85 29.375 26.9 70.00 10.00 60.00 8.75 50.00 7.50 6.25 40.00 B: Temperature C: Co-Solvent 5.00 30.00 7.50 30.2145 28.5813 5.00 30.00 40.00 50.00 60.00 70.00 B: Temperature Figure 3

5. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of independent variables (temperature, pressure, and co-solvent) on

SFE-CO2 total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum biomass (A: effect of temperature and pressure at a constant time; B:

effect of co-solvent and pressure at constant temperature: C: effect of co-solvent and temperature at constant pressure

44

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the regression parameters for the response surface quadratic model for

SFE-CO2 for total carotenoid content (mg/g DW)

is presented in Table 3. 5. For the second response, seven effects had p-values less than

0.05, thus were statistically significant.

The R-squared value shows that the model explains 98.9% of the total

carotenoid content variability, and the

predicted R-Squared of 93.7% is in reasonable agreement with the adjusted R-Squared of 97.7%. The Model F-value of 82.17 implies the

model is significant, as well as obtained an adequate Precision ratio of 27.193 indicates that the

model can be used to navigate the design space. Table 3.5. Analysis of variance of the regression

parameters for response surface quadratic model for SFE- CO2 optimization for P. tricornutum biomass (Response factor: Total carotenoid content, mg

/g extract) Source Sum of squares df Mean square F value p-value

Model 1302.71 Pressure (P, bars) 883.6 Temperature (T, °C) 32.4 Co-Solvent (C, %) 37.64 PT 4.5 PC 24.5 TC 2 P2 96.74 T2 2.85 C2 49.52 Residual 14.09 Lack of Fit 11.72 Pure Error 2.37 Total 1316.81 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 8 5 3 17 144.75 883.6 32.4 37.64 4.5 24.5 2 96.74 2.85 49.52 1.76 2.34 0.79 82.17 501.64 18.39 21.37 2.55 13.91 1.14 54.92 1.62 28.11 2.97 < 0.0001* < 0.0001* 0.0027* 0.0017* 0.1486 0.0058* 0.3177 < 0.0001* 0.2393 0.0007* 0.1995** *: significant; **: not significant; df: degree of freedom; F: Fisher value; MS: mean square; RF: response factor; SS: sum of square. Figure 3.6. Comparison between predicted and observed SFE-CO2 total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum biomass Figure 3.6 shows that predicted and actual values are close to the 45° line, which indicates good points distribution and confirms that the model fits well. Real values (35.14 mg/g extract) of optimal conditions for total carotenoid content were in good relation with predicted ones (35.6 mg/g extract). C. Fucoxanthin content Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing

the effects of independent variables (temperature, pressure, and co-solvent) on

SFE-CO2 fucoxanthin content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum biomass are shown on Figure 3.7. The greatest impact on the fucoxanthin content had pressure and temperature, whereas co-solvent had twice less impact. While increasing the amount of co-solvent helped to increase fucoxanthin content in extracts, gradual increasing the temperature had the opposite effect. Increasing the pressure had a positive impact on fucoxanthin content but only till a certain point, as further increasing the pressure above approximately 370 bars resulted in a decline of fucoxanthin content. The highest amount of fucoxanthin (49.7 mg/g extract) was obtained under the minimum extraction temperature of 30°, 300 bars, and 7.5 % of EtOH, which is most probably associated with the combination of low temperature, increased pressure and amount of co-solvent. The regression equation obtained using CCD for fucoxanthin content (Equation 3.3). Fucoxanthin content, mg/g extract = 34.62 + 6.92 * A - 6.83 * B + 4.60 * C - 1.49 * A * B + 1.56 * A * C - 3.26 * B * C - 19.99 * A2 + 9.36 * B2 - 1.69 * C2, (Eq. 3.3) where A – pressure; B – temperature; C – co-solvent. Although, there is no study on the impact of different SFE conditions on the fucoxanthin content; in the study by Gilbert-López, PLE and MAE were optimized with a further determination of fucoxanthin content by HPLC-DAD[72]. Recovery of total fucoxanthin in PLE under optimal extraction conditions (50 °C, 100% EtOH, 20 min) and MAE under optimal extraction conditions (30 °C, 100% EtOH, 2 min) was 32.29 and 31.6 mg fucoxanthin/g extract respectively. Referent acetone extraction performed for 24 h in an orbital shaker

at the room temperature was 59.47 mg/g extract. In the current research, the fucoxanthin content obtained under optimal conditions during SFE-CO2 was 47.7 mg/g extract (predicted variation 4.6%), which is higher than in the results obtained by Gilbert- López for PLE and MAE (maximum values were 32.29 and 31.6 respectively), that allows concluding that SFE-CO2 extraction is more efficient in extracting main carotenoid fucoxanthin compared to PLE and MAE. This is due to the naturally lipophilic fucoxanthin, which results in its higher solubility in CO2 combined with only CO2 or polar solvents themselves[23].

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the regression parameters for response surface quadratic model for

SFE-CO2 for fucoxanthin content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum biomass is presented in Table 3.6. In the third response seven parameters showed a statistically significant effect (p < 0.05). The R-squared of 97.3% shows that the model explains 97.3% of the fucoxanthin content variability, and the

predicted R-Squared of 79.6% is in reasonable agreement with the adjusted R-Squared of 94.4%. The Model F-value of

32.91 implies that the model is significant, as well as obtained an adequate Precision ratio of 19.4 indicates that the

model can be used to navigate the design space. Table 3.6. Analysis of variance of the regression

parameters for response surface quadratic model for SFE- CO2 optimization for P. tricornutum biomass (Response factor: Fucoxanthin content, mg

/g extract) Source Sum of squares df Mean square F value p-value

Model Pressure (P, bars) Temperature (T, °C) Co-Solvent (C, %) PT PC TC P2 T2 C2 Residual Lack of Fit Pure Error Total 2630.35 478.45 466.08 211.88 17.79 19.44 84.96 1083.07 237.26 7.76 71.04 49.23 21.81 2701.39 9 292.26 1 478.45 1 466.08 1 211.88 1 17.79 1 19.44 1 84.96 1 1083.07 1 237.26 1 7.76 8 8.88 5 9.85 3 7.27 17 32.91 53.88 52.49 23.86 2.00 2.19 9.57 121.97 26.72 0.87 1.35 < 0.0001* < 0.0001* < 0.0001* 0.0012* 0.1947 0.1773 0.0148* < 0.0001* 0.0009* 0.3771 0.4272** *: significant; **: not significant; df: degree of freedom; F: Fisher value.; MS: mean square; RF: response factor; SS: sum of square. A: Effect of

temperature and pressure at constant co-solvent, % B: Effect of co-solvent and pressure at a constant temperature, C

52 Fucoxanthin content 40.5 29 17.5 6 B: Temperature 450.00 375.00 30.00 300.00 A: Pressure 225.00 60.00 50.00 40.00 150.00 70.00 B: Temperature 70.00 Fucoxanthin content 41 31.25 60.00 21.5 14.4114 29.3229 50.00 21.8671 4 29.3229 36.7786 Fucoxanthin content 11.75 10.00 C: Co-Solvent 2 40.00 8.75 44.2344 7.50 C: Co-Solvent 450.00 6.25 375.00 30.00 300.00 225.00 150.00 225.00 300.00 375.00 450.00 5.00 150.00 A: Pressure A: Pressure 10.00 Fucoxanthin content 8.75 7.50 14.792408.7035 4 26.6122 26.6122 6.25 32.5209 8.88604 20.7035 5.00 150.00 225.00 300.00 375.00 450.00 A: Pressure C: Effect of co-solvent and temperature at constant pressure, % 10.00 Fucoxanthin content 57 Fucoxanthin content 49 52.1459 8.75 41 33 47.3145 25 C: Co-Solvent 7.50 42.4831 37.6517 4 32.8203 10.00 8.75 6.25 7.50 C: CoSolvent 70.00 6.25 60.00 50.00 40.00 5.00 5.00 30.00 30.00 40.00 50.00 60.00 70.00 B: Temperature B: Temperature

Figure 3. 7. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

independent variables (temperature, pressure, and co-solvent) on SFE-CO2 fucoxanthin content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum biomass (A: effect of temperature and pressure at a constant time; B:

effect of co-solvent and pressure at constant temperature: C: effect of co-solvent and temperature at constant pressure

48 Figure 3.8. Comparison between predicted and observed SFE-CO2 fucoxanthin content (mg/ g extract) from P. tricornutum biomass Figure 3.8 shows that predicted and actual values indicate good points distribution and confirm that the model fits well. Real values (47.7 mg fucoxanthin/g extract) of optimal conditions for total carotenoid content were in good relation with predicted ones (50 mg fucoxanthin/g extract). 3.3.1 Validation of the predictive model of multiple response optimization for SFE-CO2

In order to validate the optimization conditions obtained by RSM, experiments under optimal conditions in duplicate were carried out, and the results are shown in Table

3.7.

Multiple response optimization was performed to maximize the total oil yield and total carotenoid content,

to extract the maximum amount of fucoxanthin. All response variables were considered equally important. The optimum conditions proposed by the model were 395 bars pressure, 30°C temperature, and 9.2% of EtOH as a co-solvent. Table 3.7. Validation results of SFE-CO2 optimal conditions. Predicted and actual values of extraction Parameter under optimal conditions Predicted Actual Variation, % (395 bars, 30°C, 9.2% EtOH) Yield, g/100 g DW 9.69 9.80±0.22 -1.18 Total carotenoid content, mg/g extract 35.6 35.14±2.45 +1.28 Fucoxanthin content, mg/g extract 50.0 47.70 +4.60 *values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 2) In all three responses, the variation between predicted and actual values was less than 5%, which indicates the good validity of the suggested models. Both values for total carotenoid content and fucoxanthin content were lower than predicted, with fucoxanthin content having the highest variation of 4.6%. The actual total oil yield values were higher than the predicted ones. Overall, current optimization shows that these regression equation model can be used to optimize oil, total carotenoid and fucoxanthin extraction by SFE-CO2 from freeze-dried P. tricornutum biomass. Based on ANOVA 's assessment of the total carotenoid content and fucoxanthin, the pressure was found to be the most important parameter of all the extraction parameters, followed by the temperature; whereas the amount of co-solvent was the least important factor. At the same time, the pressure was also the main factor for the total oil yield, the amount of co-solvent was the second crucial parameter for the efficient extraction process and the temperature had the lowest impact. 3.4. High-pressure extraction techniques. Optimization of Pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) The PLE optimization was performed on SFE-CO2 residues of P. tricornutum biomass extracted under optimal conditions, as described in section 3.3. As

stepwise solid-liquid extraction has shown that only a part of antioxidant-rich fractions has been removed after using non-polar solvent, a similar approach was followed for the sequential high-pressure extraction. Towards this end, a sequential PLE extraction with acetone followed by ethanol was performed on the residues after SFE, aiming to extract the maximum of active polar substances. Multiple studies have shown that

P. tricornutum contains significant amounts of polar compounds such as polysaccharides and proteins that are better extracted using more polar solvents. In contrast, phenolic compounds and carotenoids (which are mostly related to the antioxidant capacity) are often extracted with pure ethanol[

20,47,131,132].

Central Composite Design (CCD) and Response Surface Methodology (RSM) were applied to study the effect of two

parameters extraction time (15 to 45 min) and temperature (40–100°C) on the optimization process. Yield, total carotenoid content and total phenolic content were chosen as three independent response factors. In PLE optimization in contrary to SLE, only acetone and ethanol were chosen as solvents with gradually increasing polarity, excluding water. This was done because during several trial extraction runs on the last step of PLE extraction with water, biomass had formed sticky gel and could not pass through the system filter. 3.4.1. PLE-acetone optimization of P. tricornutum SFE-CO2 residue Three independent responses (total yield, total carotenoid content and TPC) were evaluated by the impact of temperature and pressure on them. Table 3.8. presents PLE extract yields

(g/100 g DW), total carotenoid content (mg/g extract), and total phenolic content (mg GAE /g

DW) of P. tricornutum biomass residues after SFE-CO2. Overall, the yield obtained ranged from 4.8 to 10.65% with the maximum yield obtained under 100°C and 45 min of extraction time. Total carotenoid content ranged from 8.4 to 17.92 mg/g extract with the maximum amount obtained under 40°C and 45 min of extraction time.

Total phenolic content varied from 2.2 to 9.7 mg GAE/g DW with

the maximum amount obtained under 100°C and 15 min of extraction time. In order to determine the model adequacy for all responses, predicted and actual values were compared (Figure 3.10, 3.12, 3.14). As a result, optimum conditions were suggested to be 61°C and 39 min of extraction time. Table 3.8. Central composite design matrix for PLE-acetone optimization for P. tricornutum SFE-CO2 residual biomass and values of observed responses: PLE extract yields (g/100

g DW), total carotenoid content (mg/g DW) and total phenolic content (mg GAE /g DW)

Run Temperature Time (°C) (min) 1 40 45 2 70 30 3 40 15 4 100 15 5 70 30 6 100 30 7 70 30 8 40 30 9 70 30 10 70 15 11 100 45 12 70 45 Yield (g/ 100 g DW) 3.83 8.43 4.41 9.29 8.44 9.40 8.01 4.32 8.98 8.01

10.65 7.72 Total carotenoid Total phenolic content content (mg/g extract) (mg GAE/g DW) 17.92 3.38 10.32 5.97 11.47 3.12 19.81 9.76 10.41 4.25 14.30 7.66 10.04 4.48 11.36 2.41 8.40 5.19 13.89 6.41 11.72 8.68 12.77 5.82 Optimal 61 39 7.60 10.9 4.68 conditions *optimal conditions were suggested by Design-Expert 7.0 software A.

Effect of time and temperature on the PLE extraction yield of

P. tricornutum SFE-CO2 residue The highest PLE extraction yield (10.65 g/100g DW) was obtained under the maximum tested temperature and extraction time, 100°C and 45 min whereas, the lowest (3.8 g/100g DW) under 40°C and 45 min. At the central point (70°C and 30 min), yield ranged from 8.4 to 8.98 g/100g DW. Extraction time had a minor effect as with constant temperature and different extraction time changes in yield were not significant. Overall, the yield was positively influenced by the temperature, which can be explained by more efficient mass transfer, reduction in solvent viscosity, which results in better matrix penetration, and increased solubility of compounds[133]. In the work of Gilbert-López et al., PLE optimization for freeze-dried P. tricornutum biomass has also shown higher yields under increased temperature (ranged from 50 to 170°C). Moreover, the highest extraction yield (57.84%) was obtained with a

mixture of water: ethanol (50:50) than with the pure

solvent[72]. Figure 3.9. shows the effects of independent variables (temperature and extraction time) on PLE extract yields (g/100 g DW) from P. tricornutum SFE-CO2 residues. The regression equation obtained using CCD for yield (Equation 3.4). Yield, % = 8.33 + 2.79 * A + 0.090 * B + 0.51 * A * B - 1.22 * A2 - 0.22 * B2, (Eq. 3.4), where A – temperature; B – time. The temperature turned out to be the most significant independent variable in the evaluated central composite design for PLE acetone optimization for P. tricornutum residual biomass after SFE-CO2 optimal conditions (extraction yield, g/100 g DW) (Table 3.9). It means that the extraction temperature had a substantially greater impact on the yield compared to extraction time. ANOVA showed

that the model is significant according to the Student test (p<0.05), while the lack of fit is not significant.

The R- squared of 97.7% shows that the model explains adequately the yield variability, and the

predicted R- Squared of 86.9% is in reasonable agreement with the adjusted R-Squared of 95. 8%. An adequate precision of 20.7 indicates an adequate signal

of

the model. Thus, it can be used to navigate through the design space. Table 3.9. Analysis of variance of the regression

parameters for response surface quadratic model for PLE optimization for P. tricornutum residual biomass after SFE-CO2 optimal conditions (Response factor: Extraction yield, g/100 g DW)

Source Sum of squares df Mean square F value p-value Model A-Temperature

B- Time AB A2 B2 Residual Lack of Fit Pure Error Total

53.03 5 46.76 1 0.049 1 1.05 1 3.99 1 0.13 1 1.22 6 0.74 3 0.48 3 54.25 11 10.61 52.15 46.76 229.93 0.049 0.24 1.05 5.17 3.99 19.64 0.13 0.63 0.20 0.25 1.56 0.16 < 0.0001* < 0.0001* 0.6423 0.0634 0.0044* 0.4585 0.3625** *: significant; **: not significant; df: degree of freedom; F: Fisher value.; MS: mean square; RF: response factor; SS: sum of square. 10.7 8.925 Yield 7.15 5.375 3.6 100.00 85.00 70.00 A: Temperature 55.00 37.50 40.00 45.00 B: Time 15.00 22.50 30.00 B: Time 45.00 Yield 37.50 30.00 8.076814 4.772654.874036.97542 9.17819 22.50 15.00 40.00 55.00 70.00 85.00 100.00 A: Temperature

Figure 3. 9. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

independent variables (temperature and extraction time) on PLE extract yield (g/100 g DW) from P. tricornutum biomass Figure 3.10. Comparison between predicted and observed PLE extraction yield, (g/100 g DW) from P. tricornutum biomass SFE-CO2 residues Predicted and actual values are shown in Figure 3.10. Predicted and actual values are close to the 45° line. Real values (7.6 mg g/100g DW) under optimal conditions for total yield are in good relation with predicted ones (7.26 mg g/100g DW). Thus, it shows a normal distribution and confirms that the model is a perfect fit. B. Effect of time and temperature on the PLE total carotenoid content of P. tricornutum SFE-CO2 residue Total carotenoid content values of PLE ranged between 8.4 to 19.8 mg/g extract. The highest value (19.8 mg/g extract) was obtained under 100°C and 15 min extraction time, while the lowest (8.4mg/g extract) was obtained under 70°C and 30 min. Values at the central points were around 10 mg/g extract. Overall, the temperature had a positive effect on the total carotenoid content, while increasing extraction time at the constant temperature has resulted in a decreased amount of carotenoids. This could be explained by the thermolabile nature of carotenoids and other polar substances well extracted by acetone. While increased temperature results in better solubility and fast mass transfer, prolonged extraction time causes carotenoid degradation[132]. A similar tendency was observed in recent works, where P. tricornutum and P. cruentum were undergoing PLE extraction in order to evaluate them as potential carotenoid sources[5,110]. In both cases, total carotenoid content increased with temperature until a certain point; meanwhile, further elevation of temperature (150°C and above) and extraction time caused a decline in carotenoid content. However, data comparison on carotenoid content with other studies must be made with caution, as composition and carotenoid content of different microalgae species could be greatly influenced by cultivation conditions such as light and oxygen intensity and nutrient availability [134]. Figure 3.11. shows the effects of independent variables (temperature and extraction time) on PLE total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum SFE-CO2 residues. The regression equation obtained using CCD for total carotenoid content (Equation 3.5). Carotenoid content, mg/g extract = 9.98 + 0.84*A - 0.46*B - 3.63 *A * B + 2.47 * A2 + 2.97 * B2, (Eq. 3.5), where A – temperature; B – time.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the regression parameters for response surface quadratic model for

PLE optimization for P. tricornutum residual biomass after SFE-CO2 optimal conditions (total carotenoid content, mg/g extract) is presented in the Table 3.10. For this response, four effects had P-values less than

0.05, indicating their significance. The R-squared shows that the developed model can explain 96.1% of the total carotenoid content variability, and

the predicted R-Squared is in reasonable agreement with the adjusted R-Squared (81.5% and 92.9% respectively).

The

Model F-value of 29.85 indicates that the model is significant, as well as the obtained Precision ratio of

16.5

indicates an adequate signal and that the current model can be used to navigate the design space. Table 3.10. Analysis of variance of the regression

parameters for response surface quadratic model for PLE optimization for P. tricornutum residual biomass after SFE-CO2 optimal conditions (Response factor: Total carotenoid content, mg/g extract)

Source Sum of squares df Mean square F value p-value Model 117 .65 5 23. 53 29.85 0.

0004*

Source Sum of squares df Mean square F value p-value A-Temperature

B-Time AB

A2 B2 Residual Lack of Fit Pure Error Total 4.28 1.

26 52.78 16.24 23.48 4.73 2.07 2.66 122.38 1 4.28 1 1.26 1 52.78 1 16.24 1 23.48 6 0.79 3 0.69 3 0.89 11 5.44 1.60 66.96 20.60 29.79 0.78 0.0585 0.2529 0.0002* 0.0039* 0.0016* 0.5793** *: significant; **: not significant; df: degree of freedom; F: Fisher value.; MS: mean square; RF: response factor; SS: sum of square. 21 Carotenoid content 17.75 14.5 11.25 8 45.00 Carotenoid content 15.1298 13.3886 11.6474 37.50 B: Time 30.00 4 22.50 13.3886 45.00 100.00 15.1298 B: Time 37.50 30.00 22.50 85.00 70.00 55.00A: Temperature 15.00 40.00 55.00 70.00 85.00 16.871 18.6121 100.00 15.00 40.00 A: Temperature

Figure 3. 11. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

independent variables (temperature and extraction time) on PLE total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum residual biomass As the main target of the PLE optimization was maximizing all parameters, the model suggested optimal conditions were 61°C and 39 min extraction time. Under optimal conditions, the obtained value for total carotenoid content was 10.9 mg/g extract. Predicted and actual values can be seen in Figure 3.12. Predicted and actual figures showed good distribution along 45° line, which confirms that the model fits well. Optimal conditions actual values (10.9 mg/g extract) for total carotenoid content with predicted values (11.41 mg g/ extract). Figure 3.12. Comparison between predicted and observed PLE total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum biomass SFE-CO2 residues C. Effect of time and temperature on the PLE-acetone total

phenolic content of P. tricornutum SFE- CO2 residue As it is known, carotenoids can contribute significantly to antioxidant capacity in microalgal biomass. It was proven in several studies

that both total carotenoid and total phenolic content contribute significantly to the antioxidant properties of

extracts[37,135,136]. The highest TPC content (9.7 mg GAE/g DW) was observed under the same conditions as the highest carotenoid content – 100°C and 15 min extraction time. The lowest TPC content was found to be under 40°C and 30 min. In general, the temperature had the maximum positive effect on phenolic content, while time did not affect substantially. In the study of Gilbert-López et al., TPC during optimization of PLE for P. tricornutum biomass was dependent on the solvent composition. Ethanol: water mixtures, as well as pure solvents, were used. The program suggested optimum conditions to maximize TPC were 100% EtOH at 50 °C[110]. In the current research, TPC content under optimal conditions (61°C and 39 min) was 4.68 mg GAE/g DW, which was in range with data obtained in the literature, considering that part of active antioxidant compounds, including carotenoids, were removed during the previous step of SFE-CO2. The

total phenolic content of the PLE extracts is expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per gram dry weight.

According to ANOVA, one effect, the quadratic variable of temperature, had a p-value < 0.05.

The results from CCD can be used to compose the second-order polynomial model equation

(Equation 3.6). Carotenoid content, mg/g extract = 9.98 + 0.84*A - 0.46*B - 3.63*A*B + 2.47*A2 + 2.97*B2, (Eq. 3.6), where A – temperature; B – time. Figure 3.13. shows the effects of independent variables (temperature and extraction time) on PLE total phenolic content (mg GAE/g DW) from P. tricornutum SFE-CO2 residues.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the regression parameters for response surface quadratic model for

PLE optimization for P. tricornutum residual biomass after SFE-CO2 optimal conditions (total phenolic content mg GAE/g DW)

is presented in the Table 3. 11. For the response, three effects had p-values less than

0.05. An adequate precision of 18.2 indicates an adequate signal of the model and that it

can be used to navigate through the design space. The Model F-value of 32 .53 indicates that the model is significant.

Table 3.11.

Analysis of variance of the regression parameters for response surface quadratic model for

PLE optimization for P. tricornutum residual biomass after SFE-CO2 optimal conditions (Response factor: Total phenolic content

mg GAE/g DW) Source Sum of squares df Mean square F value p-value

Model A- Temperature B- Time AB A2 B2 Residual Lack of Fit Pure Error Total

54.39 5 49.28 1 0.33 1 0.45 1 0.021 1 3.65 1 2.01 6 0.19 3 1.82 3 56.40 11 10.88 32.53 49.28 147.35 0.33 0.99 0.45 1.34 0.021 0.064 3.65 10.92 0.33 0.063 0.10 0.61 0.0003* < 0.0001* 0.3592 0.2911 0.8093 0.0163* 0.9519** *: significant; **: not significant; df: degree of freedom; F: Fisher value.; MS: mean square; RF: response factor; SS: sum of square. 45.00 T PC 9.8 37.50 7.875 TPC 5.95 B: Time 3.43635 4.025 30.00 4.6818 4 5.92725 7.17271 2.1 22.50 45.00 37.50 8.41816 30.00 100.00 15.00 B: Time 85.00 40.00 55.00 70.00 85.00 100.00 22.50 70.00 55.00 15.00 40.00 A: Temperature A: Temperature

Figure 3. 13. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

independent variables (temperature and extraction time) on PLE total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum residual biomass Figure 3.14. Comparison between predicted and observed PLE total phenolic content (mg GAE/g DW) from P. tricornutum biomass SFE-CO2 residues Predicted and actual values for total phenolic content can be seen in Figure 3.14. Both values are close to the 45° line, which indicates good points distribution and confirms that the model fits well. TPC actual values for the optimal conditions (4.68 mg GAE/g DW) were in reasonable relation with predicted values (4.46 mg GAE/g DW). 3.4.2. PLE-ethanol optimization of P. tricornutum PLE-acetone residue The PLE-acetone residues of P. tricornutum biomass were used for the optimization of PLE-ethanol. A similar biorefining approach was discussed in recent works, indicating that due to its chemical composition, P. tricornutum can be used for an integrated biorefinery, to optimize environmental and economic process feasibility. Such an approach also considers residual biomass valorization on each step of high-components extraction in order to convert and obtain a wide range of different compounds and products[137,138]. Table 3.12. presents PLE-ethanol extract yields (g/100

g DW), total carotenoid content (mg/g DW), and total phenolic content (mg GAE /g DW)

of P. tricornutum biomass residues after PLE-acetone. In general, the yield obtained ranged from 9.8 to 17.2 g/100g DW, with the maximum value obtained under 100°C and 45 min of extraction time. Total carotenoid content ranged from 3 to 9.3 mg/g extract with the maximum amount under 40°C and 15 min of extraction time.

Total phenolic content varied from 2.96 to 6.12 mg GAE/g DW with

the maximum amount under 100°C and 45 min of extraction time. In order to determine the model adequacy for all responses, predicted, and actual values were compared (Figures 3.15, 3.16, 3.17, 3.18, 3.19, 3.20). The most significant effect on the parameters had temperature, while extraction time showed only minor effects. Just as during SFE-CO2 and PLE-acetone optimization, the total extract yield increased with rising of the temperature, whereas the amount of total carotenoids dropped. Compared to PLE-acetone optimization results, PLE-ethanol has shown higher amounts of extracted yields but lower amounts of carotenoids and phenolic compounds. A similar trend can be observed after SLE when the highest amount of yield was obtained during water extraction, followed by ethanol. The more polar nature

of ethanol helps to extract comparatively more residual components compared to acetone. As a result, optimum conditions were suggested to be 66°C and 17 min of extraction time. Table 3.12. Central composite design matrix for PLE-ethanol optimization for P. tricornutum PLE-acetone residues and values of observed responses: PLE extract yields

(g/100 g DW), total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) and total phenolic content (mg GAE /g DW) Run Temperature

Time (°C) (min) Yield (g/ 100 g DW) 1 40 45 9.80 2 70 30 16.53 3 40 15 10.19 4 100 15 16.11 5 70 30 16.12 6 100 30 16.50 7 70 30 15.70 8 40 30 11.20 9 70 30 15.92 10 70 15 14.74 11 100 45 17.22 12 70 45 16.70 Optimal 66 17 15.22 conditions *optimal conditions were suggested by Design-Expert 7.0 software Total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) 8.73 5.95 9.30 4.41 4.92 3.04 5.17 7.00 6.07 8.72 5.07 8.04 7.60 Total phenolic content (mg GAE/g DW) 3.09 5.17 4.03 5.00 4.88 5.68 4.96 2.96 4.92 5.23 6.12 5.41 5.12 A.

Effect of time and temperature on the PLE-ethanol extraction yield of

P: tricornutum PLE-acetone residue The highest PLE-ethanol extraction yield (17.2 g/100g DW) after PLEacetone biomass residues was obtained under maximum temperature and extraction time – 100°C and 45 min and the lowest (9.8 g/100g DW) under 40°C and 45 min. The maximum and minimum values were reached under the same extraction conditions as for PLE-acetone extraction. However, for the yield, PLEethanol extraction has shown higher yields as compared to the PLE-acetone yield. At the central point (70°C and 30 min), yield ranged from 15.7 to 16.53 g/100g DW. Extraction time had a minor effect, as at a constant temperature and different extraction time changes in yield were not significant. While temperature has shown the biggest positive impact on the yield, the same trend was observed during PLEacetone optimization. These response surface plots are of great benefit in making the extraction more efficient; it can be done by increasing the extraction time at lower temperatures or applying high temperatures for a short extraction time. Overall, total oil yields were higher during the second step of PLE with ethanol compared to the first step with acetone. The same trend was observed in the current research during SLE when the highest extraction yield was obtained during the last step of extraction with water, followed by yields using ethanol, acetone, and hexane. In several studies, different microalgae species were subjected to PLE using different solvents

such as ethanol, 2-propanol, hexane, petroleum ether, and water. In all cases, ethanol

or ethanol/water mixtures showed the highest obtained yields[108,109,139,140].

When non-polar solvents are applied, low efficiency was achieved because of the fact that usually polar

compounds comprise the major part of microalgae biomass.

The results from CCD can be used to compose the second-order polynomial model equation (Equation 3.7). Yield,

g/100g DW = 16.09 + 3.12 * A + 0.47 * B + 0.35 * A * B - 2.30 * A2 - 0.45 * B2, (Eq. 3.7), where A - temperature; B - time. Response surface quadratic model for PLE-ethanol optimization for P. tricornutum residual biomass after PLE-acetone (yield, g/100g DW) and its analysis of variance is presented in Table 3.13. For this response, three effects had P-values

<0.05, and the model's lack of fit was not significant.

For the extract yield, the importance of independent variables can be arranged in the following order: extraction temperature > extraction time.

The Model F-value of 49.48 indicates that the model is significant, as well as the

obtained adequate Precision ratio of 17.9 indicates that the

model can be used to navigate the design space. Table 3.13. Analysis of variance of the regression

parameters for response surface quadratic model for PLE- ethanol optimization for P. tricornutum residual biomass after PLE-acetone (Response factor: Total yield g/100g DW)

Source Sum of squares df Mean square F value p-value Model A-Temperature

B- Time AB A2 B2 Residual Lack of Fit Pure Error Total

78.71 5 58.28 1 1.31 1 0.49 1 14.15 1 0.55 1 1.91 6 1.53 3 0.38 3 80.62 11 15.74 49.48 58.28 183.18 1.31 4.11 0.49 1.54 14.15 44.48 0.55 1.73 0.32 0.51 4.05 0.13 < 0.0001* < 0.0001* 0.0891 0.2609 0.0005* 0.2370 0.1402** *: significant; **: not significant; df: degree of freedom; F: Fisher value.; MS: mean square; RF: response factor; SS: sum of square. 45.00 Yield 17.5 15.575 37.50 Yield 13.65 B: Time 30.00 11.3197 12.540163.761514.9824 4 11.725 9.8 16.2033 22.50 45.00 100.00 37.50 85.00 30.00 70.00 B: Time 22.50 15.00 40.00 55.00 A: Temperature 40.00 55.00 70.00 85.00 100.00 15.00 A: Temperature

Figure 3. 15. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

independent variables (temperature and extraction time) on PLE-ethanol yield (g/100g DW) from P. tricornutum PLE-acetone residual biomass Figure 3.16. Comparison between predicted and observed PLE-ethanol total yield (g/100g DW) from P. tricornutum biomass SFE-acetone residues Predicted and actual values for total yield can be seen in the Figure 3.16. Both values are close to the 45° line, which indicates good points distribution and confirms that the model fits well. The actual total yield values for the optimal conditions (15.22 g/100g DW) were in reasonable relation with predicted values (14.94 g/100g DW). B. Effect of time and temperature on the PLE-ethanol total carotenoid content of P. tricornutum PLE-acetone residues The highest PLE-ethanol carotenoid content (9.3 mg/g extract) after PLE-acetone biomass residues was obtained under minimum temperature and extraction time – 40°C and 15 min and the lowest (3.04 mg/g extract) under 100°C and 30 min. It could be explained by degradation of carotenoids under high temperatures. At the central point (70°C and 30 min), total carotenoid content ranged between 4.9 and 6 mg/g extract. The model showed a higher significance of temperature as compared to extraction time.

Typically, a longer extraction time stimulates a higher yield; however, in

this case total carotenoid content decreased with longer extraction time (from 8.72 mg/g extract during 15 min extraction to 8.04 mg/g extract during 45 min extraction at 70°C and from 9.30 mg/g extract during 15 min to 8.73 mg/g extract during 45 min at 40°C). The same pattern was reported during several studies of PLE application on microalgae, aiming to extract algal carotenoids. Similarly, during lutein extraction from Haematococcus pluvialis and Dunaliella salina lower yields were obtained with longer time of extraction, as

lutein was found **to be less thermolabile than**, for example, **astaxanthin**[141]. For fucoxanthin, **short** PLE **extraction** times (10 min

at 100°C in ethanol) from P. tricornutum freeze-dried biomass, gave higher extraction yield compared to longer PLE extraction time or other methods (same carotenoid content was achieved

either at room temperature with UAE or during Soxhlet extraction at 80°C, but after 30 min)[

46]. Moreover, ethanol turned out to be one of the best solvents for various carotenoid extraction from microalgae, including fucoxanthin. Despite that major part of carotenoids was extracted during SFE-CO2 and the first cycle of PLE-acetone, ethanol was able to extract remaining carotenoids from the residual biomass.

Ethanol was also an efficient solvent in PLE of fucoxanthin from Eisenia bicyclis at 110°C

at 100-200 bars and astaxanthin from Haematococcus pluvialis at 200°C and 103 bars[140]. During the extraction of carotenoids from Spirulina platensis the highest yields were achieved in ethanol, followed by water, hexane, and petroleum ether[139]. The regression equation obtained using CCD for total carotenoid content (Equation 3.8). Total carotenoid content, mg/g extract = 5.66 - 2.10 * A - 0.12 * B + 0.29 * A * B - 0.99 * A2 + 2.35 * B2, (Eq. 3.8), C. Where A – temperature; B – time.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the regression parameters for response surface quadratic model for

PLE-ethanol optimization for P. tricornutum residual biomass after PLE-acetone (total carotenoid content, mg/g extract)

is presented in the Table 3. 14. For the response, four effects had P-values less than

0.05, indicating their significance from zero. The extraction temperature had a substantially bigger impact on the total carotenoid content than extraction time. The R-squared shows that the model explains 95.5% of the total yield variability, and the

predicted R-Squared of 80% is in reasonable agreement with the adjusted R-Squared of 91.8%. The Model F-value of 25.89 indicates that the

model is significant, as well as the Precision ratio of 17.32

indicates an adequate signal of the model and that it can be used to navigate the design space.

Table 3.14. Analysis of variance of the regression

parameters for response surface quadratic model for PLE- ethanol optimization for P. tricornutum residual biomass after PLE-acetone (Response factor: total carotenoid content, mg/g extract)

Source Sum of squares df Mean square F value p-value Model A-Temperature

B- Time AB A2 B2 Residual Lack of Fit Pure Error Total

41.72 5 26.42 1 0.079 1 0.34 1 2.60 1 14.76 1 1.93 6 0.93 3 1.00 3 43.66 11 8.34 25.89 26.42 81.96 0.079 0.25 0.34 1.06 2.60 8.07 14.76 45.78 0.32 0.31 0.93 0.33 0.0005* 0.0001* 0.6374 0.3426 0.0296* 0.0005* 0.5248** *: significant; **: not significant; df: degree of freedom; F: Fisher value.; MS: mean square; RF: response factor; SS: sum of square. 45.00 Carotenoid content 8.37506 7.21511 37.50 9.7 Carotenoid content 7.9 6.05517 6.1 B: Time 30.00 4 4.89523 3.73528 4.3 2.5 40.00 22.50 15.00 7.21511 55.00 22.50 8.37506 70.00 30.00 15.00 37.50 85.00A: Temperature 40.00 55.00 70.00 85.00 100.00 B: Time 45.00 100.00 A: Temperature

Figure 3. 17. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

independent variables (temperature and extraction time) on PLE-ethanol total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum PLE-acetone residual biomass Figure 3.18. Comparison between predicted and observed PLE-ethanol total carotenoid content (mg/g extract) from P. tricornutum biomass SFE-acetone residues C. Effect of time and temperature on the PLE-ethanol total phenolic content of P. tricornutum PLE-acetone residue TPC in PLE-ethanol extracts was lower than during the previous step of PLE-acetone. Alike SLE, during PLE, the TPC decreased with further extraction steps, as active antioxidant compounds were being removed gradually with each extraction process. It can also be seen by the decrease in total carotenoids in each following extraction, as it was mentioned above, carotenoids contribute significantly to the antioxidant effects of the extracts. The highest total phenolic content (6.12 mg GAE/g DW) was obtained under 100°C and 45 min extraction in PLE-ethanol, the highest TPC value did not correspond with the highest total carotenoids value. However, similarly to PLE-acetone, the

antioxidant capacity of PLE- ethanol extracts was enhanced by increasing the temperature to 100 °C.

as in general, the solubility of polar compounds related to antioxidant capacity increases with the temperature[110]. Similar results were reported by during PLE extraction of active antioxidant compounds from Phormidium species and Spirulina platensis when

the antioxidant capacity of ethanol extracts rose with the temperature increased to 150 °C[

109,139]. The regression equation obtained using CCD for total phenolic content (Equation 3.9). Total phenolic content, mg GAE/g DW = $5.00 + 1.12 \times A + 0.060 \times B + 0.52 \times A \times B - 0.71 \times A2 + 0.29 \times B2$, (Eq. 3.9), A. Where A – temperature; B – time.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the regression parameters for response surface quadratic model for

PLE-ethanol optimization for P. tricornutum residual biomass after PLE-acetone (total carotenoid content, mg/g extract) is presented in the Table 3.15. For the current response, four effects are significant (p< 0/05).

The R-squared showed that the model explains 97.7% of the total yield variability, and the

predicted R-Squared of 80% is in reasonable agreement with the adjusted R-Squared of 95. 8%. The Model F-value of 51.57 indicates that the

model is significant, as well as obtained an adequate Precision ratio of 23.5 indicates that the

model can be used to navigate the design space. Table 3.15. Analysis of variance of the regression

parameters for response surface quadratic model for PLE- ethanol optimization for P. tricornutum residual biomass after PLE-acetone (Response factor: total phenolic content,

mg GAE/g DW) Source Sum of squares df Mean square F value p-value

Model A- Temperature B- Time AB A2 B2 Residual Lack of Fit Pure Error Total

9.97 5 7.53 1 0.022 1 1.06 1 1.35 1 0.22 1 0.23 6 0.18 3 0.050 3 10.20 11 1.99 51.57 7.53 194.72 0.022 0.56 1.06 27.45 1.35 34.90 0.22 5.75 0.039 0.061 3.63 0.017 < 0.0001* < 0.0001* 0.4830 0.0019* 0.0010* 0.0534 0.1588** *: significant; **: not significant; df: degree of freedom; F: Fisher value.; MS: mean square; RF: response factor; SS: sum of square. 45.00 T PC 6.4 5.72409 5.525 37.50 TPC 4.65 3.53546 3.775 B: Time 30.00 4.08262 4.62978 45.17693 2.9 100.00 22.50 85.00 15.00 5.17693 70.00 22.50 15.00 A: Temperature55.00 30.00 40.00 55.00 70.00 85.00 100.00 37.50 40.00 45.00 B: Time A: Temperature

Figure 3. 19. Response surface 3D and 2D plots showing the effects of

independent variables (temperature and extraction time) on PLE-ethanol total phenolic content (mg GAE/g DW) from P. tricornutum PLE- acetone residual biomass Figure 3.20. Comparison between predicted and observed PLE-ethanol total phenolic content (mg GAE/g DW) from P. tricornutum biomass SFE-acetone residues 3.4.3. Verification of the predictive model of multiple response optimization for PLE-acetone and PLE-ethanol from P. tricornutum SFE-CO2 residue Multiple response optimization was carried out in order to determine the most suitable extraction conditions

to maximize the studied variables, specifically, extraction yield and total carotenoid content. Total

phenolic content was used to determine the amount of reducing substances, and thus, the potential antioxidant capacity of the extracts. Since phenolic compounds are powerful scavengers of free radicals and the strong correlation between the amount of phenols and antioxidant capacity of P. tricornutum biomass is also reported in previous studies[22,110]. Both extraction steps were performed in duplicates

for each of the proposed optimum conditions. The validation results are shown in Table 3.16. Table 3.16. Validation results of PLE-acetone and PLE-ethanol optimal conditions. Predicted and actual values of extraction PLE-acetone optimal conditions (61°C, 39 min) Yield,

g/100 g DW Total carotenoid content, mg/g extract TPC, mg GAE /g

DW PLE-ethanol optimal conditions (66°C, 17 min) Predicted 7.26 11.41 4.46 Predicted Yield, g/100 g DW 14.94 Total carotenoid content, mg/g extract 7.80 TPC, mg GAE/g DW 5.06 Actual 7.6±0.35 10.9±0.63 4.68±0.29 Actual 15.22±0.29 7.6±0.42 5.1±0.22 Variation, % -4.68 +4.46 -4.93 Variation, % -1.8 +2.56 -0.79 * values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 2) For the PLE-acetone optimization, the optimal conditions were suggested to be 61°C and 39 min extraction time, which allowed to obtain relatively high yields of extracts, rich in total carotenoid content and high antioxidant capacity. The validity of the obtained model can be approved, as predicted. According to ANOVA, for TPC and total yield, the temperature was the determinant factor (had the biggest F-value) for these responses. While for the total carotenoid content, both temperature and extraction time were determinant, as with prolonging the extraction time at the high temperature, total carotenoid content was decreasing. The model for PLE-ethanol optimization with two independent variables by CCD predicted that optimal parameters are 66°C and 17 min

of extraction time. Under these optimal conditions, the actual values agreed with the values predicted by the model with

minimal variation under 3%. Ethanol-assisted PLE allowed to obtain higher extraction yields compared to PLE-acetone, as well as to extract remaining carotenoids. Table 3.17. Recoveries of fucoxanthin and total yield from P. tricornutum biomass mg fucoxanthin/g extract total yield g/100 g DW (optimal conditions) SFE-CO2 47.70a 9.80±0.22a PLE-acetone 9.93b 7.60±0.35b PLE-ethanol 7.03b 15.22±0.29c Ref. Soxhlet 22.33c 10.10 ± 0.13a *different

lowercase superscript letters indicate significant differences within the same column (ANOVA, p < 0.05) Overall, the

highest total yield was obtained during PLE-ethanol extraction, which is in agreement with data obtained during stepwise SLE, where the highest yield was achieved using polar solvents, such as ethanol and water. Total lipophilic yield after SFE-CO2 and Soxhlet extraction was not considerably different. However, time spent on SFE-CO2 extraction was more than three times shorter compared to Soxhlet extraction, to obtain the same yields (1.5 and 6 hours respectively), as well as during SFE-CO2 the usage of toxic solvents as hexane is eliminated. According to the study by Gilbert-López, P. tricornutum contains approximately 60 mg/g extract of fucoxanthin. In the mentioned study P. tricornutum free-dried biomass

was macerated in acetone during 24h at room temperature, and the average concentration of fucoxanthin in

the extract was calculated as a reference value for total fucoxanthin in the biomass[72]. Current results demonstrated that SFE-CO2 under optimal conditions had extracted most of the oils and hydrophobic carotenoids due to its non-polar nature, as well as a major part of fucoxanthin (47.7 mg/g extract) with the help of ethanol as a co-solvent. Afterwards, most of the remaining fucoxanthin was extracted by PLE with acetone (9.9 mg/g extract), followed by PLE-ethanol (7 mg/g extract). In total, combined fucoxanthin content after SFE-CO2, PLE- acetone and PLE-ethanol accounts 64.67 mg/g extract, which is in a range with the amount of reference fucoxanthin (60 mg/g extract) reported by Gilbert-López. Compared to the amount of fucoxanthin after extracted using the Soxhlet method (22.3 mg/g extract), the recovery was almost 50% lower than during SFE-CO2 (47.7 mg/g extract). Thus, it can be concluded that SFE-CO2 followed by stepwise

PLE with statistical methodology, is an efficient method for optimizing the extraction conditions for maximum fucoxanthin recovery from brown microalgae P. tricornutum in a relatively short time with non-toxic solvents, suggesting further applications of this method for fucoxanthin extraction from microalgal biomass. As a

result, the bio-refinery concept can be applied on SFE-CO2 by-products of P. tricornutum freeze-dried biomass in order to get valuable bioactive compounds by pressurized liquid extraction technique. 3.5. Invitro antioxidant capacity 3.5.1. Total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity for initial biomass and SLE Freeze-dried biomass of P. tricornutum was evaluated for its in vitro antioxidant capacity. Table 3.18 shows total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of P. tricornutum initial biomass, extracts, and solid residues after stepwise SLE – hexane, acetone, ethanol, and water. These solvents were chosen so that the solvent polarity would gradually increase from non-polar hexane to very polar water. Initial biomass and solid residues after each extraction step were evaluated for its antioxidant capacity using QUENCHER (QUick, Easy, New, CHEap, and Reproducible) approach. This approach allows us to measure total antioxidant capacity accurately and precisely in a single operation, including both, free and bound antioxidant compounds. The procedure is based on direct measurement of solid samples by combining, followed by a spectrometric measurement afterwards. The reaction between an antioxidant and a radical occurs at the interface when they come into contact, irrespectively of the hydrophobicity of the compound of interest[142]. QUENCHER method was specifically developed for widely used antioxidant assays such as TPC, ABTS++, and DPPH+. The

total phenolic content values are expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalence per gram of sample. The initial TPC value of

raw biomass was 21.67±0.68, and it finally decreased to 6.66±0.42 after the last step of water extraction. Total phenols values for extracts ranged between 1.75±0.04 and 6.02±0.41. Overall, acetone showed the highest capability of extracting phenolic compounds, and hexane extracts exhibited the lowest antioxidant capacity. For ABTS++ radical scavenging assay values were expressed as Trolox equivalents – TEAC mg Trolox/g residue for dry biomass and TEAC mg Trolox/g DW extract for extracts. The values for SLE dry residues ranged from 64.58±4.82 to 19.03±2.57 mg Trolox/g residue. Hexane has shown the lowest capacity, while acetone extracts expressed the most potent antioxidant activities with values of

14.25±2.72 mg Trolox/g DW extract. The highest ABTS++ values were observed in residual biomass after hexane extraction, compared to initial biomass. Initial biomass and extracts were also evaluated on their antioxidant capacity using DPPH• cation radical assay. For the initial biomass, values range from 7.84±0.62 to 1.02±0.17 mg Trolox/g residue after water extraction. Initial biomass has shown the most potent ability to scavenge DPPH• free radicals. Whereas for extracts values ranged from 0.23±0.02 to 2.50±0.26 mg Trolox/g DW extract, with the highest activity observed after acetone-assisted extraction, and lowest after water extracts, followed by hexane extracts. In all cases, the antioxidant capacity of residual biomass was gradually decreasing, indicating that only a limited number of active antioxidant compounds are extracted from the microalgae biomass after each extraction step. It correlates with the general statement in other studies, that the most part of antioxidant active compounds is present in residual biomass in bound form and it is more difficult to extract, thus each extraction step removes only a particular part of active compounds[143]. P. tricornutum, like many other microalgae, has a wide range of bioactive constituents of different chemical composition and polarity, that exhibit antioxidant capacity. Because of the large number and different mechanisms of antioxidant action of these compounds, their antioxidant capacity determination can be complicated [144,145]. The crucial factor in the antioxidant capacity of an extract plays the type of solvent used for extraction, as the polarity of the solvent determines the types of compounds that can be extracted using this solvent. Overall, as it was previously reported[146], the highest TPC and antioxidant capacity were found to be in the ethanolic extracts of microalgae than compared to hexane, ethyl acetate, water or water/ethanol mixtures. In the literature in vitro antioxidant capacity and total phenolic content of P. tricornutum were not studied using acetoneassisted extraction. In a recent study by Valdez-Ortiz [147], P. tricornutum biomass

was evaluated by its total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity for initial biomass and

extracts after ethanolic extraction. ABTS++ values were 67.93±0.36 mg Trolox/g DW for the initial biomass, 44.90±0.90 mg Trolox/g residue for the residual biomass after extraction with ethanol and 23.02±0.75 mg Trolox/g DW extract. These values are very close to the data obtained in the current research. In the literature DPPH+ assay was reported to show a total value of 9.54±0.13 mg Trolox/g DW for initial biomass, which is in good correlation with data obtained in the current work – 7.84±0.62. However, TPC content in the described study was significantly lower (2.90±0.02) than observed in the current work (21.67±0.68). In the study of Gilbert-López, microwave-assisted extraction with different

%EtOH (0, 50, 100%) and temperature (30, 100, 170°C)

was applied to freeze-dried P. tricornutum biomass with further TPC content determination[72]. The values ranged from 8.92 to 46.97 mg GAE/g extract, and the lowest content was obtained with water at 100°C and the highest with 100% EtOH under 30°C. Table 3.18. Total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of P. tricornutum initial biomass, extracts and solid residues after stepwise SLE – hexane (HEX), acetone (AC), ethanol (ET), water (W)

TPC, mg GAE/g DW (residue) TPC. mg GAE/g DW (extract) TPC, mg GAE/g

extract P. tricornutum 21.67±0.68a NA NA SLE-HEX 20.20±1.66a 1.75±0.04a 21.8±0.22a SLE-AC 16.22±0.75b 6.02±0.41b 89.2±9.0b SLE-EtOH 8.89±1.21c 5.30±0.70c 45.1±6.0c SLE-H2O 6.66±0.42d 2.12±0.12a 11.1±0.21d ABTS++, TEAC mg Trolox/g DW (residue) P. tricornutum 61.85±4.22a SLE-HEX 64.58±4.82a SLE-AC 41.05±1.00b SLE-EtOH 33.81±1.23c SLE-H2O 19.03±2.57d ABTS++, TEAC mg Trolox/g DW (extract) NA 1.56±0.009a 14.25±2.72b 8.56±0.46c 9.37±0.55c ABTS++, TEAC mg Trolox/g extract NA 20.02±0.11a 170.0±8.9b 59.0±3.19c 39.1±0.73d DPPH+, TEAC mg Trolox/g DW (residue) DPPH+, TEAC mg Trolox/g DW (extract) DPPH+, TEAC mg Trolox/g extract P. tricornutum 7.84±0.62a SLE-HEX 7.17±0.33a SLE-AC 5.35±0.49b SLE-EtOH 1.64±0.31c SLE-H2O 1.02±0.17c NA 0.31±0.01a NA 4.17±0.06a 2.50±0.26b 38.6±1.68v 1.10±0.07c 9.29±0.45c 0.23±0.02a 1.34±0.02d

*values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 6). Different lowercase superscript letters indicate significant differences within the same row at p < 0.05

(ANOVA, p < 0.05) 3.5.2. Total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of SFE-CO2 extracts and SFE-CO2 residues P. tricornutum residual biomass and extracts after supercritical carbon dioxide extraction were investigated for its total phenolic content and in vitro antioxidant capacity, in order to evaluate the effectiveness of antioxidants extraction by SFE-CO2. The results are presented in Table 3.19. The values for TPC

are expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per gram of

sample. TPC value for residual biomass was 12.33±1.29 mg GAE/g DW, while the initial biomass value was 21.67±0.68 mg GAE/g DW. Compared to SLE-HEX, supercritical carbon dioxide extraction has shown lower antioxidant capacity in the residual biomass, concluding better removal of phenol-rich fractions during the extraction process. The ABTS++ radical scavenging assay values were expressed as Trolox equivalents – mg Trolox/g DW. The ABTS++ values were 60.99±3.93

mg Trolox/g DW and 4 .92± 0.09 mg Trolox/g DW for residual biomass and

extracts, respectively. The ABTS++ values were in SFE-CO2 extracts were not statistically significant compared to SLE-HEX. Thus, a smaller part of active antioxidant compounds was extracted and distributed in the extract from the initial biomass using SLE-HEX, when compared to supercritical extraction. The sample's antioxidant capacity was also evaluated by the ability to scavenge DPPH+ free radicals. The values of DPPH+ cation radical assay were 7.69±1.03 mg Trolox/g DW for the biomass and 0.28±0.06 mg Trolox/g DW for extracts. No significant changes in the antioxidant capacity of P. tricornutum biomass were observed prior and after non-polar fraction, removal using supercritical carbon dioxide extraction; thus, indicating that the solid residues after SFE-CO2 could be further utilized for recovery of antioxidant-rich fractions. Table 3.19. Total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of P. tricornutum residual biomass and extracts after supercritical fluid extraction under optimal conditions (395 bars, 30°C, 9.2% EtOH) TPC, P. tricornutum SFE-CO2 mg GAE/g DW (residue) 21,67±0,68a 12,33±1,29b TPC, mg GAE/g DW (extract) NA 2,9±0,14 ABTS+, P. tricornutum SFE-CO2 TEAC mg Trolox/g DW(residue) ABTS+, TEAC mg Trolox/g DW (extract) 61,85±4,22a 60,99±3,93a NA 4,92±0,09 DPPH+, P.

tricornutum SFE-CO2 TEAC mg Trolox/g DW (residue) 7,84±0,62a 7,69±1,03a DPPH•, TEAC mg Trolox/g DW (extract) NA 0,28±0,06

*values are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 6). Different lowercase superscript letters indicate significant differences within the same row at p < 0.05

(ANOVA, p < 0.05) In the study of Feller et al., P. tricornutum biomass was evaluated for DPPH• cation radical assay after supercritical carbon dioxide extraction and compared with the values after subcritical n-butane extraction[23]. Among the two other

microalgae studied, P. tricornutum SFE-CO2 extracts showed the smallest DPPH• scavenging activities (the scavenging ability was 12. 0% and 9. 0% using SFE-CO2 and subcritical n-butane, respectively, which were statistically similar (p< 0.05)).

3.6. Chemical and microbiological characterization of P. tricornutum extracts 3.6.1. Analysis of carotenoids present in the extracts by HPLC-DAD All SFE-CO2 extracts, as well as Soxhlet and extracts obtained under PLE-acetone and PLE-ethanol optimal conditions, were analyzed by means of HPLC-DAD for qualitative and quantitative pigment determination. Overall, all chromatograms were identical regarding the number of peaks and types of carotenoids detected, with main differences in the peak area of eluted compounds, which indicates quantity of each carotenoid depending on extraction type and conditions. HPLC-DAD chromatogram of SFE-CO2 optimal conditions extract are shown in Figure 3.21 Results of peak identification as well as the amount of each carotenoid in mg/g of fucoxanthin equivalence are shown in Table 3.20. The presence or absence of all main detected carotenoids in SFE-CO2, PLE-acetone, PLE-ethanol, and Soxhlet extracts is shown in Table 3.21. The key compounds found to be carotenoids, most notably isomers of fucoxanthin, being also the most abundant compounds of the all-E-fucoxanthin (peak 4) and fucoxanthin isomer (peak 6). The second most prominent peak on all chromatograms is found to be diatoxanthin (peak 8). Among the identified carotenoids were also found violaxanthin, diadinoxanthin, zeaxanthin, and β-carotene (peaks 5, 7, 9, and 12, respectively). Xanthophylls

diadinoxanthin and diatoxanthin are involved in a specific molecular response to stressful light conditions called the diadinoxanthin cycle, which allows thermal scattering of excessive light energy and provides efficient photoprotection to the algal photosynthetic apparatus[

148]. Figure 3.21. HPLC–DAD chromatograms (λ = 460 nm) corresponding to SFE-CO2 extract under optimal conditions (30°C, 392 bars, 9.2% EtOH) The other two carotenoids (peak 1, 3), as well as

one carotenoid ester (peak 11), were detected in all extracts; however, they were not fully identified,

as well as they were not identified in the literature[45,72,148]. The remaining minor peaks in the chromatogram (peaks 2 and 10) showed

characteristic absorbance spectrum of chlorophylls, and hence those have been assigned as different chlorophyll-

types. Table 3.20. Main carotenoids identification in SFE-CO2 extract under optimal conditions Peak RT Carotenoid λmax, (nm)a λmax according mg percent- to literature, FE/g size, % (nm)a extract 1 1.083 n/i 398, 418 - 0.84 1.11 2 1.473 Chlorophyll-type 430 430 0.96 1.28 3 1.690 n/i 397, 484 - 0.51 0.68 4 2.160 (all-E)-Fucoxanthinb 448 447 47.7 63.16 5 2.963 (all-E)-Violaxanthin (428), 448, (418)-442-474 2.48 3.28 466 6 3.235 (13Z)-Fucoxanthinb 444, 466 334-442-(466) 5.69 7.54 7 3.768 (all-E)-Diadinoxanthin (416), 434, (426)-450-478 1.08 1.44 460 8 4.119 (all-E)-Diatoxanthin (436), 454, (430)-454-482 9.0 11.92 482 9 5.262 (all-E)-Zeaxanthin (432), 450, (430)-454-482 1.45 1.92 478 10 14.852 Chlorophyll-type 466 440 0.98 1.31 11 26.270 Carotenoid-ester 410 450 1.87 2.48 12 28.001 β-carotenec 450, 478 451, 478 2.88 3.82 Total 75.49 100 Reference [45,149] [45] [45] [45,110,149] [45,149] [45,110,149] [45,149] [45,149] [45,110,149] [45,110,149] [45,149] [45,110,149] [45,149] *RT - retention time; n/i - not identified; FE - fucoxanthin equivalence; a - shoulder is reported in parenthesis; b,c - identified with a commercial standard In SFE-CO2 optimal conditions extract all fucoxanthin isomers accounted for about 70% of total carotenoids, while all-E diatoxanthin was also present in all extracts in a lower but considerable concentration of about 12%. All-E violaxanthin and β -carotene comprise a total of 3% each. Similar fucoxanthin levels in P. tricornutum extracts were also reported in the literature[24,148,150]. Table 3.21. Presence of the main carotenoids in SFE-CO2, PLE, and Soxhlet extracts. Carotenoid Chlorophyll-type (all-E)-Fucoxanthinb (all-E)-Violaxanthin (13Z)-Fucoxanthinb (all-E)-Diadinoxanthin (all-E)-Diatoxanthin (all-E)-Zeaxanthin Chlorophylltype Carotenoid-ester β -carotenec SFE-CO2 + + + + + + + + + + PLE- acetone + + + + + + + - + - PLE- ethanol ++++++---- Soxhlet +++++++ + As described above, due to its potential bioactivities,

E-fucoxanthin was the principal pigment and the most valuable compound.

Therefore,

it was further quantified in the extracts (Table 3.22). The amount of fucoxanthin recovered

during SFE-CO2 optimal conditions was 4.7 mg/g DW, while further recovery from the same biomass under PLE extraction with acetone and ethanol was 0.76 and 1.1 mg/g DW respectively, bringing the total amount of biorefined fucoxanthin to 6.6 mg/g DW. Overall, about 73% of total fucoxanthin present in the freeze-dried P. tricornutum biomass was recovered using SFE-CO2. Soxhlet extraction itself recovered only 2.25 mg/g DW of fucoxanthin. Table 3.22. Recoveries of fucoxanthin (in mg/ extract and mg/g DW) from P. tricornutum biomass after stepwise SFE-CO2/PLE extraction and Soxhlet as a reference SFE-CO2 PLEacetone PLE-ethanol Total mg/g extract 47.70a 9.93b 7.03b 64.66 Recovery (%) 73.75 15.36 10.87 100 mg/g DW 4.74a 0.76b 1.10b 6.60 Ref. Soxhlet 22.33c 34.53 2.25c

*Different lowercase superscript letters indicate significant differences within the same column at p < 0.05

(ANOVA, p < 0.05) In the work of Wu et al., six strains of P. tricornutum were investigated for their fucoxanthin content, with the highest obtained value of 5.5 mg/g DW[150]. These results indicate that SFE-CO2 is a suitable method for fucoxanthin recovery from P. tricornutum biomass, allowing to extract a major part of fucoxanthin and being almost twice more efficient compared to Soxhlet. 3.6.2. Fatty acid

profile of extracted oils P. tricornutum extracts after Soxhlet extraction with hexane, SFE-CO2, and PLE acetone under optimal conditions were analyzed by means of GC-FID for

fatty acid profile determination. Overall, the total saturated (SFA), monounsaturated (MUFA), polyunsaturated (PUFA),

unsaturated, and the ratio of saturated to unsaturated (SFA/UFA) fatty acid composition of extracts is reported in the Table 3.23. All extracts showed a predominance of PUFA (45–51%) over SFA (23-33%) and MUFA (25–34%). As expected, the most abundant fatty acid in all samples, as well as out of all PUFA, was eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, C20:5 n3). EPA accounted for approximately 40% of the total amount of all fatty acids in extracts after Soxhlet extraction and SFE-CO2 and about 20% in PLE extract of residual biomass after SFE-CO2. Another polyunsaturated fatty acid, namely linoleic acid (C18:2n-6), was also present in considerable quantities (4–6%) in all extracts. The second

most abundant fatty acids were both MUFA, oleic acid (C18: 1n-9) and palmitoleic acid

(C16:1), each of them comprised almost 13% in all extracted samples. Among SFA, the main present acids were palmitic (C16:0) 6-13%, myristic (C14:0) 3-4%, and pentadecanoic acid (C15:0) 1-3%. In general, all extracted showed similar fatty acid profiles, mainly differ in percent-size of each acid. However, PLE-acetone extract showed significantly higher content of three particular fatty acids – erucic acid (C22:1n-9), which was only detected after PLE extraction, docosanoic acid (C22:0) and C20:3n3. The results obtained in current research

are in agreement with the previous studies of Wu et al., Di Lena et al.,

Banskota et al. and Feller et al. [22,23,150,151], where extracts from P. tricornutum were mostly composed of EPA, palmitoleic acid, palmitic acid, and oleic acid. Moreover, results showed that only small amounts of DHA (C22:6n-3) were detected – the maximum of 0.28% in the present study and from 1.54 to 2.64% in the study of Fller et al., where six strains of P. tricornutum were evaluated for their fatty acid profile[150].

The n-3/n-6 ratio, as an indicator of the nutritional value of food, is beneficial to be elevated in the human diet

in order to avoid

cardiovascular and chronic inflammatory diseases and counteract the excessive intake of n-6 PUFA typical for modern Western diets[

152]. The obtained n-3/n- 6 ratio was favorably high (5.2) for Soxhlet, SFE-CO2, and PLE-acetone extracts. These values are in line with the study of Di Lena et al., where the n-3/n-6 ratio for P. tricornutum extracts was reported to be 5.01, suggesting the potential health properties of microalgal oils[151]. Table 3.23.

Fatty acids composition of the algae biomass extracts using the four extraction methods

(% of the total GC-FID peak area) Fatty acid C8

:0 C11:0 C12:0 C13:0 C14:0 C14:1 C15: 0 C16:0 C16:1 C17:0 C18:0 C18: 1n9c C18: 2n6c C20:0 C18: 3n6 C20: 1n9 C18:3n3 C21:0 C20:2 C22:0 C20:3n6 C22:1n9 C20:3n3 C20:4n6 C22:2n6 C24 :0 C20:5n3 C24:

1 C22:6n3 SFA MUFA PUFA TOTAL UFA SFA/UFA n6 n3 Soxhlet 0.49a 0.49a 0.82a 1.30a 3.41a 0.29a 3.43a 7.52a 12.41a 0.47a 1.56a 13.17a 5.02a 0.13a 0.36a 0.00a 0.55a 1.35a 0.18a 0.29a 0.94a 0.00a 1.10a 0.21a 1.30a 1.86a 40.69s 0.38a 0.28a 23.12a 26.25a 50.63a 76.88a 0.30a 7.83a 42.62a SFE-CO2 0.30a 0.37a 0.76a 1.24a 4.01b 0.31a 3.70a 6.94a 13.22a 0.45a 3.62b 9.81b 6.18b 0.35b 1.21b 0.11b 1.03b 0.43b 0.52b 0.80b 0.80a 0.00a a 0.09b 0.21a 1.24a 0.41b 39.44a 2.18b 0.26a 23.37a 25.64a 50.99a 76.63a 0.30a 9.64b 40.83b PLE-AC 0.45a 0.67b 0.48b 0.60b 4.44b 0.00b 2.04b 8.40b 12.55a 0.00b 3.89b 13.50a 5.60b 0.00c 0.00c 0.00a 0.64a 0.00c 0.43b 3.67c 1.39b 2.10b 10.23c 0.00b 0.97b 0.57b 26.70b 0.65a 0.00b 25.22b 28.81b 45.97b 74.78b 0.34a 7.97a 37.57c

*Different lowercase superscript letters indicate significant differences within the same row at p < 0.05

(ANOVA,

p < 0.05); SFE – saturated fatty acids; MUFA – monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA – polyunsaturated fatty acids; UFA – unsaturated fatty acids

3.6.3. Evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of P. tricornutum extracts after different extraction techniques P. tricornutum extracts after SFE-CO2, two-step PLE (acetone, ethanol) and SLE (hexane, acetone, ethanol, water) in duplicates were evaluated for their antimicrobial effects against eight pathogenic bacteria

(B. cereus, S. aureus, L. monocytogenes, E. coli, Salmonella spp,

E. faecalis, P. aeruginosa and fungus Aspergillus niger) using broth microdilution method (data is shown in the Table 3.24). After performing the disk diffusion in order to evaluate the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of each active extract, microdilution method was applied on SFE-CO2 (optimal conditions) extract, as well as SLE-ethanol and SLE-H2O that showed inhibition of two bacteria growth (B. cereus, S. aureus) at the concentration of 1 mg/ml. The antimicrobial activity of active extracts was quantified in µg/ml and the results are shown in Table 3.25. Table 3.24. Inhibition activity of all tested extracts against eight pathogenic bacteria: a plus sign indicates the antimicrobial activity of each particular extract against bacteria Extracts Bacteria SFE-CO2 PLE- acetone PLE- SLE- SLE- SLE- ethanol hexane acetone ethanol SLE- water B. cereus + --- + + E. faecalis - --- L. monocytogenes - ---- E. coli ----- Salmonella spp. ----- S. aureus + +--- + + P. aeruginosa - ---- Aspergillus niger ------ Overall, MIC values ranged from 84 to 675 µg/ml, with SFE-CO2 extract showing the highest antimicrobial activity, with significant difference in concentration, against both bacterial species, MIC for B. cereus was 84 µg/ml and for S. aureus 168 µg/ml. The second most active extract turned out to be SLE-ethanol with MIC of 337 µg/ml against B. cereus and 168 µg/ml against S. aureus; meanwhile, SLE- H2O expressed the same MIC of 675 µg/ml against both pathogenic bacteria. Table 3.25. Minimum inhibitory concentration

(MIC, given as µg extract/ml) of SFE-CO2 optimal conditions, SLE-ethanol and SLE-H2O against B. cereus, S. aureus Bacterial species SFE-CO2 B. cereus 84.37a S. aureus 168.75и MIC (µg/ml) SLE-ethanol SLE-H2O 337.5a 675a 168.75b 675a

*Different lowercase superscript letters indicate significant differences within the same column at p < 0.05

(ANOVA, p < 0.05) In the work of Wang et al., P. tricornutum methanolic extract (freeze-fried biomass was macerated in 100% methanol for 10 min, with further centrifugation and solvent evaporation) was tested for its antimicrobial activity against gram-positive Bacillus subtilis. MIC was found to be 307.5 μ g/ml, which is similar to the MIC of the ethanolic extract against B. cereus obtained in the current study[153]. Moreover, in the study by Maadane et al., P. tricornutum lyophilized biomass was macerated in ethanol for 3h, and extracts were evaluated

against the bacteria: E. coli, P. aeruginosa and S. aureus, the yeast Candida albicans and the fungus Aspergillus niger.

P. tricornutum showed a lot weaker inhibition activity and only against E. coli, S. aureus C. albicans, and

P. aeruginosa with a significantly higher MIC ranged from 2.6 to 4.3 mg extract per ml[

154]. Such antimicrobial activities against bacteria might occur due to a great part of dominant fatty acids, which were found to be present in all extracts, especially rich in SFE-CO2. In polar extracts antimicrobial activity is mostly related to polar substances, such as carotenoids and polysaccharides[155]. This statement is in agreement with published observations[156], which confirms

the antibacterial activity of the C16–C20 fatty acids found in extracts from the microalga N. oculata.

It was also showed that EPA, the major fatty acid in all extracts of P. tricornutum has a significant antimicrobial effect[157]. Carotenoids as well

demonstrated to have a considerable effect against both Gram- positive and Gram- negative bacteria[

158]. According to the previous work[159],

ethanolic extracts from Dunaliella sp., P. tricornutum, and Tetraselmis sp. contained

a higher amount of carotenoids; thus the observed antimicrobial activities SLE water and ethanol extract extracts could be linked with their carotenoid content. Besides, the ethanol and water extracts from P. tricornutum contained a high amount of phenolic compounds (polyphenols), expressed as mg GAE/g DW. Hence, a part of the antimicrobial activity of extracts could be attributed to their total phenolic content, which is in agreement with data found in the literature[160]. Phytochemicals can work

by inducing cellular membrane disruptions, interfering with certain microbial metabolic processes, modulating signal transduction, or gene expression. Fatty acids can initiate peroxidative processes and

prevent bacterial fatty acids from being synthesized[157,161]. Moreover, free fatty acids may cause

leakage of molecules from microbial cells, inhibition of their respiration, or reduction of their

nutrient uptake by interacting with cellular membranes of these cells[154,162]. Carotenoids, fatty acids, and phenolic combinations are likely to interact independently or synergistically, indicating the existence of pharmaceutically promising antibacterial compounds in the obtained extracts. CONCLUSIONS 1. Chemical composition of Phaeodactylum tricornutum freeze-dried biomass was determined. Protein content was 43.54±0.34%, total oil content was 10.1±0.13%, ash content was 8.16±0.042% and water content was 3.97±0.16%. 2. Stepwise SLE extraction was performed using hexane, acetone, ethanol and water. The highest yield (18.05±0.89%) was obtained using water as solvent, following by ethanol (13.3±1.06%), hexane (8.18±0.32%) and acetone (6.7±0.25%). 3. SFE-CO2 was optimized and the effect of pressure, temperature and the amount of co-solvent on extraction yield, total carotenoid and fucoxanthin content was investigated. Obtained optimal SFE- CO2 extraction conditions were 395 bars, 30°C and 9.2% EtOH. Total oil yield under optimal conditions was 9.8±0.22%, total carotenoid content 35.14±2.45 mg/g extract and fucoxanthin content was 4.7 mg/g DW. With variation of actual and predicted values of maximum ±4% for each response. 4. The influence of temperature and extraction time on PLE-acetone was evaluated and the extraction was optimized. Suggested optimal conditions were 61°C and 39 min. Total yield, carotenoid contend and phenolic content under optimal conditions were 7.6±0.63 g/100g DW, 10.9±0.63 mg/g extract and 4.68±0.29 mg GAE/g DW respectively. 5. PLE ethanol-assisted extraction of the dry residues after SFE-CO2 and PLE-acetone was evaluated and optimized using RSM. Optimal conditions were 66°C and 17 min of extraction time. Yield obtained under optimal conditions was 15.2±0.29 g/100g DW, total carotenoid content was 7.6±0.42mg/g extract and TPC was 5.1±0.22 mg GAE/g DW. 6. During SLE, the initial TPC value of raw biomass was 21.67±0.68 total phenols values for extracts ranged between 1.75±0.04 and 6.02±0.41, with acetone showing the highest capability of extracting phenolic compounds and hexane the lowest. The values of during ABTS++ for dry residues ranged from 64.58±4.82 to 19.03±2.57 mg Trolox/g residue and acetone extracts expressed the strongest antioxidant activities (14.25±2.72 mg Trolox/g DW extract). In residual biomass, the highest ABTS++ values were observed after hexane extraction. Values of DPPH• scavenging assay for the initial biomass and dry residues ranged from 7.84±0.62 to 1.02±0.17 mg Trolox/g. For extracts values ranged from 0.23±0.02 to 2.50±0.26 mg Trolox/g DW extract, with the highest antioxidant capacity found in acetone and ethanol extracts. For SFE-CO2 TPC value of residual biomass was 12.33±1.29 mg GAE/g DW, while initial biomass value was 21.67±0.68 mg GAE/g DW. The ABTS++ values were 60.99±3.93 mg Trolox/g DW and 4.92±0.09 mg Trolox/g DW for residual biomass and extracts respectively. The values of DPPH cation radical assay were 7.69±1.03 mg Trolox/g DW for the biomass and 0.28±0.06 mg Trolox/g DW for extracts. Overall, SFE-CO2 extracts showed low TPC and radical scavenging capacity, indicating that non-polar CO2 does not extract the major antioxidant-rich fractions. 7.

HPLC-DAD was performed for qualitative and quantitative carotenoid determination. Overall, the main carotenoid in non-polar extracts was found to be fucoxanthin and its isomers, with the highest recovery of approximately 73% of total fucoxanthin content, applying SFE-CO2. The amount of fucoxanthin recovered during SFE-CO2 under optimal conditions was 4.7 mg/g DW, while under PLE with acetone and ethanol was 0.76 and 1.1 mg/g DW respectively, bringing the total amount of biorefined fucoxanthin to 6.5 mg/g DW. Soxhlet extraction itself recovered only 2.25 mg/g DW of fucoxanthin. 8. GC-FID was applied to P. tricornutum Soxhlet, SFE-CO2 and PLE-acetone extracts for fatty acid profile determination. Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, C20:5 n3) comprised of approximately 40% of the total amount of all fatty acids in extracts after Soxhlet extraction and SFE-CO2 and about 20% in PLE-acetone extract. The second the most abundant

fatty acids were oleic acid (C18:1n-9) and palmitoleic acid (C16:

1), each of them comprised almost 13% in all extracted samples, following by polyunsaturated linoleic acid (C18:2n-6), which accounted for 4–6%. Palmitic (C16:0) 6–13%, myristic (C14:0) 3–4% and pentadecanoic acid (C15:0) 1–3% were the main SFE acids in all samples. 9. All extracts were tested for their antimicrobial activity against eight pathogenic bacteria

(B. cereus, S. aureus, L. monocytogenes, E. coli, Salmonella spp,

E. faecalis, P. aeruginosa and fungus Aspergillus niger) using broth microdilution method. Overall, only SFE-C02, SLE-ethanol and SLE-H2O showed antimicrobial activity against 2 bacteria – B. cereus, S. aureus. MIC in SFE-CO2 extract against B. cereus was 84 µg/ml and against S. aureus 168 µg/ml. For SLEethanol MIC was 337 µg/ml against B. cereus and 168 µg/ml against S. aureus; meanwhile, SLE-H2O expressed the same MIC of 675 µg/ml against both pathogenic bacteria. LIST OF REFERENCES 1. Lee, B.H. (Byong H.: Fundamentals of Food Biotechnology; Second.; 2015; Vol. 91; ISBN 9781118384954. 2. Khan, M.I.; Shin, J.H.; Kim, J.D. The promising future of microalgae: Current status, challenges, and optimization of a sustainable and renewable industry for biofuels, feed, and other products. Microb. Cell Fact. 2018, 17, 1–21, doi:10.1186/s12934-018-0879-x. 3. Neumann, U.; Derwenskus, F.; Flister, V.F.; Schmid-Staiger, U.; Hirth, T.; Bischoff, S.C. Fucoxanthin, a carotenoid derived from Phaeodactylum tricornutum exerts antiproliferative and antioxidant activities in vitro. Antioxidants 2019, 8, 1-11, doi:10.3390/antiox8060183. 4. Kim, S.M.; Jung, Y.J.; Kwon, O.N.; Cha, K.H.; Um, B.H.; Chung, D.; Pan, C.H. A potential commercial source of fucoxanthin extracted from the microalga Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 2012, 166, 1843-1855, doi:10.1007/s12010-012-9602-2. 5. Gallego, R.; Martínez, M.; Cifuentes, A.; Ibáñez, E.; Herrero, M. Development of a Green Downstream Process for the Valorization of Porphyridium cruentum Biomass. Molecules 2019, doi:10.3390/molecules24081564. 6. Round FE, Crawford RM, M.D. The Diatoms: biology and morphology of the genera. Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge, UK 1990. 7. Borowitzka, M. A. & Volcani, B.E. The polymorphic diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum: ultrastructure of its morphotypes. J. Phycol. 1978, 10-21. 8. Diner RE, Schwenck SM, McCrow JP, Zheng H, A.Ae. Genetic manipulation of competition for nitrate between heterotrophic bacteria and diatoms. Front. Microbiol. 2016, 7. 9. De Riso, V; Raniello, R.; Maumus, F.; Rogato, A.; Bowler, C.; Falciatore, A. Gene silencing in the marine diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Nucleic Acids 2009, Res. 37 (1, 96. 10.

Purohit, R.; Venugopalan, P. Polymorphism : An Overview. 2009, 882-893. 11. Martino, A. De; Meichenin, A.; Shi, J.; Pan, K.; Bowler, C. Genetic and phenotypic characterization of Phaeodactylum tricornutum (Bacillariophyceae) accessions. J. Phycol. 2007, 43, 992-1009, doi:10.1111/j.1529-8817.2007.00384.x. 12. Bañuelos-Hernández, B.; Beltrán-López, J.I.; Rosales-Mendoza, S. Production of Biopharmaceuticals in Microalgae. Handb. Mar. Microalgae Biotechnol. Adv. 2015, 281-298, doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-800776-1.00018-2. 13. Chisti, Y. Biodiesel from microalgae. Biotechnol. Adv. Adv. 2007, 294–306. 14. Becker E. W. Microalgae as a source of protein. Biotechnol. Adv. 2007, 207-210. 15. Kim, S. K., & Pangestuti, R. Biological activities and potential health benefits of fucoxanthin derived from marine brown algae. Adv. Food Nutr. Res. 2011, 64, 111-128. 16. Branco-Vieira, M.; Martin, S.S.; Agurto, C.; Santos, M.A.; Freitas, M.A.V.; Caetano, N.S. Analyzing Phaeodactylum tricornutum lipid profile for biodiesel production. Energy Procedia 2017, 136, 369–373, doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2017.10.251. 17. Brown, M. The amino acid and sugar composition of 16 species of microalgae used in mariculture. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 1991, 79-99. 18. Guil-Guerrero, J.L.; Navarro-Juárez, R.; López-Martínez, J.C.; Campra-Madrid, P.; Rebolloso-Fuentes, M.M. Functional properties of the biomass of three microalgal species. J. Food Eng. 2004, 65, 511–517, doi:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2004.02.014. 19. Tibbetts, S.M.; Milley, J.E.; Lall, S.P. Chemical composition and nutritional properties of freshwater and marine microalgal biomass cultured in photobioreactors. 2015, 1109–1119, doi:10.1007/s10811-014-0428-x. 20. German-Báez, L.J.; Valdez-Flores, M.A.; Félix-Medina, J. V.; Norzagaray-Valenzuela, C.D.; Santos-Ballardo, D.U.; Reyes-Moreno, C.; Shelton, L.M.; Valdez-Ortiz, A. Chemical composition and physicochemical properties of Phaeodactylum tricornutum microalgal residual biomass. Food Sci. Technol. Int. 2017, 23, 681-689, doi:10.1177/1082013217717611. 21. Rebolloso-Fuentes, M. M., Vavarro-Pérez, A., Ramos-Miras, J. J., & Guil-Guerrero, J.L. Biomass nutrient profiles of the microalga Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Food Biochem. 2001, 57-76. 22. Banskota, A.H.; Sperker, S.; Stefanova, R.; McGinn, P.J.; O'Leary, S.J.B. Antioxidant properties and lipid composition of selected microalgae. J. Appl. Phycol. 2019, 31, 309–318, doi:10.1007/s10811-018-1523-1. 23. Feller, R.; Matos, Â.P.; Mazzutti, S.; Moecke, E.H.S.; Tres, M. V.; Derner, R.B.; Oliveira, J. V.; Junior, A.F. Polyunsaturated Ω-3 and Ω-6 fatty acids, total carotenoids and antioxidant activity of three marine microalgae extracts obtained by supercritical CO2 and subcritical n-butane. J. Supercrit. Fluids 2018, 133, 437-443, doi:10.1016/j.supflu.2017.11.015. 24. Ryckebosch, E.; Bruneel, C.; Termote-Verhalle, R.; Muylaert, K.; Foubert, I. Influence of extraction solvent system on extractability of lipid components from different microalgae species. Algal Res. 2014, 3, 36-43, doi:10.1016/j.algal.2013.11.001. 25. Li, Y., Ghasemi Naghdi, F., Garg, S., Adarme-Vega, T., Thurecht, K. J., Ghafor, W., ... Schenk, P.M. A comparative study: the impact of different lipid extraction methods on current microalgal lipid research. Microb. Cell Fact. 2014, 13, 14. 26. Derwenskus, F.; Metz, F.; Gille, A.; Schmid-Staiger, U.; Briviba, K.; Schließmann, U.; Hirth, T. Pressurized extraction of unsaturated fatty acids and carotenoids from wet Chlorella vulgaris and Phaeodactylum tricornutum biomass using subcritical liquids. GCB Bioenergy 2019, 11, 335-344, doi:10.1111/gcbb.12563. 27. Sørensen, M., Berge, G. M., Reitan, K. I., & Ruyter, B. Microalga Phaeodactylum tricornutum in feed for Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) -Effect on nutrient digestibility, growth and utilization of feed. Aquaculture 2016, 116–123. 28. FAO The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018; 2018; Vol. 3; ISBN 9789251305621. 29. van der Voort, M.P.J.; Spruijt, J.; Potters, J.; de Wolf, P.L.; Elissen, H.J.H. Socio-economic assessment of Algae- based PUFA production. Public Output

Rep. PUFAChain Proj. 2017. 30. Harun, R.; Singh, M.; Forde, G.M.; Danquah, M.K. Bioprocess engineering of microalgae to produce a variety of consumer products. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 2010, 14, 1037-1047, doi:10.1016/j.rser.2009.11.004. 31. B.J. Meyer Are we consuming enough long chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids for optimal health? Prostaglandins, Leukot. Essent, Fat. Acids 2011, 85, 75-280. 32. Simopoulos, A.P. Omega-3 Fatty Acids in Inflammation and Autoimmune Diseases. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 2002, 21, 495-505, doi:10.1080/07315724.2002.10719248. 33. Ruzickova, J.; Rossmeisl, M.; Prazak, T.; Flachs, P.; Sponarova, J.; Veck, M.; Tvrzicka, E.; Bryhn, M.; Kopecky, J. Omega-3 PUFA of marine origin limit diet-induced obesity in mice by reducing cellularity of adipose tissue. Lipids 2004, 1177-1185. 34. Li, Y.; Rong, Y.; Bao, L.; Nie, B.; Ren, G.; Zheng, C.; Amin, R.; Arnold, R.D.; Jeganathan, R.B.; Huggins, K.W. Suppression of adipocyte differentiation and lipid accumulation by stearidonic acid (SDA) in 3T3-L1 cells. Lipids Health Dis. 2017, 181. 35. Links, R. Lipid Mediators : Resolvins , Protectins , and Neuroprotectins. 2013, 20-23. 36. Ryckebosch, E.; Bruneel, C.; Termote-verhalle, R.; Goiris, K.; Muylaert, K.; Foubert, I. Nutritional evaluation of microalgae oils rich in omega-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids as an alternative for fish oil. FOOD Chem. 2014, 160, 393-400, doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.03.087. 37. Foo, S.C.; Yusoff, F.M.; Ismail, M.; Basri, M.; Yau, S.K.; Khong, N.M.H.; Chan, K.W.; Ebrahimi, M. Antioxidant capacities of fucoxanthin-producing algae as influenced by their carotenoid and phenolic contents. J. Biotechnol. 2017, 241, 175-183, doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2016.11.026. 38. Pangestuti, R.; Kim, S.K. Biological activities and health benefit effects of natural pigments derived from marine algae. J. Funct. Foods 2011, 3, 255-266, doi:10.1016/j.jff.2011.07.001. 39. D.B. Rodriguez-Amaya Carotenoids and chronic diseases, food carotenoids. John Wiley Sons Ltd 2015, 282-304. 40. McWilliams, A. The Global Market for Carotenoids BCC Research Report Overview The Global Market for Carotenoids. 2018. 41. Palozza, P.; Krinsky, N.I. β-Carotene and α-tocopherol are synergistic antioxidants. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1992, 184– 187. 42. Stahl, W.; Sies, H. Bioactivity and protective effects of natural carotenoids. Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Mol. Cell Res. 2005, 101–107. 43. Tanabe, Y.; Shitara, T.; Kashino, Y.; Hara, Y.; Kudoh, S. Utilizing the Effective Xanthophyll Cycle for Blooming of Ochromonas smithii and O. itoi (Chrysophyceae) on the Snow Surface. PLoS One 2011, 6, e14690, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014690. 44. Falkowski, P.G.; Raven, J.A. Aquatic Photosynthesis; STU-Stud.; Princeton University Press, 2007; ISBN 9780691115511. 45. Kuczynska, P.; Jemiola-Rzeminska, M.; Strzalka, K. Photosynthetic pigments in diatoms. Mar. Drugs 2015, 13, 5847-5881, doi:10.3390/md13095847. 46. Kim, S.M.; Jung, Y.J.; Kwon, O.N.; Cha, K.H.; Um, B.H.; Chung, D.; Pan, C.H. A potential commercial source of fucoxanthin extracted from the microalga Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 2012, 166, 1843-1855, doi:10.1007/s12010-012-9602-2. 47. Delbrut, A.; Albina, P.; Lapierre, T.; Pradelles, R.; Dubreucq, E. Fucoxanthin and polyunsaturated fatty acids co- extraction by a green process. Molecules 2018, 23, 1–15, doi:10.3390/molecules23040874. 48. Haugan, J. A., & Liaaen-Jensen, S. Phytochemistry; 1992; 49. Veith, T.; Büchel, C. The monomeric photosystem I-complex of the diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum binds specific fucoxanthin chlorophyll proteins (FCPs) as light-harvesting complexes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2007, 1428-1435. 50. Hu T, Liu D, Chen Y, Wu J, W.S. Antioxidant activity of sulfated polysaccharide fractions extracted from Undaria pinnitafida in vitro. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2010, 193-8. 51. Premvardhan L, Sandberg DJ, Fey H, Birge RR, Büchel C, van G.R. The charge-transfer properties of the S2 state of fucoxanthin in solution and in fucoxanthin chlorophyll-a/c2 protein (FCP) based on stark spectroscopy and molecular-orbital theory. J.

Phys. Chem. 2008, 112, 11838–53. 52. Sugawara, T., Kushiro, M., Zhang, H., Nara, E., Ono, H., & Nagao, A. Lysophosphatidylcholine enhances carotenoid uptake from mixed micelles by Caco-2 human intestinal cells. J. Nutr. 2001, 131, 2921–2927. 53. Asai, A., Sugawara, T., Ono, H., & Nagao, A. Biotransformation of fucoxanthinol into amarouciaxanthin a in mice and HepG2 cells: Formation and cytotoxicity of fucoxanthin metabolites. Drug Metab. Dispos. 2004, 32, 205-211. 54. Das, S. K., Ren, R., Hashimoto, T., & Kanazawa, K. Fucoxanthin induces apoptosis in osteoclast-like cells differentiated from RAW264.7 cells. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2010, 58, 6090-6095. 55. Peng, J., Yuan, J. P., Wu, C. F., & Wang, J.H. Fucoxanthin, a marine carotenoid present in brown seaweeds and diatoms: Metabolism and bioactivities relevant to human health. Mar. Drugs 2011, 9, 1806–1828. 56. Fung, A.; Hamid, N.; Lu, J. Fucoxanthin content and antioxidant properties of Undaria pinnatifida. Food Chem. 2013, 1055–1062. Lin, J.; Huang, L.; Yu, J.; Xiang, S.; Wang, J.; Zhang, J.; Yan, X.; Cui, W.; He, S.; Wang, Q. Fucoxanthin, a marine carotenoid, reverses scopolamine-Induced cognitive impairments in mice and inhibits acetylcholinesterase in vitro. Mar. Drugs 2016, 14. 58. Grasa-López, A.; Miliar-García, Á.; Quevedo-Corona, L.; Paniagua-Castro, N.; Escalona-Cardoso, G.; Reyes-Maldonado, E.; Jaramillo-Flores, M.-E. Undaria pinnatifida and fucoxanthin ameliorate lipogenesis and markers of both inflammation and cardiovascular dysfunction in an animal model of diet-induced obesity. Mar. Drugs 2016, 14. 59. Steinrücken, P.; Prestegard, S.K.; de Vree, J.H.; Storesund, J.E.; Pree, B.; Mjøs, S.A.; Erga, S.R. Comparing EPA production and fatty acid profiles of three Phaeodactylum tricornutum strains under western Norwegian climate conditions. Algal Res. 2018, 11–22. 60. Meiser, A.; Schmid-Staiger, U.; Trösch, W. Optimization of eicosapentaenoic acid production by Phaeodactylum tricornutum in the flat panel airlift (FPA) reactor. Appl. Phycol. 2004, 215-225. 61. Mirón, A.S.; Garcia, M.C.C.; Gómez, A.C.; Camacho, F.G.; Grima, E.M.; Chisti, Y. Shear stress tolerance and biochemical characterization of Phaeodactylum tricornutum in quasi steady-state continuous culture in outdoor photobioreactors. Biochem. Eng. 2003, 287-297. 62. Silva Benavides, A.M.; Torzillo, G.; Kopecký, J.; Masojídek, J. Productivity and biochemical composition of Phaeodactylum tricornutum (Bacillariophyceae) cultures grown outdoors in tubular photobioreactors and open ponds. Biomass Bioenergy 2013, 115–122. 63. Cooney, M.; Young, G.; Nagle, N. Extraction of bio-oils from microalgae. Sep. Purif. Rev. 2009, 38, 291–325, doi:10.1080/15422110903327919. 64. C. M. Galanakis, G. Laboratories, V. Goulas, S. Tsakona, G.M. International Journal of Food Properties. 2015,. 65. Kadam, S.U.; Tiwari, B.K.; O'Donnell, C.P. Application of novel extraction technologies for bioactives from marine algae. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2013, 61, 4667-4675, doi:10.1021/jf400819p. 66. Barkia, I.; Saari, N.; Manning, S.R. Microalgae for high-value products towards human health and nutrition. Mar. Drugs 2019, 17, 1–29, doi:10.3390/md17050304. 67. Axelsson, L.; Franzén, M.; Ostwald, M.; Berndes, G.; Lakshmi, G.; Ravindranath, N.H. Perspective: Jatropha cultivation in southern India: Assessing farmers' experiences. Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefining 2012, 6, 246-256, doi:10.1002/bbb. 68. Cha KH, Lee HJ, Koo SY, Song DG, L.D. and P.C. Optimization of pressurized liquid extraction of carotenoids and chlorophylls from chlorella vulgaris. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2010, 793-797. 69. Yen HW, C.W. and S.C. Supercritical fluid extraction of lutein from Scenedesmus cultured in an autotrophical photobioreactor. J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng. 2012, 53-57. 70. Fujii K Process integration of supercritical carbon dioxide extraction and acid treatment for astaxanthin extraction from a vegetative microalga. Food Bioprod. Process. 2012, 90, 762–766. 71. Cheng C-H, Du T-B, Pi H-C, Jang S-M, Lin Y-H, L.H.-T. Comparative study of lipid extraction from microalgae by organic solvent and supercritical CO 2.

Bioresour. Technol. 2011, 102, 10151-10153. 72. Gilbert-López, B.; Barranco, A.; Herrero, M.; Cifuentes, A.; Ibáñez, E. Development of new green processes for the recovery of bioactives from Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Food Res. Int. 2017, 99, 1056-1065, doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2016.04.022. 73. Tang S, Qin C, Wang H, Li S and Tian S Study on supercritical extraction of lipids and enrichment of DHA from oil-rich microalgae. J. Supercrit. Fluids 2011, 57, 44–49. 74. Reves, F.A.; Mendiola, J.A.; Ibañez, E.; Del Valle, J.M. Astaxanthin extraction from Haematococcus pluvialis using CO 2-expanded ethanol. J. Supercrit. Fluids 2014, 92, 75-83, doi:10.1016/j.supflu.2014.05.013. Hahn, T.; Lang, S.; Ulber, R.; Muffler, K. Novel procedures for the extraction of fucoidan from brown algae. Process Biochem. 2012, 1691-1698. 76. Whyte, J.; Southcott, B. An extraction procedure for plants: extracts from the red alga Rhodomela larix. Phytochemistry 1970, 1159-1161. 77. Shirsath, S.; Sonawane, S.; Gogate, P. Intensification of extraction of natural products using ultrasonic irradiations - a review of current status. Chem. Eng. Process. Process Intensif. 2012, 10–13. 78. S.U. Kadam, B.K. Tiwari, C.P.O. Application of novel extraction technologies for bioactives from marine algae. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2013, 4667–4675. 79. Jadhav, D.; B. N., R.; Gogate, P. R.; Rathod, V.K. Extraction of vanillin from vanilla pods: a comparison study of conventional soxhlet and ultrasound assisted extraction. J. Food Eng. 2009, 421-426. 80. Sosa-Hernández, J.E.; Escobedo-Avellaneda, Z.; Iqbal, H.M.N.; Welti-Chanes, J. State-of-the-art extraction methodologies for bioactive compounds from algal biome to meet bio-economy challenges and opportunities. Molecules 2018, 23, doi:10.3390/molecules23112953. 81. Steytler, D. Supercritical fluid extraction and its application in the food industry. Sep. Process. Food Biotechnol. Ind. Princ. Appl. 1996. 82. Machmudah, S.; Wahyudiono; Kanda, H.; Goto, M. Supercritical fluids extraction of valuable compounds from algae: Future perspectives and challenges. Eng. J. 2018, 22, 13–30, doi:10.4186/ej.2018.22.5.13. 83. Aravena RI del Valle J. Effect of microalgae preconditioning on supercritical CO2 extraction of astaxanthin from haematococcus pluvialis. In Proceedings of the 10th International Conference of Supercritical Fluids; USA. 84. Schütz, E. Supercritical fluids and applications - a patent review. Chem. Eng. Technol. 2007, 685-688. 85. Khosravi-Darani, K. Research activities on supercritical fluid science in food biotechnology. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 2010, 479-488. 86. Fluids, S. Supercritical fluid chromatography. 2020, 2-7. 87. Sahena, F.; Zaidul, I.S.M.; Jinap, S.; Karim, A.A.; Abbas, K.A.; Norulaini, N.A.N.; Omar, A.K.M. Application of supercritical CO2in lipid extraction - A review. J. Food Eng. 2009, 95, 240-253, doi:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2009.06.026. 88. Martins, P.F.; de Melo, M.M.R.; Sarmento, P.; Silva, C.M. Supercritical fluid extraction of sterols from Eichhornia crassipes biomass using pure and modified carbon dioxide. Enhancement of stigmasterol yield and extract concentration. J. Supercrit. Fluids 2016, 107, 441-449, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2015.09.027. 89. Bustamante A, Roberts P, A.R. and del V.J.

Supercritical extraction of astaxanthin from haematococcus pluvialis using ethanol-modified CO2, experiments and modelling. In Proceedings of the 11th International Conference of Engineering and Food, Athens, Greece; 2011. 90. Machmudah S, Shotipruk A, Goto M, Sasaki M and Hirose T Extraction of astaxanthin fromhaematococcus pluvialis using supercritical CO2 and ethanol as entrainer. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2006, 3652–3657. 91. Kang CD and Sim SJ Selective extraction of free astaxanthin from haematococcus culture using a tandem organic solvent system. Biotechnol. Prog. 2007, 866–871. 92. Kitada K, Machmudah S, Sasaki M, Goto M, Nakashima Y, K.S. and H.T. Supercritical CO2 extraction of pigment components with pharmaceutical importance from chlorella vulgaris. J. Chem. Technol.

Biotechnol. 2009, 657-661. 93. Valderrama JO, P.M. and M.W. Extraction of astaxanthin and phycocyanine frommicroalgae with supercritical carbon dioxide. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2003, 827-830. 94. NobreB, Marcelo F, Passos R, Beirao L, Palavra A, G.L. and Mendes R. Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of astaxanthin and other carotenoids from the microalga Haematococcus pluvialis. J. Eur. Food Res. Technol. 2006, 87-790. 95. Ruenngam D, Shotipruk A, Pavasant P, M.S. and G.M. Selective extraction of lutein from alcohol treated chlrella vulgaris by supercritical CO2. Chem. Eng. Technol. 2012, 255-60. 96. Cardoso LC, Serrano CM, Rodriguez Rodriguez M, M. de la O.E. and L.L. Extraction of Carotenoids and Fatty Acids from Microalgae Using Supercritical Technology. J. Anal. Chem. 2012, 877-883. 97. J. A. Mendiola, M. Herrero, A.C. and E.I. Use of compressed fluids for sample preparation: Food applications. J. Chromatogr. 2007, 1152, 234–246. 98. Macias-Sanchez MD, Mantell C, Rodriguez M, Martinez De La Ossa E, Lubian LM, M.O. Supercritical fluid extraction of carotenoids and chlorophyll a from Nannochloropsis gaditana. J. Food Eng. 2005, 245–51. 99. J., P. Kinetic model of supercritical fluid extraction. J. Chromatogr. Sci. 1993, 31–7. 100. S. Lucas, E. Alonso, J.S. and M.C. Safety Study in a Supercritical Extraction Plant. Chem. Eng. Technol. 2003, 449-461. 101. M, L.Q. and W.C. Supercritical fluid extraction in herbal and natural product. Talanta 2001, 53, 771–782. 102. Kaufmann, B.; Christen, P. Recent extraction techniques for natural products: microwave-assisted extraction and pressurised solvent extraction. Phytochem. Anal. 2002, 105–113. 103. H. Sun, X. Ge, Y. Lv, and A.W. Application of accelerated solvent extraction in the analysis of organic contaminants, bioactive and nutritional compounds in food and feed. J. Chromatogr. A 2012, 1237, 1-23. 104. Qin, Y. 2018, 105. Howard, Z.X. and L.R. John Wiley & Sons Ltd. 2012, 106. Pronyk C. and Mazza G. Design and scale-up of pressurized fluid extractors for food and bioproducts. J. Food Eng. 2009, 95, 215–226. 107. Eskilsson, C. S.; Hartonen, K.; Mathiasson, L.; Riekkola, M.-L. Pressurized hot water extraction of insecticides from process dust-comparison with supercritical fluid extraction. J. Sep. Sci. 2004, 59- 64. 108. Koo SY, Cha KH, Song DG, C.D. and P.C. Optimization of pressurized liquid extraction of zeaxanthin from Chlorella ellipsoidea. Appl. Phycol. 2012, 24, 25-730. 109. Rodríguez-Meizoso I, Jaime L, Santoyo S, Cifuentes A, Garcia-Blairsy Reina G, S.F. and I.E. Pressurized fluid extraction of bioactive compounds from Phormidium species. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2008, 3517-3523. 110. Gilbert-lópez, B.; Barranco, A.; Herrero, M.; Cifuentes, A.; Ibáñez, E. Development of new green processes for the recovery of bioactives from Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Food Res. Int. 2017, 99, 1056-1065, doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2016.04.022. 111. Shang, Y. F.; Kim, S. M.; Lee, W. J.; Um, B.H. Pressurized liquid method for fucoxanthin extraction from Eisenia bicyclis. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 2011, 237-241. 112. Ibañez E., Herrero M., Mendiola J.A., C.-P.M. Extraction and Characterization of Bioactive Compounds with Health Benefits from Marine Resources: Macro and Micro Algae, Cyanobacteria, and Invertebrates. Mar. Bioact. Compd. 2012. 113. H.W. Yen, S.C. Yang, C.H. Chen, Jesisca, J.S.C. Supercritical fluid extraction of valuable compounds from microalgal biomass. Bioresour. Technol. 2015, 291-296. 114. Kumar, M.; Sharma, M.P. Potential assessment of microalgal oils for biodiesel production: A review. J. Mater. Environ. Sci. 2014, 5, 757-766. 115. Khoo, C.G.; Dasan, Y.K.; Lam, M.K.; Lee, K.T. Algae biorefinery: Review on a broad spectrum of downstream processes and products. Bioresour. Technol. 2019, 292, 121964, doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121964. 116. Gifuni, I.; Pollio, A.; Safi, C.; Marzocchella, A.; Olivieri, G. Current Bottlenecks and Challenges of the Microalgal Biorefinery. Trends Biotechnol. 2019, 37, 242–252,

doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2018.09.006. 117. Trivedi, J., Aila, M., Bangwal, D.P., Kaul, S., Garg, M.O. Algae based

biorefinery-How to make sense? Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 2015, 295-307. 118. Demirbas, A. Biorefineries: current activities and future developments. Energy Convers. Manag. 2009, 50, 2782-2801. 119. Kumar, D.; Singh, B. Biomass and Bioenergy Algal biorefinery : An integrated approach for sustainable biodiesel production. Biomass and Bioenergy 2019, 131, 105398, doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2019.105398. 120. Goyeneche, R.; Fanovich, A.; Rodriguez Rodrigues, C.; Nicolao, M.; Di Scala, K. Supercritical CO2 extraction of bioactive compounds from radish leaves: Yield, antioxidant capacity and cytotoxicity. J. Supercrit. Fluids 2018, 135, doi:10.1016/j.supflu.2018.01.004. 121. Bimakr, M.; Abdul Rahman, R.; Taip, F.; Ling, T.; Ganjloo, A.; Selamat, J.; Abdul Hamid, A. Supercritical Carbon Dioxide (SC-CO2) Extraction of Bioactive Flavonoid Compounds from Spearmint (Mentha Spicata L.) Leaves. Eur. J. Sci. Res. 2009, 33, 679-690. 122. D. Kim, S. Weon, and C.Y.L. Antioxidant capacity of phenolic phytochemicals from various cultivars of plums. 2003, 321–326. 123. S. Pastoriza, C. Delgado-Andrade, A. Haro, and J.A.R.-H. Analytical Methods A physiologic approach to test the global antioxidant response of foods. The GAR method. In; 2011. 124. ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY APPLYING AN IMPROVED ABTS RADICAL. In A. Activity, A. An, I. Abts, and C. D. Assay; 1999; Vol. 26, pp. 1231–1237. 125. M. E. Cuvelier and C. Berset Use of a Free Radical Method to Evaluate Antioxidant Activity; 1995; 126. Castro-Puyana, M., Herrero, M., Urreta, I., Mendiola, J. A., Cifuentes, A., Ibáñez, E., & Suárez- Alvarez, S. Optimization of clean extraction methods to isolate carotenoids from themicroalga Neochloris oleoabundans and subsequent chemical characterization using liquid chromatography tandemmass spectrometry. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 2013, 4607-4616. 127. I. VEREŠBARANJI Animal and vegetable fats and oils - Preparation of methyl esters of fatty acids. In InKontrolakvalitetasemenauljarica Ed. by Saveznizavodzastandardizaciju; Belgrade, 1996. 128. Park, P. W., R.E.G. In situ preparation of fatty acid methyl esters for analysis of fatty acid composition in foods. J. Food Sci. 1994, 1262–1266. 129. Kitada K, Machmudah S, Sasaki M, Goto M, Nakashima Y, K.S. and H.T. Supercritical CO2 extraction of pigment com- ponents with pharmaceutical importance from chlorella vulgaris. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 2009, 657-661. 130. Farías-Campomanes, A.M.; Rostagno, M.A.; Coaquira-Quispe, J.J.; Meireles, M.A.A. Supercritical fluid extraction of polyphenols from lees: Overall extraction curve, kinetic data and composition of the extracts. Bioresour. Bioprocess. 2015, 2, doi:10.1186/s40643-015-0073-5. 131. Borowitzka, M.A. High-value products from microalgae-their development and commercialisation. J. Appl. Phycol. 2013, 25, 743-756, doi:10.1007/s10811-013-9983-9. 132. Mäki-Arvela, P.; Hachemi, I.; Murzin, D.Y. Comparative study of the extraction methods for recovery of carotenoids from algae: Extraction kinetics and effect of different extraction parameters. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 2014, 89, 1607–1626, doi:10.1002/jctb.4461. 133. A. Mustafa and C. Turner Pressurized liquid extraction as a green approach in food and herbal plants extraction: A review. Anal. Chim. Acta 2011, 703, 8-18. Goiris K, Muylaert K, F.I. and F.I. Antioxidant potential of microalgae in relation to their phenolic and carotenoid content. Appl. Phycol. 2012, 1477-1486. 135. Kobayashi M, Kakizono T, Nishio N, Nagai S, Kurimura Y, T.Y. Antioxidant role of astaxanthin in the green alga Haematococcus pluvialis. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 1997, 351–356. 136. Herrero, M., Jaime, L., Martín-Alvarez, P. J., Cifuentes, A., & Ibáñez, E. Optimization of the extraction of antioxidants from Dunaliella salina microalga by pressurized liquids. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006, 5597-5603. 137. di Visconte, G.S.; Spicer, A.; Chuck, C.J.; Allen, M.J. The microalgae biorefinery: A perspective on the current status and future opportunities using genetic modification. Appl. Sci. 2019, 9, doi:10.3390/app9224793. 138. Branco-Vieira, M.; Martin,
Summary Report

S.S.; Agurto, C.; Freitas, M.A.V.; Mata, T.M.; Martins, A.A.; Caetano, N. Biochemical characterization of Phaeodactylum tricornutum for microalgae-based biorefinery. Energy Procedia 2018, 153, 466-470, doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2018.10.079. 139. Herrero M, Martin-Alvarez PJ, Senorans FJ, C.A. and I.E. Optimization of accelerated solvent extraction of antioxidants from Spirulina platensis microalga. FOOD Chem. 2005, 417-423. 140. Shang YF, Kim SM, L.W. and U.B. Pressurized liquid method for fucoxanthin extraction from eisenia bicyclis (kjellman) setchell. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 2011, 237-241. 141. Denery JR, Dragul K, T.C. and L.Q. Pressurized fluid extraction of carotenoids from haematococcus pluvialis and Dunaliella salina and kavalactones from Piper methysticum. Anal. Chim. Acta 2004, 175–181. 142. Gökmen, V.; Serpen, A.; Fogliano, V. Direct measurement of the total antioxidant capacity of foods: the "QUENCHER" approach. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 2009, 20, 278–288, doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2009.03.010. 143. Adom KK and Liu RH. Antioxidant activity of grains. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2012, 50, 6182–6187. 144. Thaipong K, Boonprakob U, Crosby K, C.-Z.L. and B.D. Comparison of ABTS, DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC assays for estimating antioxidant activity from guava fruit extracts. J. Food Compos. Anal. 2006, 19, 669–675. 145. Goiris K, Muylaert K, F.I. and F.I. Antioxidant potential of microalgae in relation to their phenolic and carotenoid content. J. Appl. Phycol. 2012, 1477-1486. 146. Maadane A, Merghoub N, Ainane T, Arrousi H, B.R.; Amzazi S, et al. Antioxidant activity of some Moroccan marine microalgae: pufa profiles, carotenoids and phenolic content. J. Biotechnol. 2015, 13–19. 147. Valdez-Flores M, Germa Baez LJ, Gutierrez-Dorado R, Medina-Godoy S, Norzagaray-Valenzuela C, Hernandez- Verdugo S, et al. Improving bioactivities of Jatropha curcas protein hydrolysates by optimizing with response surface methodology the extrusion cooking process. Ind. Crops Prod. 2016, 85, 353-360. 148. Di Lena, G.; Casini, I.; Lucarini, M.; Lombardi-Boccia, G. Carotenoid profiling of five microalgae species from large-scale production. Food Res. Int. 2019, 120, 810-818, doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2018.11.043. 149. Di Lena, G.; Casini, I.; Lucarini, M.; Lombardi-Boccia, G. Carotenoid profiling of five microalgae species from large-scale production. Food Res. Int. 2019, 120, 810-818, doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2018.11.043. 150. Wu, H.; Li, T.; Wang, G.; Dai, S.; He, H.; Xiang, W. A comparative analysis of fatty acid composition and fucoxanthin content in six Phaeodactylum tricornutum strains from different origins. Chinese J. Oceanol. Limnol. 2016, 34, 391–398, doi:10.1007/s00343-015-4325-1. 151. Di Lena, G.; Casini, I.; Lucarini, M.; Sanchez del Pulgar, J.; Aguzzi, A.; Caproni, R.; Gabrielli, P.; Lombardi- Boccia, G. Chemical characterization and nutritional evaluation of microalgal biomass from large-scale production: a comparative study of five species. Eur. Food Res. Technol. 2020, 246, 323-332, doi:10.1007/s00217-019-03346- 5. 152. K.C. Maki, F. Eren, M.E. Cassens, M.R. Dicklin, M.H.D. Ω-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids and cardiometabolic health: current evidence, controversies, and research gaps. Adv. Nutr. 2018, 9, 688-700. Wang, S.; Said, I.H.; Thorstenson, C.; Thomsen, C.; Ullrich, M.S.; Kuhnert, N.; Thomsen, L. Pilot-scale production of antibacterial substances by the marine diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum Bohlin. Algal Res. 2018, 32, 113-120, doi:10.1016/j.algal.2018.03.014. 154. Maadane, A.; Merghoub, N.; El Mernissi, N.; Ainane, T.; Amzazi, S.; Wahby, I.; Bakri, Y. Antimicrobial activity of marine microalgae isolated from Moroccan coastlines. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. Food Sci. 2017, 6, 1257-1260, doi:10.15414/jmbfs.2017.6.6.1257-1260. 155. Pérez, M.J.; Falqué, E.; Domínguez, H. Antimicrobial action of compounds from marine seaweed. Mar. Drugs 2016, 14, 1–38, doi:10.3390/md14030052. 156. Surendhiran, D., Vijay, M., Sirajunnisa, A.B., Subramaniyan, T. & Shanthalin, A. A green synthesis of antimicrobial compounds from marine microalgae Nannochlorpsis oculata. J. Coast. life Med. 2014, 2,

Summary Report

859–863. 157. Desbois, A.P., & Smith, V.J. Antibacterial free fatty acids: activities, mechanisms of action and biotechnological potential. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2010, 1629–1642. 158. Ushakumari, U. N., & Ramanujan, R. Isolation of astaxanthin from marine yeast and study of its pharmacological activity. Int. Curr. Pharm. J. 2013, 2, 67–69. 159. Maadane A, Merghoub N, Ainane T, Arrousi H, Benhima R, Amzazi S, et al. Antioxidant activity of some Moroccan marine microalgae: pufa profiles, carotenoids and phenolic content. J. Biotechnol. 2015, 13–19. 160. Pane, G., Cacciola, G., Giacco, E., Mariottini, G. L., & C.E. Assessment of the antimicrobial activity of algae extracts on bacteria responsible of external otitis. Mar. Drugs 2015, 6440–6452. 161. Zheng, C. J., Yoo, J. S., Lee, T. G., Cho, H. Y., Kim, Y. H., & Kim, W.G. Fatty acid synthesis is a target for antibacterial activity of unsaturated fatty acids. FEBS Lett. 2005, 579, 5157–5162. 162. Suresh, A., Praveenkumar, R., Thangaraj, R., Oscar, F.L., Baldev, E., Dhanasekaran, D., & Thajuddin, N. Microalgal fatty acid methyl ester a new source of bioactive compounds with antimicrobial activity. Asian Pacific J. Trop. Dis. 2014. 57. 75. 134. 153. 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 37 38 39 40 42 43 45 46 47 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88